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## PREFACE

The Greek text in this volume is based on the recension of Schanz, except in the cases of the Minos and the Epinomis, where it follows in the main the text of C F. Hermann. Emendations accepted from modern scholars are noted as they occur.

The special introductions are intended merely to prepare the reader for the general character and purpose of each dialogue.

W. R. M. Lamb.
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## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Plato was born in 427 b.c. of Athenian parents who could provide him with the best education of the day, and ample means and leisure throughout his life. He came to manhood in the dismal close of the Peloponnesian War, when Aristophanes was at the height of his success, and Sophocles and Euripides had produced their last plays. As a boy he doubtless heard the lectures of Gorgias, Protagoras, and other sophists, and his early bent seems to have been towards poetry. But his intelligence was too progressive to rest in the agnostic position on which the sophistic culture was based. A century before, Heracleitus had declared knowledge to be impossible, because the objects of sense are continually changing ; yet now a certain Cratylus was trying to build a theory of knowledge over the assertion of flux, by developing some hints let fall by its oracular author about the truth contained in names. From this influence Plato passed into contact with Socrates, whose character and gifts have left a singular impress on the thought of mankind. This effect is almost wholly due to Plato's applications and extensions of

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

his master's thought ; since, fortunately for us, the pupil not only became a teacher in his turn, but brought his artistic genius into play, and composed the memorials of philosophic talk which we know as the Dialogues. Xenophon, Antisthenes, and Aeschines were other disciples of Socrates who drew similar sketches of his teaching: the suggestion came from the " mimes" of the Syracusan Sophron, -realistic studies of conversation between ordinary types of character. As Plato became more engrossed in the Socratic speculations, this artistic impulse was strengthened by the desire of recording each definite stage of thought as a basis for new discussion and advance.

When Plato was twenty years old, Socrates was over sixty, and had long been notorious in Athens for his peculiar kind of sophistry. In the Phaedo he tells how he tried, in his youth, the current scientific explanations of the universe, and found them full of puzzles. He then met with the theory of Anax-agoras,-that the cause of everything is " mind." This was more promising : but it led nowhere after all, since it failed to rise above the conception of physical energy ; this " mind " showed no intelligent aim. Disappointed of an assurance that the universe works for the best, Socrates betook himself to the plan of making definitions of " beautiful," " good," " large," and so on, as qualities observed in the several classes of beautiful, good and large material things, and then employing these propositions, if they x
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appeared to be sound, for the erection of higher hypotheses. The point is that he made a new science out of a recognized theory of "ideas " or " forms," which had come of reflecting on the quality predicated when we say " this man is good," and which postulates some sure reality bchind the fleeting objects of sense. His " hypothetical" method, familiar to mathematicians, attains its full reach and significance in the Republic.

The Pythagoreans who appear in the intimate scene of the Phaedo were accustomed to the theory of ideas, and were a fit audience for the highest reasonings of Socrates on the true nature of life and the soul. For some years before the master's death (399 в.c.) Plato, if not a member of their circle, was often a spell-bound hearer of the "satyr." But ordinary Athenians had other views of Socrates, which varied according to their age and the extent of their acquaintance with him. Aristophanes' burlesque in the Clouds ( 423 в.c.) had left a common impression not unlike what we have of the King of Laputa. Yet the young men who had any frequent speech with him in his later years, while they felt there was something uncanny about him, found an irresistible attraction in his simple manner, his humorous insight into their ways and thoughts, and his fervent eloquence on the principles of their actions and careers. He kept no school, and took no fees; he distrusted the pretensions of the regular sophists, with whom he was carelessly confounded ; moreover, he professed
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to have no knowledge himself, except so far as to know that he was ignorant. The earliest Dialogues, such as the Apology, Crito, Euthyphro, Charmides, Laches, and Lysis, show the manner in which he performed his ministry. In rousing men, especially those whose minds were fresh, to the need of knowing themselves, he promoted the authority of the intellect, the law of definite individual knowledge, above all reason of state or tie of party ; and it is not surprising that his city, in the effort of recovering her political strength, decided to hush such an inconvenient voice. He must have foreseen his fate, but he continued his work undeterred.

Though he seems, in his usual talk, to have professed no positive doctrine, there were one or two beliefs which he frequently declared. Virtue, he said, is knowledge ; for each man's good is his happiness, and once he knows it clearly, he needs must choose to ensue it. Further, this knowledge is innate in our minds, and we only need to have it awakened and exercised by "dialectic," or a systematic course of question and answer. He also believed his mission to be divinely ordained, and asserted that his own actions were guided at times by the prohibitions of a " spiritual sign." He was capable, as we find in the Symposium, of standing in rapt meditation at any moment for some time, and once for as long as twenty-four hours.

It is clear that, if he claimed no comprehensive theory of existence, and although his ethical reliance xii
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on knowledge, if he never analysed it, leaves him in a very crude stage of psychology, his logical and mystical suggestions must have led his favourite pupils a good way towards a new system of metaphysics. These intimates learnt, as they steeped their minds in his, and felt the growth of a unique affection amid the glow of enlightenment, that happiness may be elsewhere than in our dealings with the material world, and that the mind has prerogatives and duties far above the sphere of civic life.

After the death of Socrates in 399, Plato spent some twelve years in study and travel. For the first part of this time he was perhaps at Megara, where Eucleides, his fellow-student and friend, was forming a school of dialectic. Here he may have composed some of the six Dialogues already mentioned as recording Socrates' activity in Athens. Towards and probably beyond the end of this period, in order to present the Socratic method in bolder conflict with sophistic education, he wrote the Protagoras, Meno, Euthydemus, and Gorgias. These works show a much greater command of dramatic and literary art, and a deeper interest in logic. The last of them may well be later than 387 , the year in which, after an all but disastrous attempt to better the mind of Dionysius of Syracuse, he returned to Athens, and, now forty years of age, founded the Academy; where the memory of his master was to be perpetuated by continuing and expanding the
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Socratic discussions among the elect of the new generation. The rivalry of this private college with the professional school of Isocrates is discernible in the subject and tone of the Gorgias. Plato carried on the direction of the Academy till his death, at eighty-one, in 346 ; save that half-way through this period (367) he accepted the invitation of his friend Dion to undertake the instruction of the younger Dionysius at Syracuse. 'The elder tyrant had been annoyed by the Socratic freedom of Plato's talk: now it was a wayward youth who refused the yoke of a systematic training. What that training was like we see in the Republic, where true political wisdom is approached by an arduous ascent through mathematics, logic, and metaphysics. Plato returned, with less hopes of obtaining the ideal ruler, to make wonderful conquests in the realm of thought.

The Meno and Gorgias set forth the doctrine that knowledge of right is latent in our minds : dialectic, not the rhetoric of the schools, is the means of eliciting it. The method, as Plato soon perceived, must be long and difficult: but he felt a mystical rapture over its certainty, which led him to picture the immutable "forms" as existing in a world of their own. This feeling, and the conviction whence it springs-that knowledge is somehow possible, had come to the front of his mind when he began to know Socrates. Two brilliant compositions, the Cratylus and Symposium, display the strength of the conviction, and then, the noble fervour of the xiv
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feeling. In the latter of these works, the highest powers of imaginative sympathy and eloquence are summoned to unveil the sacred vision of absolute beauty. The Phaedo turns the logical theory upon the soul, which is seen to enjoy, when freed from the body, familiar cognition of the eternal types of being. Here Orphic dogma lends its aid to the Socratic search for knowledge, while we behold an inspiring picture of the philosopher in his hour of death.

With increasing confidence in himself as the successor of Socrates, Plato next undertook, in the Republic, to show the master meeting his own unsatisfied queries on education and politics. We read now of a "form " of good to which all thought and action aspire, and which, contemplated in itself, will explain not merely why justice is better than injustice, but the meaning and aim of everything. In order that man may be fully understood, we are to view him " writ large " in the organization of an ideal state. The scheme of description opens out into many subsidiary topics, including three great proposals already known to Greece,-the abolition of private property, the community of women and children, and the civic equality of the sexes. But the central subject is the preparation of the philosopher, through a series of ancillary sciences, for dialectic ; so that, once possessed of the supreme truth, he may have light for directing his fellow-men. As in the Phaedo, the spell of mythical revelation is
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brought to enhance the discourse of reason. The Phaedrus takes up the subject of rhetoric, to lead us allegorically into the realm of "ideas," and thence to point out a new rhetoric, worthy of the well-trained dialectician. We get also a glimpse of the philosopher's duty of investigating the mutual relations of the "forms" to which his study of particular things has led him.

A closer interest in logical method, appearing through his delight in imaginative construction, is one distinctive mark of this middle stage in Plato's teaching. As he passes to the next two Dialogues, the Theaetetus and Parmenides, he puts off the aesthetic rapture, and considers the ideas as categories of thought which require co-ordination. The discussion of knowledge in the former makes it evident that the Academy was now the meetingplace of vigorous minds, some of which were eager to urge or hear refuted the doctrines they had learnt from other schools of thought; while the arguments are conducted with a critical caution very different from the brilliant and often hasty zeal of Socrates. The Parmenides corrects an actual or possible misconception of the theory of ideas in the domain of logic, showing perhaps how Aristotle, now a youthful disciple of Plato, found fault with the theory as he understood it. The forms are viewed in the light of the necessities of thought: knowledge is to be attained by a careful practice which will raise our minds to the vision of all partixvi
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culars in their rightly distinguished and connected classes.

Plato is here at work on his own great problem :If what we know is a single permanent law under which a multitude of things are ranged, what is the link between the one and the many? The Sophist. contains some of his ripest thought on this increasingly urgent question : his confident advance beyond Socratic teaching is indicated by the literary form, which hardly disguises the continuous exposition of a lecture. We observe an attention to physical science, the association of soul, motion, and existence, and the comparative study of being and not-being. The Politicus returns to the topic of state-government, and carries on the process of acquiring perfect notions of reality by the classification of things. Perhaps we should see in the absolute " mean" which is posited as the standard of all arts, business, and conduct, a contribution from Aristotle. The Philebus, in dealing with pleasure and knowledge, dwells further on the correct division and classification required if our reason, as it surely must, is to apprehend truth. The method is becoming more thorough and more complex, and Plato's hope of bringing it to completion is more remote. But he is gaining a clearer insight into the problem of unity and plurality.

The magnificent myth of the Timaeus, related by a Pythagorean, describes the structure of the universe, so as to show how the One manifests
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itself as the Many. We have here the latest reflections of Plato on space, time, soul, and many physical matters. In the lengthy treatise of the Laws, he addresses himself to the final duty of the philosopher as announced in the Republic: a long habituation to abstract thought will qualify rather than disqualify him for the practical regulation of public and private affairs. Attention is fixed once more on soul, as the energy of the world and the vehicle of our sovereign reason.

Thus Plato maintains the fixity of the objects of knowledge in a great variety of studies, which enlarge the compass of Socrates' teaching till it embraces enough material for complete systems of logic and metaphysics. How far these systems were actually worked out in the discussions of the Academy we can only surmise from the Dialogues themselves and a careful comparison of Aristotle; whose writings, however, have come down to us in a much less perfect state. But it seems probable that, to the end, Plato was too fertile in thought to rest content with one authoritative body of doctrine. We may be able to detect in the Timaeus a tendency to view numbers as the real principles of things ; and we may conjecture a late-found interest in the physical complexion of the world. As a true artist, with a keen sense of the beauty and stir of life, Plato had this interest, in a notable degree, throughout: but in speaking of his enthusiasm for science we must regard him rather as a great inventor of. xviii
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sciences than as what we should now call a scientist. This is giving him a splendid name, which few men have earned. Some of his inventions may be unrealizable, but it is hard to find one that is certainly futile. There are flaws in his arguments : to state them clearly and fairly is to win the privilege of taking part in a discussion at the Academy. W. R. M. Lamb.
[Nore.-Each of the Dialogues is a self-contained whole. The order in which they have been mentioned in this Introduction is that which agrees best in the main with modern views of Plato's mental progress, though the succession in some instances is uncertain.]
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## CHARMIDES

## INTRODUCTION TO THE CHARMIDES

The subject of this dialogue is the virtue whose various aspects we may approach in English with the words "temperance," " sobriety," " moderation," or " discretion," but for which our language, after centuries of analysis and definition have narrowed the application of ethical terms, has now no constant equivalent. The first of these words, "temperance," has been used throughout the present translation; but it is necessary to note that the intellectual element in the Greek virtue of " temperance" is not only recognizable from the beginning of the conversation, but increasingly prominent as the argument proceeds. The Greeks always tended to regard a moral quality as a state of the reasoning mind; and Socrates' particular treatment of "temperance" in this discussion implies that he and his circle were even inclined to identify it with a kind of practical wisdom or prudence. ${ }^{1}$ An attentive reader will find no difficulty in "perceiving the salient features of "temperance" -a distinct understanding of it as a whole is just what the speakers themselves are seeking-at each turn of the conversation.
${ }^{1}$ бwфpooív $\eta$, indeed, though it came to mean something like our "temperance," originally meant "soundness of mind," "wholeness or health of the faculty of thought ( $\phi$ poveîv)."

## PLATO

The handsome youth Charmides, whom Socrates meets in a wrestling-school at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War (432 в.c.), traces his descent through his father Glaucon to Dropides, a friend and kinsman of Solon; his mother was a sister of Pyrilampes, who was noted for his stature and beauty. Critias, son of Glaucon's brother Callaeschrus, and thus first cousin to Charmides, is a man of mature age, for he appears as his cousin's guardian: he became famous, or rather infamous, later on as one of the Thirty Tyrants ; and together with Charmides he fell fighting for despotism against democracy in 404 B.c. But of these grim and dismal doings, which filled Plato (then a youth of twenty-three) with a horror of Athenian politics as conceived and conducted at that time, there is no hint in this brilliant scene of healthful training and ingenuous debate. Plato's own mother, Perictione, was Charmides' sister, and he seems to record here with unmixed pride the goodly connexions of his family, from the standpoint of that earlier time of his childhood. He chooses his uncle Charmides as offering a likely instance, in the flower of his youth, of a healthy, well-conditioned mind in a handsome, welldeveloped body.

As soon as Socrates catches sight of the youth, he is fired with admiration of his grace. But the serious interest of Socrates is fixed, as ever, on the mind of this attractive person, and he proceeds at once to question him on the state of his "soul" and the nature of that "temperance" which is necessary for the well-being of the whole human organism. Two suggestions of Charmides - that temperance is a quiet or sedate kind of conduct,
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and that it is a feeling of modesty-are in turn disproved by Socrates; a third definition, supported by and apparently derived from Critias-that it is doing one's own business-leads Socrates to insist, in his habitual way, on the importance of lnowing what one is doing, with the result that Critias gives a fourth definition-self-knowledge (164-5). Socrates tries to find out what exactly is the thing known by means of temperance, and so procured by it, as health is by medicine and buildings by architecture. Critias replies that temperance is distinguished from all other kinds of knowledge by being the science of all the sciences, including itself (166). But Socrates shows the difficulty of conceiving of any function or faculty as applied to itself; it seems to require some separate object (168-9). He doubts, therefore, if there can be such cognition of cognition; and even supposing this is possible, how about cognition of non-cognition, which was a part of the suggested nature of temperance (169)? But altogether this view of the matter is too aridly intellectual, and of no practical value, for it fails to include a knowledge of what will be beneficial or useful (172-3). We find that what we really require is a knowledge of good and evil (174), and it does not appear that temperance is anything like this at all. In the end, we are not only left without a satisfactory answer to our question, but have rashly hazarded some improbable statements by the way.

Such is the bare outline of this interesting, if inconclusive, discussion. Plato's main object in composing the dialogue was to exhibit and recommend the process of attaining, or endeavouring to
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attain, a clear notion of an ordinary moral quality ; and as the difficulties accumulate, he takes the opportunity of enforcing his master's tenet that all human virtue and well-being must be based on knowledge. Our curiosity is first started in one direction, and then whetted and turned in another. The seemingly profitless search is so conducted that we are drawn, as audience of the little drama, to partake in a clarifying exercise of the mind, and we come away eager to analyse and refine our moral ideals. The need of understanding and coordinating the fundamental conceptions and conventions of society is the dominant theme of Plato's earlier writings : the scene and subject of each conversation are in effect quite casual, and the efforts of the speakers have no relation to what they may have said yesterday or may say to-morrow.

Thus the suggestion ( 161 c ), that temperance is "doing one's own business," is treated here as a puzzling riddle, and is lightly dismissed with some unfair play with the scope of the word "doing": whereas this very suggestion is seriously advanced in the Republic $(433,496,550)$ as a definition of justice. In the same way "self-knowledge" (another definition of temperance) is here pronounced to be impossible, and even if possible, useless (166) : but elsewhere we often find Plato insisting, with earnest eloquence, on the necessity and high value of self-knowledge. In the Charmides, however, Socrates does not stay to develop that familiar theme: for the moment he is only concerned to point out a difficulty involved in the suggestion as applied to temperance. Where he does come to an expectant pause, and hints at the
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right direction for further progress in the search, is in the demand for a cognition of good and evil (174), although this happens to be outside the supposed limits of temperance. The train of reasoning here is briefly this: granted that knowledge must be a main constituent of the virtue of temperance, such knowledge cannot merely act or revolve upon itself; it must have relation to some external sphere, and what we require is a knowledge of good and evil in the ends or aims of our conduct, superior to any particular knowledge or science pursued in our ordinary practical life. This division of sciences into the theoretical and the practical is resumed in the Gorgias. It is only just mentioned here, and so far " the good " is nothing more august or important than the Socratic conception of " the useful."

We may perhaps regret that in disposing of Charmides' first suggestion Socrates commits the logical blunder of arguing that, because temperance and quickness are both honourable, therefore quickness is temperate ( 159 D ). No doubt Charmides' failure to protest at this point was brought out in discussion at the Academy. Plato would perhaps excuse himself by saying that when he wrote the Charmides he was more intent on intellectual drama than on logical accuracy. He has certainly displayed remarkable skill in bringing out the two characters of Charmides and Critias in the natural course of the conversation ; and it is worth observing, besides, how the vividness of his portraiture serves to emphasize, by contrast, the impersonal, dispassionate nature of reason and truth ( $166 \mathrm{c}, 175 \mathrm{D}$ ).
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${ }^{1}$ A Corinthian colony in Chalcidice which was a tributary ally of Athens，and revolted from her in 433 в．с．In the next year an Athenian force met and fought a Pelopon－ nesian force at Potidaea，and then laid siege to the city． Thus began the Peloponnesian War．
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[or ON TEMPERANCE : tentative]

## CHARACTERS

Socrates, Chaerephon, Critias, Ciarmmides

We arrived yesterday evening from the army at Potidaea, ${ }^{1}$ and I sought with delight, after an absence of some time, my wonted conversations. Accordingly I went into the wrestling-sehool of Taureas, ${ }^{2}$ opposite the Queen's shrine, ${ }^{3}$ and there I came upon quite a number of people, some of whom were unknown to me, but most of whom I knew. And as soon as they saw me appear thus unexpectedly, they hailed me from a distance on every side ; but Chaerephon, like the mad creature that he is, jumped up from their midst and ran to me, and grasping me by the hand-

Socrates, he said, how did you survive the battle? (Shortly before we came away there had been a battle at Potidaea, of which the people here had only just had news.)
${ }^{2}$ A professional trainer.
${ }^{3}$ There was a shrine of Basile, or the Queen (of whom nothing is known), some way to the south of the Acropolis. Cf. Frazer, Pausanias, ii. p. 203.
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In the state in which you see me, I replied.
It has been reported here, you know, said he, that the battle was very severe, and that many of our acquaintance have lost their lives in it.

Then the report, I replied, is pretty near the truth.
You were present, he asked, at the fighting ?
I was present.
Then sit down here, he said, and give us a full account; for as yet we have had no clear report of it all. And with that he led me to a seat by Critias, son of Callaeschrus. So I sat down there and greeted Critias and the rest, and gave them all the news from the battlefield, in answer to their various questions; each had his inquiry to make.

When we had had enough of such matters, I in my turn began to inquire about affairs at home, how philosophy was doing at present, and whether any of the rising young men had distinguished themselves for wisdom or beauty or both. Then Critias, looking towards the door, for he saw some young fellows who were coming in with some railing at each other, and a crowd of people following on behind them, saidConcerning the beauties, Socrates, I expect you will get your knowledge at once: for these who are coming in are in fact forerunners and lovers of the person who is held, for the moment at least, to be the greatest beauty ; and he himself, I imagine, must by now be nearly upon us.

Who is he, I asked, and whose son ?
You must know, he replied, but he was not yet grown up when you went away,-Charmides, son of our uncle Glaucon, and my cousin.

I do know, to be sure, I said; for he was not to
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be despised even then, when he was still a child, and now, I suppose, he will be quite a youth by this time.

You will know this moment, he said, both how much and to what purpose he has grown. And just as he spoke these words, Charmides entered.

Now I, my good friend, am no measurer : I am a mere " white line " 1 in measuring beautiful people, for almost everyone who has just grown up appears beautiful to me. Nay and this time, moreover, the young man appeared to me a marvel of stature and beauty; and all the rest, to my thinking, were in love with him, such was their astonishment and confusion when he came in, and a number of other lovers were following in his train. On the part of men like us it was not so surprising ; but when I came to observe the boys I noticed that none of them, not even the smallest, had eyes for anything else, but that they all gazed at him as if he were a statue. Then Chaerephon called me and said-How does the youth strike you, Socrates? Has he not a fine face ?

Immensely so, I replied.
Yet if he would consent to strip, he said, you would think he had no face, he has such perfect beauty of form.

And these words of Chaerephon were repeated by the rest. Then,-By Heracles ! I said, what an irresistible person you make him out to be, if he has but one more thing-a little thing-besides.

What? said Critias.
If in his soul, I replied, he is of good grain. And I should think, Critias, he ought to be, since he is of your house.
${ }^{1}$ A white or chalked line was proverbially useless for marking off measurements on white stone or marble.
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Ah, he said, he is right fair and good in that way also.

Why then, I said, let us strip that very part of him and view it first, instead of his form ; for anyhow, at that age, I am sure he is quite ready to have a discussion.

Very much so, said Critias ; for, I may say, he is in fact a philosopher, and also-as others besides himself consider-quite a poet.

That, my dear Critias, I said, is a gift which your family has had a long while back, through your kinship with Solon. But why not call the young man here and show him to me? For surely, even if he were younger still, there could be no discredit in our having a talk with him before you, who are at once his guardian and his cousin.

You are quite right, he said, and we will call him. Thereupon he said to his attendant,-Boy, call Charmides; tell him I want him to see a doctor about the ailment with which he told me he was troubled yesterday. Then, turning to me,-You know, he has spoken lately of having a headache, said Critias, on getting up in the morning : now why should you not represent to him that you know a cure for headache?

Why not? I said : only he must come.
Oh , he will be here, he said.
And so it was; for he came, and caused much laughter, because each of us who were seated made room for him by pushing hard at his neighbour so as to have him sitting beside himself, until at either end of the seat one had to stand $u p$, and we tumbled the other off sideways; and he came and sat down between me and Critias. But here, my
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friend, I began to feel perplexed, and my former confidence in looking forward to a quite easy time in talking with him had been knocked out of me. And when, on Critias telling him that it was I who knew the cure, he gave me such a look with his eyes as passes description, and was just about to plunge into a question, and when all the people in the wrestlingschool surged round about us on every side-then, ah then, my noble friend, I saw inside his cloak and caught fire, and could possess myself no longer ; and I thought none was so wise in love-matters as Cydias, ${ }^{1}$ who in speaking of a beautiful boy recommends someone to " beware of coming as a fawn before the lion, and being seized as his portion of flesh "; for I too felt I had fallen a prey to some such creature. However, when he had asked me if I knew the cure for headache, I somehow contrived to answer that I knew.

Then what is it? he asked.
So I told him that the thing itself was a certain leaf, but there was a charm to go with the remedy ; and if one uttered the charm at the moment of its application, the remedy made one perfectly well ; but without the charm there was no efficacy in the leaf.

Then I will take down the charm, said he, from you in writing.

Do you prefer, I asked, to get my consent first, or to do without it?

This made him laugh, and he said: To get your consent, Socrates.
Very well, I said; and are you certain of my name?

Unless I misjudge, he replied; for there is no
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little talk of you among the set of our age, and I remember as a mere child the sight of you in company with Critias here.

That is a good thing, I said : for I shall speak more frecly to you about the charm, and its real nature ; just now I was at a loss for the way to apprise you of its power. For it is of such a nature, Charmides, that it cannot cure the head alone ; I daresay you have yourself sometimes heard good doctors say, you know, when a patient comes to them with a pain in his eyes, that it is not possible for them to attempt a cure of his eyes alone, but that it is necessary to treat his head too at the same time, if he is to have his eyes in good order ; and so again, that to expect ever to treat the head by itself, apart from the body as a whole, is utter folly. And on this principle they apply their regimen to the whole body, and attempt to treat and heal the part along with the whole ; or have you not observed that this is what they say, and is done in fact?

Certainly I have, he said.
And you consider it well said, and accept the principle ?

Most assuredly, he said.
Then I, on hearing his approval, regained my courage ; and little by little I began to muster up my confidence again, and my spirit began to rekindle. So I said,--Such, then, Charmides, is the nature of this charm. I learnt it on campaign over there, from one of the Thracian physicians of Zalmoxis, ${ }^{1}$ who are said even to make one immortal. This Thracian said that the Greeks were right in
${ }^{1}$ A legendary hero of the Thracian race of the Getae; cf. Herodotus, iv. 94-6.
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advising as I told you just now : " but Zalmoxis," he said, " our king, who is a god, says that as you ought not to attempt to cure eyes without head, or head without body, so you should not treat body without soul "; and this was the reason why most maladies evaded the physicians of Greece - that they neglected the whole, on which they ought to spend their pains, for if this were out of order it was impossible for the part to be in ordex. For all that was good and evil, he said, in the body and in man altogether was sprung from the soul, and flowed along from thence as it did from the head into the eyes. Wherefore that part was to be treated first and foremost, if all was to be well with the head and the rest of the body. And the treatment of the soul, so he said, my wonderful friend, is by means of certain charms, and these charms are words of the right sort : by the use of such words is temperance engendered in our souls, and as soon as it is engendered and present we may easily secure health to the head, and to the rest of the body also. Now in teaching me the remedy and the charms he remarked,--" Let nobody persuade you to treat his head with this remedy, unless he has first submitted his soul for you to treat with the charm. For at present," he said, " the cure of mankind is beset with the error of certain doctors who attempt to practise the one method without the other." And he most particularly enjoined on me not to let anyone, however wealthy or noble or handsome, induce me to disobey
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him. So I, since I have given him my oath, and must obey him, will do as he bids; and if you agree to submit your soul first to the effect of the Thracian charms, according to the stranger's injunctions, I will apply the remedy to your head: otherwise we shall be at a loss what to do with you, my dear Charmides.

Then Critias, when he heard me say this, re-marked,-This affection of the head, Socrates, will turn out to be a stroke of luck for the young man, if he is to be compelled on account of his head to improve his understanding also. However, let me tell you, Charmides is considered to excel his comrades not only in appearance, but also in that very thing which you say is produced by your charm : temperance, you say it is, do you not?

Certainly, I replied.
Then be assured, he said, that he is considered to be far and away the most temperate person now alive, while in every other respect, for a youth of his age, he is second to none.

Why, yes, I said, and it is only right, Charmides, that you should excel the rest in all these respects; for I do not suppose there is anyone else here who could readily point to a case of any two Athenian houses uniting together which would be likely to produce handsomer or nobler offspring than those from which you are sprung. For your father's house, which comes from Critias, son of Dropides, has been celebrated by Anacreon and Solon and many other poets, so that it is famed by tradition among us as pre-eminent in beauty and virtue and all else that is accounted happiness ; and then, your mother's house is famous in the same way, for of Pyrilampes,
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your uncle, it is said that no one in all the continent was considered to be his superior in beauty or stature, whenever he came as envoy to the great king or anyone else in Asia, and his house as a whole is no whit inferior to the other. Sprung from such people, it is to be supposed that you would be first in all things. And indeed, as regards your visible form, dear son of Glaucon, I consider that nowhere have you fallen behind any of your ancestors. But if your nature is really rich in temperance and those other things, as our friend here says, blessed is the son, dear Charmides, I exclaimed, that your mother has borne in you! However, the case stands thus: if you already possess temperance, as Critias here declares, and you are sufficiently temperate, then you never had any need of the charms of Zalmoxis or of Abaris the Hyperborean, ${ }^{1}$ and might well be given at once the remedy for the head; but if you prove to be still lacking that virtue, we must apply the charm before the remedy. So tell me yourself whether you agree with our friend, and can say that you are already sufficiently provided with temperance, or are deficient in it?

At this Charmides blushed and, for one thing, looked more beautiful then ever, for his modesty became his years; and then, too, he answered most ingenuously, saying it was no easy matter at the moment either to admit or to deny the words of the question. For if, he went on, I say I am not temperate, not only is it a strange thing to say against oneself, but I shall at the same time be taxing with untruth both Critias and many others who consider me to be temperate, as he gives out; while
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if, on the other hand, I say I am, and praise myself, it will probably be found distasteful; so that I cannot see what answer I am to give you.

Then I said: Your answer is a natural one, in my opinion, Charmides; and I think, I went on, that we must join in inquiring whether you possess the thing I am asking after, or not, in order that neither you may be forced to say what you do not wish, nor I on my part may recklessly try my hand at medicine. So if it is agreeable to you, I am ready to inquire with you ; but, if it is not, to let it alone.

Why, nothing, he said, could be more agreeable to me: so far as that goes, therefore, inquire in whatever way you think we had better proceed.

Then this is the way, I said, in which I consider that our inquiry into this matter had best be conducted. Now, it is clear that, if you have temperance with you, you can hold an opinion about it. For being in you, I presume it must, in that case, afford some perception from which you can form some opinion of what temperance is, and what kind of thing it is : do you not think so ?

I do, he replied.
And since you understand the Greek tongue, I said, you can tell me, I suppose, your view of this particular thought of yours?

I daresay, he said.
Then in order that we may make a guess whether it is in you or not, tell me, I said, what you say of temperance according to your opinion.

He at first hung back, and was not at all willing to answer : but presently he said that, to his mind, temperance was doing everything orderly and quietly-walking in the streets, talking, and doing
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everything else of that kind; and in a word, he said, I think the thing about which you ask may be called quietness.

Well, I said, are you right there? They do say, you know, Charmides, that quiet people are temperate: so let us see if there is anything in what they say. Tell me, is not temperance, however, among the honourable things ?

To be sure, he said.
Well, which is most honourable at the writingmaster's, to write the same sort of letters quickly or quietly?

Quickly.
And in reading, to do it quickly or slowly ?
Quickly.
And so, in the same way, to play the lyre quickly, or to wrestle nimbly, is far more honourable than to do it quietly and slowly ?

Yes.
And what of boxing, alone or combined with wrestling? Is it not the same there too?

To be sure.
And in running and leaping and all activities of the body, are not nimble and quick movements accounted honourable, while sluggish and quiet ones are deemed disgraceful ?

Apparently.
So we find, I said, that in the body, at least, it is not quietness, but the greatest quickness and nimbleness that is most honourable, do we not?

Certainly.
And temperance was an honourable thing ?
Yes.
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Then in the body, at least, it is not quietness but quickness that will be the more temperate thing, since temperance is honourable.

So it seems, he said.
Well now, I went on; in learning, is facility the more honourable, or difficulty ?

Facility.
And facility in learning, I said, is learning quickly, and difficulty in learning is learning quietly and slowly ?

Yes.
And is it not more honourable to teach another quickly and forcibly, rather than quietly and slowly?

Yes.
Well now, is it more honourable to be reminded and to remember quietly and slowly, or forcibly and quickly?

Forcibly, he replied, and quickly.
And is not readiness of mind a sort of nimbleness of the soul, not a quietness?

True.
And to apprehend what is said, whether at the writing-master's or the lyre-master's or anywhere else, not as quietly as possible, but as quickly, is most honourable ?

Yes.
Well, and in the searchings of the soul, and in deliberation, it is not the quietest person, I imagine, or he who deliberates and discovers with difficulty, that is held worthy of praise, but he who does this most easily and quickly.

That is so, he said.
Then in all, I said, Charmides, that concerns either our soul or our body, actions of quickness and
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nimbleness are found to be more honourable than those of slowness and quietness?

It looks like it, he said.
So temperance cannot be a sort of quietness, nor can the temperate life be quiet, by this argument at least ; since, being temperate, it must be honourable. For we have these two alternatives : either in no cases, or I should think in very few, can we find that the quiet actions in life are more honourable than the quick and rigorous ones; or at all events, my friend, if of the more honourable actions there are absolutely as many quiet ones as forcible and quick, not even so will temperance be acting quietly any more than acting forcibly and quickly, either in walking or in talking or in any other sphere; nor will the quiet life be more temperate than the unquiet; since in our argument we assumed that temperance is an honourable thing, and have found that quick things are just as honourable as quiet things.

Your statement, he said, Socrates, seems to me to be correct.

Once more then, I went on, Charmides, attend more closely and look into yourself; reflect on the quality that is given you by the presence of temperance, and what quality it must have to work this effect on you. Take stock of all this and tell me, like a good, brave fellow, what it appears to you to be.

He paused a little, and after a quite manly effort of self-examination: Well, I think, he said, that temperance makes men ashamed or bashful, and that temperance is the same as modesty.
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Well now, I asked, did you not admit a moment ago that temperance is honourable?

Certainly I did, he said.
And temperate men are also good ?
Yes.
Well, can that be good which does not produce good men?

No, indeed.
And we conclude that it is not only honourable, but good also.

I think so.
Well then, I said, are you not convinced that Homer ${ }^{1}$ is right in saying-

Modesty, no good mate for a needy man?
I am, he said.
Then it would seem that modesty is not good, and good.

Apparently.
But temperance is good, if its presence makes men good, and not bad.

It certainly seems to me to be as you say.
So temperance cannot be modesty, if it is in fact good, while modesty is no more good than evil.

Why, I think, he said, Socrates, that is correctly stated; but there is another view of temperance on which I would like to have your opinion. I remembered just now what I once heard someone say, that temperance might be doing one's own business. I ask you, then, do you think he is right in saying this ?

You rascal, I said, you have heard it from Critias here, or some other of our wise men!
${ }^{1}$ Od. xvii. 347.
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Seemingly, said Critias, from some other; for indeed he did not from me.

But what does it matter, Socrates, said Charmides, from whom I heard it?

Not at all, I replied; for in any case we have not to consider who said it, but whether it is a true saying or no.

Now you speak rightly, he said.
Yes, on my word, I said : but I shall be surprised if we can find out how it stands; for it looks like a kind of riddle.

Why so ? he asked.
Because, I replied, presumably the speaker of the words " temperance is doing one's own business" did not mean them quite as he spoke them. Or do you consider that the scribe does nothing when he writes or reads?

I rather consider that he does something, he replied.
And does the scribe, in your opinion, write and read his own name only, and teach you boys to do the same with yours? Or did you write your enemies' names just as much as your own and your friends' ?

Just as much.
Well, were you meddlesome or intemperate in doing this?

Not at all.
And you know you were not doing your own business, if writing and reading are doing something.

Why, so they are.
And indeed medical work, my good friend, and building and weaving and producing anything whatever that is the work of any art, I presume is doing something.
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 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota$ ó $\tau i \quad \pi о \tau \epsilon \epsilon \not \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
"I $\sigma \omega s$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \eta$.
 єimeîv;
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## Certainly.

Well then, I went on, do you think a state would be well conducted under a law which enjoined that everyone should weave and scour his own coat, and make his own shoes, and his own flask and scraper, ${ }^{1}$ and everything else on the same principle of not touching the affairs of others but performing and doing his own for himself?

I think not, he replied.
But still, I said, a state whose conduct is temperate will be well conducted.

Of course, he said.
Then doing one's own business in that sense and in that way will not be temperance.

Apparently not.
So that person was riddling, it seems, just as I said a moment ago, when he said that doing one's own business is temperance. For I take it he was not such a fool as all that: or was it some idiot that you heard saying this, Charmides ?

Far from it, he replied, for indeed he seemed to be very wise.

Then it is perfectly certain, in my opinion, that he propounded it as a riddle, in view of the difficulty of understanding what " doing one's own business " can mean.

I daresay, he said.
Well, what can it mean, this "doing one's own business" ? Can you tell me?

I do not know, upon my word, he replied : but I daresay it may be that not even he who said it knew
${ }^{1}$ The flask contained oil for anointing the body before exercise, and the scraper was for scraping it afterwards, or at the bath.
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in the least what he meant. And as he said this he gave a sly laugh and glanced at Critias.

Now Critias for some time had been plainly burning with anxiety to distinguish himself in the eyes of Charmides and the company, and having with difficulty restrained himself heretofore, he now could do so no longer ; for I believe that what I had supposed was perfectly true-that Charmides had heard this answer about temperance from Critias. And so Charmides, wishing him to make answer instead of himself, sought to stir him up in particular, and pointed out that he himself had been refuted; but Critias rebelled against it, and seemed to me to have got angry with him, as a poet does with an actor who mishandles his verses on the stage : so he looked hard at him and said: Do you really suppose, Charmides, that if you do not know what can have been the meaning of the man who said that temperance was doing one's own business, he did not know either?

Why, my excellent Critias, I said, no wonder if our friend, at his age, cannot understand ; but you, I should think, may be expected to know, in view of your years and your studies. So if you concede that temperance is what he says, and you accept the statement, for my part I would greatly prefer to have you as partner in the inquiry as to whether this saying is true or not.

Well, I quite concede it, he said, and accept it.
That is good, then, I said. Now tell me, do you also concede what I was asking just now-that all craftsmen make something ?

I do.
And do you consider that they make their own things only, or those of others also ?
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 тоиิтоע $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v . ~$
${ }^{1}$ The Greek word поєîv ("make ") can also mean the same as тра́ттєt ("do ").
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Those of others also.
And are they temperate in not making their own things only ?

Yes : what reason is there against it? he said.
None for me, I replied; but there may be for him who, after assuming that temperance is doing one's own business, proceeds to say there is no reason against those also who do others' business being temperate.

And have I, pray, he said, admitted that those who do others' business are temperate? Or was my admission of those who make ${ }^{1}$ things ?

Tell me, I said, do you not call making and doing the same?

No indeed, he replied, nor working and making the same either : this I learnt from Hesiod, ${ }^{2}$ who said, "Work is no reproach." Now, do you suppose that if he had given the names of working and doing to such works as you were mentioning just now, he would have said there was no reproach in shoe-making or pickle-selling or serving the stews? It is not to be thought, Socrates; he rather held, I conceive, that making was different from doing and working, and that while a thing made might be a reproach if it had no connexion with the honourable, work could never be a reproach. For things honourably and usefully made he called works, and such makings he called workings and doings ; and we must suppose that it was only such things as these that he called our proper concerns, but all that was harmful, the concerns of others. So that we must conclude that Hesiod, and anyone else of good sense, calls him temperate who does his own business.
${ }^{2}$ Works and Days, 309.
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Ah, Critias, I said, you had hardly begun, when I grasped the purport of your speech-that you called one's proper and one's own things good, and that the makings of the good you called doings ; for in fact I have heard Prodicus drawing innumerable distinctions between names. ${ }^{1}$ Well, I will allow you any application of a name that you please ; only make clear to what thing it is that you attach such-andsuch a name. So begin now over again, and define more plainly. Do you say that this doing or making, or whatever is the term you prefer, of good things, is temperance?

I do, he replied.
Then not he who does evil, but he who does good, is temperate?

And do not you, my excellent friend, he said, think so?

Leave that aside, I said; for we have not to consider yet what I think, but what you say now.

Well, all the same, I say, he replied, that he who does evil instead of good is not temperate, whereas he who does good instead of evil is temperate : for I give you " the doing of good things is temperance" as my plain definition.

And there is no reason, I daresay, why your statement should not be right; but still I wonder, I went on, whether you judge that temperate men are ignorant of their temperance.

No, I do not, he said.
A little while ago, I said, were you not saying that there was no reason why craftsmen should not be temperate in making others' things as well?

Yes, I was, he said, but what of it?
Nothing ; only tell me whether you think that a
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doctor, in making someone healthy, makes a helpful result both for himself and for the person whom he cures.

I do.
And he who does this does his duty ?
Yes.
Is not he who does his duty temperate?
Indeed he is.
Well, and must the doctor know when his medicine will be helpful, and when not? And must every craftsman know when he is likely to be benefited by the work he does, and when not?

Probably not.
Then sometimes, I went on, the doctor may have done what is helpful or harmful without knowing the effect of his own action ; and yet, in doing what was helpful, by your statement, he has done temperately. Or did you not state that?

I did.
Then it would seem that in doing what is helpful he may sometimes do temperately and be temperate, but be ignorant of his own temperance?

But that, he said, Socrates, could never be : if you think this in any way a necessary inference from my previous admissions, I would rather withdraw some of them, and not be ashamed to say my statements were wrong, than concede at any time that a man who is ignorant of himself is temperate. For I would almost say that this very thing, self-knowledge, is temperance, and I am at one with him who put up the inscription of those words at Delphi. For the purpose of that inscription on the temple, as it seems to me, is to serve as the god's salutation to those who
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 － 1 ยуєкеи secl．Cobet．

${ }^{1}$ Throughout this passage there is allusion to the thought or wisdom implied in $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i v$, and here Critias seeks to identify $\phi p \phi \nu \epsilon 1$（＂think well，＂＂be wise＂）with $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta \theta_{l}$（＂know，＂ ＂understand＂）in the inscription $\gamma^{\nu \omega} \theta \iota \sigma a u t o ́ v ~ a t ~ D e l p h i . ~ . ~$ 48
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enter it, instead of "Hail!" -this is a wrong form of greeting, and they should rather exhort one another with the words, "Be temperate!" And thus the god addresses those who are entering his temple in a mode which differs from that of men; such was the intention of the dedicator of the inscription in putting it up, I believe ; and that he says to each man who enters, in reality, "Be temperate!" But he says it in a rather riddling fashion, as a prophet would; for "Know thyself!" and "Be temperate!" are the same, as the inscription ${ }^{1}$ and I declare, though one is likely enough to think them different-an error into which I consider the dedicators of the later inscriptions fell when they put up "Nothing avermuch "2 and "A pledge, and thereupon perdition." 3 For they supposed that "Know thyself!" was a piece of advice, and not the god's salutation of those who were entering ; and so, in order that their dedications too might equally give pieces of useful advice, they wrote these words and dedicated them. Now my object in saying all this, Socrates, is to abandon to you all the previous argument-for, though perhaps it was you who were more in the right, or perhaps it was I, yet nothing at all certain emerged from our statements-and to proceed instead to satisfy you of this truth, if you do not admit it, that temperance is knowing oneself.

Why, Critias, I said, you treat me as though I professed to know the things on which I ask questions, and needed only the will to agree with you. But the

## ${ }^{2}$ M $\eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ वav appears first in Theognis, 335.

${ }^{3}$ 'Evrúa $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a \delta^{\prime}$ a $\alpha \eta$, an old saying on the rashness of giving a pledge, is quoted in a fragment of Cratinus, the clder rival of Aristophanes. Cf. Proverbs xi. $15-$ "He that is surety for a stranger shall smart for it."
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fact of the matter is rather that I join you in the inquiry, each time that a proposition is made, because I myself do not know ; I wish therefore to consider first, before I tell you whether I agree or not. Now, give me a moment to consider.

Consider then, he said.
Yes, and I am considering, I said. For if temperance is knowing anything, obviously it must be a kind of science, and a science of something, must it not?

It is, he replied, and of itself.
And medicine, I said, is a science of health ?
Certainly.
Then if you should ask me, I said, wherein medicine, as a science of health, is useful to us, and what it produces, I should say it is of very great benefit, since it produces health; an excellent result, if you allow so much.

I allow it.
And so, if you should ask me what result I take to be produced by building, as the builder's science, I should say houses; and it would be the same with the other arts. Now it is for you, in your turn, to find an answer to a question regarding temperancesince you say it is a science of self, Critias-and to tell me what excellent result it produces for us, as science of self, and what it does that is worthy of its name. Come now, tell me.

But, Socrates, he said, you are not inquiring rightly. For in its nature it is not like the other sciences, any more than any of them is like any other; whereas you are making your inquiry as though they were alike. For tell me, he said, what result is there of the arts of reckoning and geometry, in the way that a house is of building, or a coat of
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weaving, or other products of the sort that one might point to in various arts? Well, can you, for your part, point to any such product in those two cases? You cannot.

To this I replied: What you say is true; but I can point out to you what is the peculiar subject of each of these sciences, distinct in each case from the science itself. Thus reckoning, I suppose, is concerned with the even and the odd in their numerical relations to themselves and to one another, is it not?

Certainly, he said.
And you grant that the odd and the even are different from the actual art of reckoning ?

Of course.
And once more, weighing is concerned with the heavier and the lighter weight; but the heavy and the light are different from the actual art of weighing : you agree?

I do.
Then tell me, what is that of which temperance is the science, differing from temperance itself ?

There you are, Socrates, he said : you push your investigation up to the real question at issue-in what temperance differs from all the other sciencesbut you then proceed to seek some resemblance between it and them; whereas there is no such thing, for while all the rest of the sciences have something other than themselves as their subject, this one alone is a science of the other sciences and of its own self. And of this you are far from being unconscious, since in fact, as I believe, you are doing the very thing you denied you were doing just now : for you are attempt-
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54

## CHARMIDES

ing to refute me, without troubling to follow the subject of our discussion.

How can you think, I said, if my main effort is to refute you, that I do it with any other motive than that which would impel me to investigate the meaning of my own words-from a fear of carelessly supposing, at any moment, that I knew something while I knew it not? And so it is now : that is what I am doing, I tell you. I am examining the argument mainly for my own sake, but also, perhaps, for that of my other intimates. Or do you not think it is for the common good, almost, of all men, that the truth about everything there is should be discovered ?

Yes indeed, he replied, I do, Socrates.
Then take heart, I said, my admirable friend, and answer the question put to you as you deem the case to be, without caring a jot whether it is Critias or Socrates who is being refuted: give the argument itself your attention, and observe what will become of it under the test of refutation.

Well, he said, I will do so ; for I think there is a good deal in what you say.

Then tell me, I said, wh is jou mean in regard to temperance.

Why, I mean, he said, that it alone of all the sciences is the science both of itself and of the other sciences.

So then, I said, it will be the science of the lack of science also, besides being the science of science ? ${ }^{1}$

Certainly, he replied.
Then only the temperate person will know himself,
1 Science or exact knowledge must be able to measure not only the field of knowledge, but also that of its negation, ignorance.
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and be able to discern what he really knows and does not know, and have the power of judging what other people likewise know and think they know, in cases where they do know, and again, what they think they know, without knowing it; everyone else will be unable. And so this is being temperate, or temperance, and knowing oneself-that one should know what one knows and what one does not know. Is that what you mean?

It is, he replied.
Once more then, I said, as our third offering to the Saviour, ${ }^{1}$ let us consider afresh, in the first place, whether such a thing as this is possible or not-to know that one knows, and does not know, what one knows and what one does not know ; and secondly, if this is perfectly possible, what benefit we get by knowing it.

We must indeed consider, he said.
Come then, I said, Critias, consider if you can show yourself any more resourceful than I am ; for I am at a loss. Shall I explain to you in what way ? By all means, he replied.
Well, I said, what all this comes to, if your last statement was correct, is merely that there is one science which is precisely a science of itself and of the other sciences, and moreover is a science of the lack of science at the same time.

Certainly.
Then mark what a strange statement it is that we are attempting to make, my friend: for if you will consider it as applied to other cases, you will surely see-so I believe-its impossibility.
final wine-offering or toast to Zeus the Saviour. $C f$. Pindar, Isthm. v. init.
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How so ? In what cases ?
In the following : ask yourself if you think there is a sort of vision which is not the vision of things that we see in the ordinary way, but a vision of itself and of the other sorts of vision, and of the lack of vision likewise ; which, while being vision, sees no colour, but only itself and the other sorts of vision. Do you think there is any such ?

Upon my word, I do not.
And what do you say to a sort of hearing which hears not a single sound, but hears itself and the other sorts of hearing and lack of hearing ?

I reject that also.
Then take all the senses together as a whole, and consider if you think there is any sense of the senses and of itself, but insensible of any of the things of which the other senses are sensible.

I do not.
Now, do you think there is any desire which is the desire, not of any pleasure, but of itself and of the other desires?

No, indeed.
Nor, again, is there a wish, I imagine, that wishes no good, but wishes itself and the other wishes.

Quite so ; there is not.
And would you say there is any love of such a sort that it is actually a love of no beauty, but of itself and of the other loves?

Not I, he replied.
And have you ever observed any fear which fears itself and the other fears, but has no fear of a single dreadful thing?
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No, I have not, he replied.
Or an opinion which is an opinion of opinions and of itself, but without any opinion such as the other opinions have?

By no means.
But it is apparently a science of this kind that we are assuming-one that is a science of no branch of study, but a science of itself and of the other sciences.

So we are.
And it is a strange thing, if it really exists ? For we should not affirm as yet that it does not exist, but should still consider whether it does exist.

You are right.
Well now, this science is a science of something, that is, it has a certain faculty whereby it can be a science of something, has it not?

Certainly.
For, you know, we say the greater has a certain faculty whereby it can be greater than something? ${ }^{1}$

Quite so.
That is, than something smaller, if it is to be greater.

Necessarily.
So if we could find a greater which is greater than other greater things, and than itself, but not greater than the things beside which the others are greater, I take it there can be no doubt that it would be in the situation of being, if greater than itself, at the same time smaller than itself, would it not?

Most inevitably, Socrates, he said.
Or again, if there is a double of other doubles and
false analogy between two quite different uses of the genitive in Greek, represented in English by the comparative "than " and the objective " of."
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of itself, both it and the others must of course be halves, if it is to be their double ; for, you know, a double cannot be " of " anything else than its half.

True.
And what is more than itself will also be less, and the heavier will be lighter, and the older younger, and so on with everything else: whatever has its own faculty applied to itself will have also the natural quality to which its faculty was applicable, will it not? For instance, hearing is, as we say, just a hearing of sound, is it not?

Yes.
So if it is to hear itself, it will hear a sound of its own ; for it would not hear otherwise.

Most inevitably.
And sight, I suppose, my excellent friend, if it is to see itself, must needs have a colour ; for sight can never see what is colourless.

No more it can.
Then do you perceive, Critias, in the various cases we have propounded, how some of them strike us as absolutely impossible, while others raise serious doubts as to the faculty of the thing being ever applicable to itself? For with magnitudes, numbers, and the like it is absolutely impossible, is it not?

Certainly.
But again, with hearing and sight, or in the further cases of motion moving itself and heat burning itself, and all other actions of the sort, the fact must appear incredible to some, but perhaps not to others. So what we want, my friend, is some great man who
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will determine to our satisfaction in every respect whether there is nothing in nature so constituted as to have its own faculty applicable to itself, and not only some other object, or whether there are some such, and others not such; and whether, again, if there are things that have such relation to themselves, they include a science which we assert to be temperance. For my part, I distrust my own competence to determine these questions, and henee I am neither able to affirm whether it is possible that there should be a science of science, nor willing, let it be ever so true, to acknowledge this to be temperance until I have made out whether such a thing as this would benefit us or not. For, you see, I have a presentiment that temperance is something beneficial and good; and you, therefore, son of Callaeschrussince you lay it down that temperance is this very science of science, and moreover of the lack of science -shall first indicate the possibility, as I put it just now, and then the benefit added to the possibility, of such a thing; and perhaps you will then satisfy me that your definition of temperance is correct.

Now when Critias heard this and saw me in a difficulty, he seemed to me--just as the sight of someone yawning opposite causes people to be affected in the same way-to be compelled by the sense of my difficulty to be caught in a difficulty himself. And so, since he usually contrived to distinguish himself, he was too ashamed to bring himself to admit to me before the company that he was unable to determine the questions with which I challenged him, and he made a very indistinct reply in order to conceal his difficulty. Then I, to forward the discussion, remarked : Well, if you prefer, Critias, let
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us concede for the moment that there may possibly be a science of science: some other time we shall consider whether such is the fact or not. Come then ; suppose it is perfectly possible : how is one helped thereby to know what one knows and does not know? For this, you are aware, we said ${ }^{1}$ was the meaning of self-knowledge and temperance, did we not?

Certainly, he said; and it must surely follow, Socrates; for if a man has a science which knows itself, he will be similar himself to that which he has. For instance, he who has swiftness will be swift, he who has beauty will be beautiful, and he who has knowledge will know ; and when he has knowledge that is of itself, he will then, surely, be in the position of knowing himself.

I do not dispute, I said, that when a man has that which knows itself he will know himself; but having that, how is he bound to know what he knows and what he does not know?

Because, Socrates, the two things are the same.
I daresay, I said; but I am afraid I am still my old self : I still do not see how knowing what one knows and does not know is the same as the other.

How do you mean? he asked.
In this way, I replied : will a science of science, if such exists, be able to do more than determine that one of two things is science, and the other is not science?

No, only that.
Now, is science or lack of science of health the same as science or lack of science of justice?

By no means.
For the one, I suppose, is medicine, and the other politics, while the thing in question is merely science.
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Yes, to be sure.
And if a man has no added knowledge of health and justice, but knows only science, as having science of that alone, he will probably know that he has a certain piece of scientific knowledge about himself and about other people, will he not?

Yes.
But how will this science help him to know what he knows? For of course he knows health by means of medicine, not temperance, and harmony by means of music, not temperance, and building by means of the builder's art, not temperance ; and so it will be in every case, will it not?

Apparently.
And how will temperance, supposing it is only a science of sciences, help him to know that he knows health, or that he knows building ?

By no means.
Then he who is ignorant of all this will not know what he knows, but only that he knows.

So it seems.
Then being temperate, or temperance, will not be this knowledge of what one knows or does not know, but, it would seem, merely knowing that one knows or does not know.

It looks like it.
Then such a person will also be unable to examine another man's claim to some knowledge, and make out whether he knows or does not know what he says he knows : he will merely know, it would seem, that he has a certain knowledge ; but of what it is, temperance will not cause him to know.
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## Apparently not.

So he will be able to distinguish neither the man who pretends to be a doctor, but is none, from the man who really is one, nor any other man who has knowledge from him who has none. But let us consider it another way: if the temperate man or anybody else would discriminate between the true doctor and the false, he will go to work thus, will he not? He will surely not talk to him about medicine; for, as we were saying, the doctor understands nothing else but health and disease. Is not that so ?

Yes, it is.
But about science he knows nothing, for that, you know, we assigned to temperance alone.

Yes.
So the medical man knows nothing about medicine either, since medicine is, of course, a science.

True.
Then the temperate man will know, indeed, that the doctor has a certain science; but when he has to put its nature to the proof, must he not consider what its subjects are? Is not each science marked out, not merely as a science, but as a particular one, by the particular subjects it has ?

It is, to be sure
And medicine is marked out as different from the other sciences by being a science of health and disease.

Yes.
And so anyone who wishes to inquire into medicine must make those things, whatever they may be, with which it is concerned, the matter of his inquiry; not those foreign things, I presume, with which it is not?

No, indeed.

## PLATO



＂Еоккєン．
 vous $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \nu \lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ，єì $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ，бкотои́－


 тотє́pots є̇тако入оvөᅰ̂баl；

Oủ $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．
 ov̈тє $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ó $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ．iatpòs $\gamma$ àp äע є̈̈ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega \phi$ робúv $\eta$ ．
＂Eaтı $\tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha$.




 ó $\tau \circ \hat{\nu} \nu, \pi \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \tau$ đ̀े $\alpha$ víov̂ ó $\mu o ́ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \circ \nu, ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oí ä入入ot $\delta \eta \mu t o v \rho \gamma o i ́$.






 $\omega \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \iota \mu \nu \nu$ ท̂v $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \sigma \iota \nu$ єival à $\nu \alpha \mu a ́ \rho \tau \eta \tau o l ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$

 ${ }^{1}$ kal del．Heindorf．

## CHARMIDES

Then he who conducts his inquiry aright will consider the doctor, as a medical man, in connexion with cases of health and disease.

## So it seems.

And will inquire whether, in what is said or done in such cases, his words are truly spoken, and his acts rightly done?

He must.
Well now, could anyone follow up either of these points without the medical art?

No, indeed.
Nobody at all, it would seem, but a doctor ; and so not the temperate man either : for he would have to be a doctor, in addition to his temperance.

That is so.
Then inevitably, if temperance is only a science of science and of lack of science, it will be equally unable to distinguish a doctor who knows the business of his art from one who does not know but pretends or thinks he does, and any other person who has knowledge of anything at all: one will only distinguish one's fellow-artist, as craftsmen usually can.

Apparently, he said.
Then what benefit, I asked, Critias, can we still look for from temperance, if it is like that ? For if, as we began by assuming, the temperate man knew what he knew and what he did not know, and that he knows the one and does not know the other, and if he were able to observe this same condition in another man, it would be vastly to our benefit, we agree, to be temperate ; since we should pass all our lives, both we who had temperance and all the rest
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who were governed by us, without error. For neither should we ourselves attempt to do what we did not know, instead of finding out those who knew and placing the matter in their hands, nor should we permit others under our governance to do anything but what they were likely to do aright; and they would do that when they had knowledge of it ; and so it would be that a house which was ordered, or a state which was administered, as temperance bade, and everything else that was ruled by temperance, could not but be well ordered; for with error abolished, and rightness leading, in their every action men would be bound to do honourably and well under such conditions, and those who did well would be happy. Did we not so speak of temperance, I said, Critias, when we remarked how great a boon it was to know what one knows and what one does not know?

To be sure we did, he replied.
Whereas now, I went on, you see that nowhere can any such science be found.

I see, he said.
Then may we say, I asked, that there is this good point in the knowledge of knowledge and of lack of knowledge, which we now find to be what temperance is, that he who has it will not only learn more easily whatever he learns, but will perceive everything more plainly, since besides the particular things that he learns he will behold the science; and hence he will probe more surely the state of other men respecting the things which he has learnt himself, while those who probe without such knowledge will do it more feebly and poorly? Are these, my friend, the kind of advantages that we shall gain from temperance?
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But are we really looking at something greater, and requiring it to be something greater than it really is?

Probably, he replied, that is so.
I daresay, I said ; and I daresay also our inquiry has been worthless. And this I conclude, because I observe certain strange facts about temperance, if it is anything like that. For suppose, if you please, we concede that there may possibly be a science of science, and let us grant, and not withdraw, our original proposition that temperance is the knowledge of what one knows and does not know ; granting all this, let us still more thoroughly inquire whether on these terms it will be of any profit to us. For our suggestion just now, that temperance of that sort, as our guide in ordering house or state, must be a great boon, was not, to my thinking, Critias, a proper admission.

How so? he asked.
Because, I replied, we too lightly admitted that it would be a great boon to mankind if each of us should do what he knows, but should place what he did not know in the hands of others who had the knowledge.

Well, was that, he asked, not a proper admission ?
Not to my mind, I answered.
In very truth, your words are strange! he said, Socrates.

Yes, by the Dog, I said, and they strike me too in the same way ; and it was in view of this, just now, that I spoke of strange results that I noticed, and said I feared we were not inquiring rightly. For in truth, let temperance be ever so much what we say it is, I see nothing to show what good effect it has on us.

[^6]
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How so ? he asked: tell us, in order that we on our side may know what you mean.

I expect, I said, I am talking nonsense : but still one is bound to consider what occurs to one, and not idly ignore it, if one has even a little coneem for oneself.

And you are quite right, he said.
Hear then, I said, my dream, whether it has come through horn or through ivory. ${ }^{1}$ Suppose that temperance were such as we now define her, and that she had entire control of us: must it not be that every act would be done according to the sciences, and no one professing to be a pilot when he was not would deceive us, nor would a doctor, nor a general, nor anyone else pretending to know something he did not know, go undetected ; and would not these conditions result in our having greater bodily health than we have now, safety in perils of the sea and war, and skilful workmanship in all our utensils, our clothes, our shoes, nay, everything about us, and various things besides, because we should be employing genuine craftsmen? And if you liked, we might concede that prophecy, as the knowledge of what is to be, and temperance directing her, will deter the charlatans, and establish the true prophets as our prognosticators. Thus equipped, the human race would indeed act and live according to knowledge, I grant you (for temperance, on the watch, would not suffer ignorance to foist herself in and take a hand in our labours), but that by acting according to knowledge we should do well and be happy-this is a
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Homer, Od. xix. 562 foll. Dreams are there described as issuing from two gates: dreams that come true are from the gate of horn; deceitful dreams are from the gate of ivory.

## PLATO

 ङ фì̀є Kрıтia．

 àтน $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$.
 тivos є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu o ́ \nu \omega s$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ; \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \mu \eta ิ s ;$
E Mà $\Delta i$＂о $\mathfrak{k} \kappa$ є＂$\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．
＇Ад入à $\chi$ алкои̂ є́pүacías；
Oúठaц⿳亠二口今s．








 $\ddot{\eta}$ ä入入入ov $\tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ;$

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о v \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu o ́ \tau а ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \alpha ~ є i \delta \epsilon i ́ \eta ~$




Ov̉ $\delta \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ．



[^8]
## CHARMIDES

point which as yet we are unable to make out, my dear Critias.

But still, he replied, you will have some difficulty in finding any other fulfilment of welfare if you reject the rule of knowledge.

Then inform me further, I said, on one more little matter. Of what is this knowledge? Do you mean of shoe-making ?

Good heavens, not I!
Well, of working in brass ?
By no means.
Well, in wool, or in wood, or in something else of that sort?

No, indeed.
Then we no longer hold, I said, to the statement that he who lives according to knowledge is happy ; for these workers, though they live according to knowledge, are not acknowledged by you to be happy : you rather delimit the happy man, it seems to me, as one who lives according to knowledge about certain things. And I daresay you are referring to my instance of a moment ago, the man who knows all that is to come, the prophet. Do you refer to him or to someone else?

Yes, I refer to him, he said, and someone else too.
Whom? I asked. Is it the sort of person who might know, besides what is to be, both everything that has been and now is, and mighi be ignorant of nothing? Let us suppose such a man exists : you are not going to tell me, I am sure, of anyone alive who is yet more knowing than he.

No, indeed.
Then there is still one more thing I would fain know : which of the sciences is it that makes him happy? Or does he owe it to all of them alike?

## PLATO

B Oủ $\delta \alpha \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ ó $\mu o i \omega s$, ＂$\neq \eta$ ．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \in \gamma о \nu o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda о ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ढै $\sigma \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ; \hat{\alpha} \rho a ́$ $\gamma \epsilon \hat{\eta} \tau$ то $\pi \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu ;$


Ov̇ठaц⿳⺈⿴\zh11⿰一一兀s．
＇$A \lambda \lambda$＇$\hat{\eta}$ тò vóqıє $\quad$ óv；
Mâ入入ov，є̈ф $\eta$ ．











 каi ${ }_{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \iota \kappa \eta$ ढ่้ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$ ；


 таúтทs ảmov́aŋs．
＇A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota s$.





## CHARMIDES

By no means to all alike, he replied.
But to which sort most? One that gives him knowledge of what thing, present, past or future? Is it that by which he knows draught-playing ?

Draught-playing indeed! he replied.
Well, reckoning?
By no means.
Well, health ?
More likely, he said.
And that science to which I refer as the most likely, I went on, gives him knowledge of what?

Of good, he replied, and of evil.
Vile creature! I said, you have all this time been dragging me round and round, while concealing the fact that the life according to knowledge does not make us do well and be happy, not even if it be knowledge of all the other knowledges together, but only if it is of this single one concerning good and evil. For, Critias, if you choose to take away this science from the whole number of them, will medicine any the less give us health, or shoemaking give us shoes, or weaving provide clothes, or will the pilot's art any the less prevent the loss of life at sea, or the general's in war?

None the less, he replied.
But, my-dear Critias, to have any of these things well and beneficially done will be out of our reach if that science is lacking.

That is true.
And that science, it seems, is not temperance, but one whose business is to benefit us ; for it is not a science of sciences and lack of sciences, but of good

PLATO
 $[\dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda i ́ \mu \eta]^{1} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$.
 $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu \eta}$ є่ $\sigma \tau i \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ-$







 จข่ $\delta \in \nu$ ós oủx ou゙т $\omega$ s;

Фaivєтaí $\gamma \in$.

$\mathrm{O} \dot{v} \cdot \delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha$.

"A $\lambda \lambda \eta \mathrm{n}$.



Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.









 ${ }^{1} \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda / \mu \eta$ secl. Madvig.
84

## CHARMIDES

and evil : so that if this is beneficial, temperance nust be something else to us.

But why, he asked, should not it be beneficial? For if temperance is above all a science of the sciences, and presides too over the other sciences, surely she will govern this science of the good, and so benefit us.

And give us health also ? I asked: will she, and not medicine, do this? And will the several works of the other arts be hers, and not the particular works of each art? Have we not constantly protested that she is only knowledge of knowledge and of lack of knowledge, and of nothing else? Is not that so ?

Apparently it is.
Then she will not be a producer of health ?
No, indeed.
For health, we said, belongs to another art, did we not?

We did.
Nor of benefit, my good friend; for this work, again, we assigned to another art just now, did we not?

Certainly.
Then how will temperance be beneficial, if it produces no benefit?

By no means, Socrates, as it seems.
So do you see, Critias, how all the time I had good reason for my fear, and fair ground for the reproach I made against myself, that my inquiry regarding temperance was worthless? ${ }^{1}$ For I cannot think that what is admitted to be the noblest thing in the world would have appeared to us useless if I had been of any use for making a good search. But now, you see, we are worsted every way, and cannot discover what

$$
{ }^{1} C f .172 \mathrm{c} .
$$
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thing it can possibly be to which the lawgiver gave this name, temperance. And yet we have conceded many points which were not deducible from our argument. For you know we conceded that there was a science of science, when the argument was against it and would not agree ; and we further conceded that this science could know the works also of the other sciences, when the argument was against this too, in order to make out that the temperate man had a knowledge of what he knew and did not know, so as to know that he knew the one and did not know the other. And we made this concession in a really magnificent manner, without considering the impossibility of a man knowing, in some sort of way, things that he does not know at all; for our admission says that he knows that he does not know them ; and yet, in my opinion, there can be nothing more irrational than this. Nevertheless, although it has found us so simple-minded and tractable, the inquiry remains quite incapable of discovering the truth, but has utterly flouted it by most impudently showing us the inutility of that which we had been ever so long assuming, by our joint admissions and fictions, to be the meaning of temperance. Now, so far as I am concerned, I am not particularly distressed: but for your sake, I said, Charmides, I am seriously distressed to think that you, with your goodly form and most temperate soul besides, are to have no profit or advantage from the presence of that temperance in all your life. And I am still more distressed about the charm which I learnt from the Thracian, ${ }^{1}$ that I should have spent so much pains on a lesson which has had such a worthless effect. Now I really do not think that this can be the case, but
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rather that I am a poor hand at inquiring ; for temperance I hold to be a great good, and you to be highly blessed, if you actually have it. See now whether you have it, and are in no need of the charm ; for if it is yours, I should rather advise you to regard me as a babbler who is unable to argue out any subject of inquiry whatsoever, and yourself as advancing in happiness as you advance in temperance.

Then Charmides said: Why, upon my word, Socrates, I do not know at all whether I have it or have it not. For how can I know, when even you two are unable to discover what this thing is?-so you say, but of this you do not at all convince me-and I quite believe, Socrates, that I do need the charm, and for my part I have no objection to being charmed by you every day of my life, until you say I have had enough of the treatment.

Very well, said Critias : now, Charmides, if you do this, it will be a proof to me of your temperance-if you submit to be charmed by Socrates and do not forsake him through thick and thin.

Count on me to follow, he said, and not forsake him ; for it would ill become me to disobey you, my guardian, and refuse to do your bidding.

Well now, he said, I bid you.
Then I will do as you say, he replied, and will start this very day.

There, there, I said, what are you two plotting to do?

Nothing, replied Charmides; we have made our plot.

So you will use force, I said, before even allowing me to make my affidavit?
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You must expect me to use force, he replied, since he gives me the command : take counsel, therefore, on your side, as to what you will do

But that leaves no room, I said, for counsel ; for if onee you set about doing anything and use force, no man alive will be able to withstand you.
'Then do not you, he said, withstand me.
Then I will not withstand you, I replied.

ALCIBIADES I

## INTRODUCIION 'TO ALCIBIADES I

Tue First Alcibiades gives us a clear and useful, if rather inelegant, illustration of the ordinary teaching of Socrates. He accosts his young friend at a critical moment of opening manhood, and makes him admit, by willing replies to a series of carefully designed questions, that he is ignorant of the most important things which ought to be known by one about to enter upon a public career. In the first part (103114) we are shown that Alcibiades is going to advise the state on questions of peace and war, and must therefore know what is just and what unjust: but he could only have acquired this knowledge from the multitude, whose perpetual quarrels seem to show that they lack it ; and if he says that it is rather on the expedient and inexpedient that he proposes to advise them, we want to know in turn what these are. In the second part (115-127) we find that the just is the honourable, good and expedient ; and Alcibiades is further humiliated by a sly use of the double meaning of "doing well"-acting aright, and prospering. Other Athenian statesmen, including even Pericles (who is supposed to be still alive), are just as ignorant as Alcibiades, and he may be at no disadvantage in competition with them: but his real competitors in the race for power and glory are persons like the kings of Sparta and Persia, whose

## INTRODUCTION TO ALCIBIADES I

training, wealth, and authority are described at some length and in lively detail. For such a contest it is necessary that Alcibiades should avail himself of all the help that Socrates can give him. They must join equally in the inquiry- What is the goodness required in a statesman? It seems to have something to do with friendship and harmony among the people ; and yet justice surely consists in everyone doing his own work, and this does not make for harmony. Alcibiades is sorely puzzled, but fortunately he is not too old to learn. The third and last section (128-135) deals with the Delphic maxim Know thyself, and what it may be supposed to mean. To know oneself is to know one's mind, and is true prudence or " temperance," which, with justice, is a necessary condition of happiness.

The imaginary time of the conversation is about 432 в.c., when Alcibiades was eighteen years old. He is now losing the extraordinary physical beauty of his boyhood, and is turning his mind to the political power whose attainment is the obvious aim of an able and ambitious man. It is at this moment that Socrates, an admirer who has held aloof from him till now, exposes by skilful questioning his false conceit of knowledge and his desperate need of knowing, in the first place, his own mind. The method of interrogation, and the language used by both speakers, are quite of a piece with those in other early dialogues of Plato. The somewhat lengthy speech of Socrates about the royal families of Persia and Sparta (121124), though it has some pleasant touches of Socratic humour, is perhaps a little out of character in a scene where so much emphasis is laid on the point that all the positive statements come from Alcibiades and 96
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none from Socrates; and the identification of soul with man ( 130 c ) is a crude and unsatisfactory suggestion compared with the later theories of the Gorgias ( 46 A ) and other dialogues. But on the whole there seems to be no sufficient reason for doubting, with some eminent scholars, the authenticity of this dialogue, if it be remembered that the work is probably one of Plato's earliest sketches, composed in the years immediately following the death of Socrates ( 399 в.c.) ; that from the third century a.d. it has been regarded and studied as an exemplary piece of Academic teaching; and that it is natural to suppose that the series of Plato's compositions would begin with some immature and relatively inartistic essays in dialogue-writing. When he came to conceive the Symposium, Plato was able to draw far fuller and finer portraits of both Socrates and Alcibiades, and to vivify their friendly converse by many a masterly stroke of dramatic art.
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# ALCIBIADES I <br> [or ON THE NATURE OF MAN : "obstetric "] 

## CHARACTERS

Socrates, Alcibrajees

soc. Son of Cleinias, I think it must surprise you that I, the first of all your lovers, am the only one of them who has not given up his suit and thrown you over, and whereas they have all pestered you with their conversation I have not spoken one word to you for so many years. The cause of this has been nothing human, but a certain spiritual opposition, ${ }^{1}$ of whose power you shall be informed at some later time. However, it now opposes me no longer, so I have accordingly come to you; and I am in good hopes that it will not oppose me again in the future. Now I have been observing you all this time, and have formed a pretty good notion of your behaviour to your lovers: for although they were many and highspirited, everyone of them has found your spirit too strong for him and has run away. Let me explain the reason of your spirit being too much for them. You say you have no need of any man in any matter ; for your resources are so great, beginning with the body and ending with the soul, that you lack nothing.
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You think, in the first place, that you are foremost in beauty and stature-and you are not mistaken in this, as is plain for all to see-and in the second place, that you are of the most gallant family in your city, the greatest city in Greece, and that there you have, through your father, very many of the best people as your friends and kinsmen, who would assist you in case of need, and other connexions also, through your mother, who are not a whit inferior to these, nor fewer. And you reckon upon a stronger power than all those that I have mentioned, in Pericles, son of Xanthippus, whom your father left as guardian of you and your brother when he died, and who is able to do whatever he likes not only in this city but all over Greece and among many great nations of the barbarians. And I will add besides the wealth of your house: but on this, I observe, you presume least of all. Well, you puff yourself up on all these advantages, and have overcome your lovers, while they in their inferiority have yielded to your might, and all this has not escaped you ; so I am very sure that you wonder what on earth I mean by not getting rid of my passion, and what can be my hope in remaining when the rest have fled.
alc. Perhaps also, Socrates, you are not aware that you have only just anticipated me. For I, in fact, had the intention of coming and asking you first that very same question-what is your aim and expectation in bothering me by making a particular point of always turning up wherever I may be. For I really do wonder what can be your object, and should be very glad if you would tell me.
soc. Then you will listen to me, presumably, with keen attention if, as you say, you long to know what
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I mean, and I have in you a listener who will stay to hear me out.
alc. Why, to be sure : only speak.
soc. Look to it, then ; for it would be no wonder if I should make as much difficulty about stopping as I have made about starting.
alc. My good sir, speak; for I will listen.
soc. Speak I must, I suppose. Now, although it is hard for a lover to parley with a man who does not yield to lovers, I must make bold nevertheless to put my meaning into words. For if I saw you, Alcibiades, content with the things I set forth just now, and minded to pass your life in enjoying them, I should long ago have put away my love, so at least I persuade myself : but as it is, I shall propound to your face quite another set of your thoughts, whereby you will understand that I have had you continually before my mind. For I believe, if some god should ask you : " Alcibiades, do you prefer to live with your present possessions, or to die immediately if you are not to have the chance of acquiring greater things?" I believe you would choose to die. But let me tell you what I imagine must be the present hope of your life. You think that if you come shortly before the Athenian Assembly-which you expect to occur in a very few days-you will stand forth and prove to the people that you are more worthy of honour than either Pericles or anyone else who has ever existed, and that having proved this you will have the greatest power in the state ; and that if you are the greatest here, you will be the same among all the other Greeks, and not only Greeks, but all the barbarians who inhabit the same continent with us. And if that same god should say to you again, that you are to
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hold sway here in Europe, but are not to be allowed to cross over into Asia and to interfere with the affairs of that region, I believe you would be equally loth to live on those sole conditions either-if you are not to fill, one may say, the whole world with your name and your power ; and I fancy that, except Cyrus and Xerxes, you think there has never existed a single man who was of any account. So then that this is your hope, I know well enough; I am not merely guessing. And I daresay you will reply, since you know that what I say is true: "Well, Socrates, and what has that to do with your point?" I am going to tell you, dear son of Cleinias and Deinomache. Without me it is impossible for all those designs of yours to be crowned with achievement; so great is the power I conceive myself to have over your affairs and over you, and it is for this very reason, I believe, that the god has so long prevented me from talking with you, and I was waiting to see when he would allow me. For as you have hopes of proving yourself in publie to be invaluable to the state and, having proved it, of winning forthwith unlimited power, so do I hope to win supreme power over you by proving that I am invaluable to you, and that neither guardian nor kinsman nor anyone else is competent to transmit to you the power that you long for except me, with the god's help, however. In your younger days, to be sure, before you had built such high hopes, the god, as I believe, prevented me from talking with you, in order that I might not waste my words : but now he has set me on; for now you will listen to me.
alc. You seem to me far more extraordinary, Socrates, now that you have begun to speak, than before, when you followed me about in silence;
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though even then you looked strange enough. Well, as to my intending all this or not, you have apparently made your decision, and any denial of mine will not avail me to persuade you. Very good : but supposing I have intended ever so much what you say, how are you the sole means through which I can hope to attain it? Can you tell me?
soc. Are you asking whether I can make a long speech, such as you are used to hearing? No, my gift is not of that sort. But I fancy I could prove to you that the case is so, if you will consent to do me just one little service.
alc. Why, if you mean a service that is not troublesome, I consent.
soc. Do you consider it troublesome to answer questions put to you?
alc. No, I do not.
soc. Then answer.
alc. Ask.
soc. Well, you have the intentions which I say you have, I suppose?
alc. Be it so, if you like, in order that I may know what you will say next.
soc. Now then: you intend, as I say, to come forward as adviser to the Athenians in no great space of time ; well, suppose I were to take hold of you as you were about to ascend the platform, and were to ask you: "Alcibiades, on what subject do the Athenians propose to take advice, that you should stand up to advise them? Is it something about which you have better knowledge than they?" What would be your reply?
alc. I should say, I suppose, it was something about which I knew better than they.
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soc. Then you are a good adviser on things about which you actually know.
alc. To be sure.
soc. And you know only the things you have learnt from others or discovered yourself ?
alc. What could I know besides?
soc. And can it be that you would ever have learnt or discovered anything without being willing either to learn it or to inquire into it yourself ?
alc. No.
soc. Well then, would you have been wiliing to inquire into or learn what you thought you knew ?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. So there was a time when you did not think that you knew what you now actually know.
alc. There must have been.
soc. Well, but I know pretty nearly the things that you have learnt: tell me if anything has escaped me. You learnt, if I recollect, writing and harping and wrestling ; as for fluting, you refused to learn it. These are the things that you know, unless perhaps there is something you have been learning unobserved by me; and this you were not, I believe, if you so much as stepped out of doors either by night or by day.
alc. No, I have taken no other lessons than those.
soc. Then tell me, will it be when the Athenians are taking advice how they are to do their writing correctly that you are to stand up and advise them?
alc. Upon my word, not I.
soc. Well, about strokes on the lyre?
alc. Not at all.
soc. Nor in fact are they accustomed to deliberate on throws in wrestling either at the Assembly.
alc. No, to be sure.
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Алк．＂O $\tau \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́av $\alpha \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, ~ \widehat{\omega}$ $\Sigma$ ц́кратєs．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．T $\omega \hat{\nu} \pi \epsilon p i$ vautクpias $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ，ótoías $\tau \iota \nu a ̀ s$


 aïть๐ $\ddot{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ o $\tau \iota$ ；
Aлk．Ovैк，ả̀入̀̀ тоvิто．

## ALCIBIADES I

soc. Then what will be the subject of the advice? For I presume it will not be about building.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. For a builder will give better advice than you in that matter.
alc. Yes.
soc. Nor yet will it be about divination ?
alc. No.
soc. For there again a diviner will serve better than you.
alc. Yes.
soc. Whether he be short or tall, handsome or ugly, nay, noble or ignoble.
alc. Of course.
soc. For on each subject the advice comes from one who knows, not one who has riches.
alc. Of course.
soc. And whether their mentor be poor or rich will. make no difference to the Athenians when they deliberate for the health of the citizens; all that they require of their counsellor is that he be a physician.
atc. Naturally.
soc. Then what will they have under consideration if you are to be right in standing up, when you do so, as their counsellor?
alc. Their own affairs, Socrates.
soc. Do you mean with regard to shipbuilding, and the question as to what sort of ships they ought to get built?
alc. No, I do not, Socrates.
soc. Because, I imagine, you do not understand shipbuilding. Is that, and that alone, the reason ?
alc. That is just the reason.

## PLATO

D $\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇A $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi o i ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є́aut $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon ' \gamma \epsilon \iota S$ $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ öт $\frac{1}{}$ ßоилєv́cuvтаl；
ААк．＂О $\tau \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v, \widehat{\omega} \sum \omega ́ \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon S, \eta \geqslant \pi \epsilon \rho i$


 тоо́тоv；

Алк．Naí．
z．$\Omega$ ．Xpì $\delta^{\prime}$ oủ oî̀ $\beta$ édtıov；
Ank．Naí．
E ż』：Kai тótє óто́тє $\beta$ е́dтьov；
Ank．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．

aлk．Naí．




Алк．＇О $\pi \alpha \iota \delta о \tau \rho i \beta \eta s ~ \delta \eta ं \pi о v . ~$

 каi оîs $\mu \eta \prime, ~ к а i ~ o ́ \pi о ́ т \epsilon ~ к а i ~ o ̈ \nu \tau \iota \nu а ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ; ~ \lambda є ́ \gamma \omega ~$ Sè тò тоเóv $\delta \epsilon \cdot \hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ тоúтoเs $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi a \lambda a i \in \iota \nu$ ，oîs $\beta \in ́ \lambda \tau \iota o \nu$, グ ov̉；

алк．Naí．

Алк．Тобаи̂та．

Алк．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．



${ }^{1}$ du add．Burnet．

## ALCIBIADES I

soc. Well, on what sort of affairs of their own do you mean that they will be deliberating ?
alc. On war, Socrates, or on peace, or on any other of the state's affairs.
soc. Do you mean that they will be deliberating with whom they ought to make peace, and on whom they ought to make war, and in what manner ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And on whom it is better to do so, ought they not?
alc. Yes.
soc. And at such time as it is better ?
alc. Certainly.
soc. And for so long as they had better ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Now if the Athenians should deliberate with whom they should wrestle close, and with whom only at arm's length, and in what manner, would you or the wrestling-master be the better adviser?
alc. The wrestling-master, I presume.
soc. And can you tell me what the wrestlingmaster would have in view when he advised as to the persons with whom they ought or ought not to wrestle close, and when and in what manner ? What I mean is something like this : ought they not to wrestle close with those with whom it is better to do so ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And so far as is better, too ?
alc. So far.
soc. And at such time also as is better ?
alc. Certainly.
soc. But again, when one sings, one has sometimes to accompany the song with harping and stepping ?

## PLATO

Aлk．$\Delta \in i ̂$ дáp．

ААк．Naí．
ェл．Kai тобаûta öтa $\beta$ édtıov；
ААк．Ф пиi．$^{\text {．}}$



 $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v ; ~ \sigma v ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon i v o ~ \tau i ́ ~ к а \lambda \epsilon \epsilon i ̂ s ; ~$

Алк．Ойк є́̀vô̂．




Алк．Naí．

Алк．$\Pi \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ oủ；
 $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v . ~$
ank．Eítes $\gamma$ áp．

Алк．＂Е $\mu о \iota \gamma \in$ ठокєі．．



 єime iv ；

Алк．Ó̉ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．


[^11]
## ALCIBIADES I

alc. Yes, one has.
soc. And at such time as is better ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And so far as is better?
alc. I agree.
soc. Well now, since you applied the term " better" to the two cases of harping for accompaniment of a song and close wrestling, what do you call the " better" in the case of harping, to correspond with what in the case of wrestling I call gymnastic? What do you call the other?
alc. I do not understand.
soc. Well, try to copy me: for my answer gave you, I think, what is correct in every instance ; and that is correct, I presume, which proceeds by rule of the art, is it not?
alc. Yes.
soc. And was not the art here gymnastic ?
alc. To be sure.
soc. And I said that the better ${ }^{1}$ in the case of wrestling was gymnastic.
alc. You did.
soc. And I was quite fair?
alc. I think so.
soc. Come then, in your turn-for it would befit you also, I fancy, to argue fairly ${ }^{2}$-tell me, first, what is the art which includes harping and singing and treading the measure correctly ? What is it called as a whole? You cannot yet tell me?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Well, try another way : who are the goddesses that foster the art ?
as well as " correctly ") in allusion to Alcibiades' good looks. Cf. 113 в.

## PLATO

ank. Tàs Mov́ras, $\hat{\omega}$ इ $\omega \ll \rho a \tau \epsilon s, \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ;$
 นíà $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta$ є́ $\chi \in \iota$;

इת. $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ रáp. $\tau i ́$ oûv тò катà тaúт $\nu \nu$ ỏp $\theta \hat{\omega} s$








 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò $\beta$ éd $\tau \iota \circ \nu$.

$\Sigma \Omega$. 'A入入̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \alpha i \sigma \chi \rho o ́ v ~ \gamma \epsilon, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v ~ \tau i s ~ \sigma \epsilon ~$







 фаі $\bar{\varepsilon} \in \alpha$;

ААк. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
${ }^{1}$ ' $\phi$ ' $̇ \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \psi$ secl. Schanz.

## ALCIBIADES I

alc. The Muses, you mean, Socrates ?
soc. I do. Now, just think, and say by what name the art is called after them.
alc. Music, ${ }^{1}$ I suppose you mean.
soc. Yes, I do. And what is that which proceeds correctly by its rule? As in the other case I was correct in mentioning to you gymnastic as that which goes by the art, so I ask you, accordingly, what you say in this case. What manner of proceeding is required?
alc. A musical one, I suppose.
soc. You are right. Come then, what is it that you term " better," in respect of what is better in waging war and being at peace? Just as in our other instances you said that the " better" implied the more musical and again, in the parallel case, the more gymnastical, try now if you can tell me what is the "better" in this case.
alc. But I am quite unable.
soc. But surely that is disgraceful; for if you should speak to somebody as his adviser on food, and say that one sort was better than another, at this time and in this quantity, and he then asked youWhat do you mean by the " better," Alcibiades ?in a matter like that you could tell him you meant the more wholesome, although you do not set up to be a physician; yet in a case where you set up to have knowledge and are ready to stand up and advise as though you knew, are you not ashamed to be unable, as appears, to answer a question upon it ? Does it not seem disgraceful ?
alc. Very.
1 "Music " with the Greeks included poetry and dancing as well as our " music."

## PLATO

ェת．इкóтєє $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каi $\pi \rho о \theta u \mu о \hat{v} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v, \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau i ́$
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ oîs $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ；








 ぞ тò ádíксшs；

ェュ．Аข̉тó тоข̂то．
 $\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ．







ААк．Oủ $\delta \eta ̂ \tau \alpha$ ．оủסє́ $\gamma \epsilon$ ка入òv סокєî єival．
ェू．Про̀s тav̂т＇a’ $\rho a$ каi ov̀［ $\tau$ ò סíkaıov $]^{1}$ тov̀s dóyovs тoıńoŋ；

Алк．＇Аขа́ $к к \eta$ ．
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda є \mu \epsilon i ̂ v ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu \eta ́, ~ к а i ̀ ~ о i ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ к а i ~ o i ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ́, ~$

$$
{ }^{1} \tau \partial \delta \delta \text { fкalon secl. Nürnberger. }
$$

## ALCIBIADES I

soc. Then consider and do your best to tell me the comnexion of "better" in being at peace or at war with those to whom we ought to be so disposed.
alc. Well, I anz considering, but I fail to perceive it.
soc. But you must know what treatment it is that we allege against each other when we enter upon a war, and what name we give it when we do so ?
alc. I do: we say we are victims of deceit or violence or spoliation.
soc. Enough : how do we suffer each of these things? Try and tell me what difference there is between one way and another.
alc. Do you mean by that, Socrates, whether it is in a just way or an unjust way?
soc. Precisely.
alc. Why, there you have all the difference in the world.
soc. Well then, on which sort are you going to advise the Athenians to make war-those who are acting unjustly, or those who are doing what is just?
alc. That is a hard question : for even if someone decides that he must go to war with those who are doing what is just, he would not admit that they were doing so.
soc. For that would not be lawful, I suppose ?
alc. No, indeed; nor is it considered honourable either.
soc. So you too will appeal to these things in making your speeches?
alc. Necessarily.
soc. Then must not that "better" about which I was asking in reference to making or not making war, on those on whom we ought to or not, and

## PLATO

 そ̈ ov；
ank．Фaivetaí $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
D $\Sigma \Omega$. Пิิs oûv，$\widehat{\omega}$ фì $\epsilon \in$＇A $\lambda \kappa \iota \beta \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta ; ~ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~$






Алк．$\Sigma \kappa \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \tau \epsilon \iota, \dot{\omega} \Sigma \omega ́ к р а \tau \epsilon S$ ．

 $\epsilon \notin \tau \iota \nu$.


$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Nai，єí $\gamma \in \epsilon$ Ưpots．

ェл．Kai $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \gamma \in, ~ \epsilon i ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta \prime \sigma \alpha \iota s$.


Aлk．Eî $\tau \alpha$ ov̉k $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ö $\tau$＇єîxov oư $\omega \omega$ s；






 ov่ $\chi$ ov゙т $\omega$ s；

Алк．＂Е $\quad \omega \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Cf．above， 106 E．
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## ALCIBIADES I

when we ought to or not, be simply and solely the juster?
alc. Apparently it is.
soc. How now, friend Alcibiades? Have you overlooked your own ignorance of this matter, or have I overlooked ${ }^{1}$ your learning it and taking lessons of a master who taught you to distinguish the more just and the more unjust? And who is he? Inform me in my turn, in order that you may introduce me to him as another pupil.
alc. You are joking, Socrates.
soc. No, I swear by our common God of Friendship, whose name I would by no means take in vain. Come, if you can, tell me who the man is.
alc. But what if I cannot? Do you think I could not know about what is just and unjust in any other way ?
soc. Yes, you might, supposing you discovered it.
alc. But do you not think I might discover it?
soc. Yes, quite so, if you inquired.
alc. And do you not think I might inquire?
soc. I do, if you thought you did not know.
alc. And was there not a time when I held that view?
soc. Well spoken. Then can you tell me at what time it was that you thought you did not know what is just and unjust? Pray, was it a year ago that you were inquiring, and thought you did not know? Or did you think you knew? Please answer truly, that our debates may not be futile.
alc. Well, I thought I knew.
soc. And two years, and three years, and four years back, were you not of the same mind?
alc. I was.

## PLATO

ェू. ' $A \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ тó $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$. ท̂ रáp; $^{\prime}$

Алк. Naí.







 ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \eta \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega ;$
 о́то́тє ті's $\mu \epsilon$ ả́ıко̂́;
 то́тє, $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S, \tau i ́ \sigma \epsilon \chi \rho \eta े$ тоцєîv;


 $\tau \grave{~ \delta i ́ к а \iota а ~ к а i ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \alpha ̌ \delta ı к а . ~}$

 ※̂ $\gamma \in$ ẅov єỉéval.

ААк. $\mathrm{O} v \dot{v} \delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha$.


 єỉধєiv.
D ェ̇ $\Omega$. Evipc̀v $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ้ \rho a ~ о v ̉ \kappa ~ o i ̂ \sigma \theta a ~ a u ̛ \tau \alpha ́ . ~$
Алк. Оv̉ $\pi \alpha ́ v v ~ \phi а i ́ v o \mu \alpha ı . ~$


## ALCIBIADES I

soc. But, you see, before that time you were a child, were you not?
alc. Yes.
soc. So I know well enough that then you thought you knew.
alc. How do you know it so well ?
soc. Many a time I heard you, when as a child you were dicing or playing some other game at your teacher's or elsewhere, instead of showing hesitation about what was just and unjust, speak in very loud and confident tones about one or other of your playmates, saying he was a rascal and a cheat who played unfairly. Is not this a true account?
alc. But what was I to do, Socrates, when somebody cheated me?
soc. Yet if you were ignorant then whether you were being unfairly treated or not, how can you ask -"What are you to do ?"
alc. Well, but on my word, I was not ignorant : no, I clearly understood that I was being wronged.
soc. So you thought you knew, even as a child, it seems, what was just and unjust.
alc. I did ; and I knew too.
soc. At what sort of time did you discover it? For surely it was not while you thought you knew.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Then when did you think you were ignorant? Consider ; I believe you will fail to find such a time.
alc. Upon my word, Socrates, I really cannot say.
soc. So you do not know it by discovery.
alc. Not at all, apparently.
soc. But you said just now that you did not know it by learning either ; and if you neither discovered

## PLATO

 $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ；


$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tò $\delta \epsilon ̀ \pi \hat{\omega} s \in \hat{i} \chi \in \nu$ ；
 ä入入oє．
 то仑̂；фрábє кá $\mu$ oi．
E Алк．Пара̀ т $\omega \nu$ то入入ఎิv．
 $\phi \in v ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S$ єis тoùs mo入入oùs àvaф́́pwv．

алк．Tí $\delta \epsilon ́ ;$ ov̉ð iкаvoi $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \iota ~ o v i \tau o \iota ; ~$

 ซv่ ov่Х ov゙т $\omega$ s oüєに；

Ank．Naí．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Еî $\alpha$ тà $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ фаv入ó $\tau \in \rho a$ oủx oîoí $\tau \in \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu$ ， тà $\delta$ є̀ $\sigma \pi о v \delta a \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a ;$



ミ̊．Поîa таvิта；
111 ААк．Оîov каi тò € є $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho a ̀$ тои́т $\omega \nu$＂ै $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$

 ov่ otovסaíovs єivaı $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o v s . ~$

 $\epsilon i s ~ \delta ı \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ a \nu$.

А＾K．＇Tí $\delta \eta$ ；＇
 סıסaoкá入ovs ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota \nu$ ．
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nor learnt it, how do you come to know it, and whence?
alc. Well, perhaps that answer I gave you was not correct, that I knew it by my own discovery.
soc. Then how was it done?
alc. I learnt it, I suppose, in the same way as everyone else.
soc. Back we come to the same argument. From whom? Please tell me.
alc. From the many.
soc. They are no very serious teachers with whom you take refuge, if you ascribe it to the many!
alc. Why, are they not competent to teach ?
soc. Not how to play, or not to play, draughts ; and yet that, I imagine, is a slight matter compared with justice. What? Do you not think so ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then if they are unable to teach the slighter, can they teach the more serious matter ?
alc. I think so : at any rate, there are many other things that they are able to teach, more serious than draughts.
soc. What sort of things?
alc. For instance, it was from them that I learnt to speak Greek, and I could not say who was my teacher, but can only ascribe it to the same people who, you say, are not serious teachers.
soc. Ah, gallant sir, the many may be good teachers of that, and they can justly be praised for their teaching of such subjects.
alc. And why?
soc. Because in those subjects they have the equipment proper to good teachers.

## PLATO

AAk．Tí toûto $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ；
 ôtเôv̀ av̉тov̀s $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i \delta \in ́ v a l ; ~ そ ゙ ~ o v ̉ ; ~$
B a＾k．П⿳⺈ş $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉；
 $\kappa \alpha i ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ;$
aлk．Naí．
 єióéval av̉тoús：

Aлk．Oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Toút $\omega \nu$ oûv $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon \hat{i} \epsilon \nu$ ；
алк．Ov̉ठaرû́s．



 каi $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma \alpha ~ \tau о \iota a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \cdot \sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ v ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \tau \iota ~ \mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \omega ~ \tau o ̀ ~$


алк．Naí．


 ai $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau a v \theta^{\prime}$ ai $\delta^{\prime}$ ä入la фáoкоvбац；

Алк．Оủ $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ ．
 єî́v ả $\gamma \alpha \theta$ oí．
ank．Naí．

 бка入íav тоúт $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu ;$
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alc. What do you mean by that?
soc. You know that those who are going to teach anything should first know it themselves, do you not?
alc. Of course.
soc. And that those who know should agree with each other and not differ ?
alc. Yes.
soc. But if they differ upon anything, will you say that they know it?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Then how can they be teachers of it ?
alc. By no means.
soc. Well now, do you find that the many differ about the nature of stone or wood? If you ask one of them, do they not agree on the same answer, and make for the same things when they want to get a piece of stone or wood? It is just the same, too, with everything of the sort : for I am pretty nearly right in understanding you to mean just this by knowing how to speak Greek, am I not ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And on these matters, as we stated, they not only agree with each other and with themselves in private, but states also use in public the same terms about them to each other, without any dispute ?
alc. They do.
soc. Then naturally they will be good teachers of these matters.
alc. Yes.
soc. And if we should wish to provide anyone with knowledge of them, we should be right in sending him to be taught by "the many" that you speak of?
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A＾K．Пávv $\gamma \in$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí $\delta ’ \in i \quad \beta o v \lambda \eta \theta \in i ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$ єiठє́val，$\mu \eta ̀ \mu o ́ v o v$

 iкаขоi $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \xi \alpha \iota ;$

А＾к．Ov̉ $\delta \eta \bar{\tau} \alpha$ ．




Алк．＂Ецоиүє．
ェл．Tí $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon i$ ßov $\lambda \eta \epsilon i \eta \mu \in \nu$ єiठє́vai，$\mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu ~$



Aлk．Ov̇ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．${ }^{\Im} \mathrm{H} \nu \delta$＇äv $\sigma \circ \iota$ тєкцท́pıov öть $\mu о \chi \theta \eta \rho о i ́ \epsilon i \sigma \iota$


Алк．＂Ецоиүє．




$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Тí $\delta \epsilon ́ ; ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ a v ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ p \in \sigma \theta a \iota ;$
ААк．Поди́ $\gamma \in$ ．

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ vi $\gamma \iota \epsilon \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ каi $\mu \eta$ ，$\omega_{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \dot{a} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \tau \epsilon$ каi ảтоктьขvúva८ ả̀入ク́入ovs．

А＾к．Ov̉ $\delta \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．
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alc. Certainly.
soc. But what if we wished to know not only what men were like or what horses were like, but which of them were good runners or not? Would the many still suffice to teach us this ?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. And you have ample proof that they do not know this, and are not proficient teachers of it, in their not agreeing about it at all with themselves?
alc. I have.
soc. And what if we wished to know not only what men were like, but what healthy or diseased men were like? Would the many suffice to teach us?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. And you would have proof of their being bad teachers of that, if you saw them differing about it?
alc. I should.
soc. Well then, do you now find that the many agree with themselves or each other about just and unjust men or things ?
alc. Far from it, on my word, Socrates.
soc. In fact, they differ most especially on these points?
alc. Very much so.
soc. And I suppose you never yet saw or heard of people differing so sharply on questions of health or the opposite as to fight and kill one another in battle because of them.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. But on questions of justice or injustice I am sure you have ; and if you have not seen them, at any rate you have heard of them from many people,
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Aлк．Naí．
ェת．Kai ai $\mu a ́ \chi a \imath ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ к а i ~ o i ~ \theta a ́ v a т o \iota ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठıaфорàr тoîs $\tau \epsilon$＇A גaıoîs каi тoîs ä入入oıs Tpwoì Є̇үヒ́vovтo，каi тоîS $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta ̂ \rho \sigma \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ S ~ \tau \eta ิ S$

C Алк．${ }^{\circ} А \lambda \eta \theta \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota s$.

 тoîs v̈́rtepov èv Kopwveía，èv oîs каi ó бòs тatウ̀ $\rho$

 тоиs каi т $\dot{s} \mu a ́ \chi a s ~ \pi \in \pi о i ́ \eta к \in \nu$ ．خ̉ үá $\rho ;$

A＾K．＇A ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota s$.
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Toútovs oủv $\phi \hat{\omega \mu \epsilon \nu \text { є̇тíaтaбөaı，} \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \hat{\omega} \nu ~}$



A＾k．Ovं фаívetaí $\gamma \epsilon$ ．



Алк．＂Еоєка．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Пิ̂s oûv єikós oe єiठध́vai тd̀ סíkaıa kai тà



А $\wedge$ K．＇Ек $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ civ où $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S$ оข่к єіко́s．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { K } \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu i a s ~ o m . ~ P r o c l u s . ~
$$

## ALCIBIADES I

especially Homer. For you have heard ${ }^{1}$ the Odyssey and the Iliad ?
alc. I certainly have, I suppose, Socrates.
soc. And these poems are about a difference of just and unjust?
alc. Yes.
soc. And from this difference arose the fights and deaths of the Achaeans, and of the Trojans as well, and of the suitors of Penelope in their strife with Odysseus.
alc. That is true.
soc. And I imagine that when the Athenians and Spartans and Boeotians lost their men at Tanagra, ${ }^{2}$ and later at Coronea, ${ }^{3}$ among whom your own father perished, the difference that caused their deaths and fights was solely on a question of just and unjust, was it not?
alc. That is true.
soc. Then are we to say that these people understand those questions, on which they differ so sharply that they are led by their mutual disputes to take these extreme measures against each other ?
alc. Apparently not.
soc. And you refer me to teachers of that sort, whom you admit yourself to be without knowledge?
alc. It seems I do.
soc. Then how is it likely that you should know what is just and unjust, when you are so bewildered about these matters and are shown to have neither learnt them from anyone nor discovered them for yourself?
alc. By what you say, it is not likely.
${ }^{1}$ i.e. at the recitations of rhapsodes; $c f$. the Ion of Plato.

$$
{ }^{2} 457 \text { в.с. } \quad \frac{3}{} 447 \text { в.с. }
$$

## PLATO

 'А $А \kappa \iota \beta \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta$;
ank. Tò $\pi$ oîov;




ААк. 'А $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є̇ $\gamma \omega$ ';
£ת. Naí.
Алк. Пढ̂s $\delta \eta^{\prime}$;



Алк. "Е $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Пórou;
Алк. 'Eví.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Пóт $\epsilon \rho$ os oûv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, öт $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\delta$ v́o тô єivòs єivi mגєín;

Алк. 'E $\overline{\text { án. }}$

ank. Naí.
113 , $\Sigma \Omega$. Пєрi ठウ̀ тоv́т $\omega \nu \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ є̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ фаívo $\mu a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ó


алк. ' ${ }^{2} \gamma \dot{\omega}$.



Алк. 'E $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$.

 а́токрьขо́ $\mu \in \nu о$;
 кратєऽ.
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## ALCIBIADES I

soc. There again, Alcibiades, do you see how unfairly you speak?
alc. In what?
soc. In stating that I say so.
alc. Why, do you not say that I do not know about the just and unjust?
soc. Not at all.
alc. Well, do $I$ say it ?
soc. Yes.
alc. How, pray?
soc. I will show you, in the following way. If I ask you which is the greater number, one or two, you will answer "two"?
alc. Yes, I shall.
soc. How much greater?
alc. By one.
soc. Then which of us says that two are one more than one?

Alc. I.
soc. And I was asking, and you were answering ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then is it I, the questioner, or you the answerer, that are found to be speaking about these things?
ale. I.
soc. And what if I ask what are the letters in "Socrates," and you tell me? Which will be the speaker?
alc. I.
soc. Come then, tell me, as a principle, when we have question and answer, which is the speaker-the questioner, or the answerer?
alc. The answerer, I should say, Socrates.

## PLATO

B $\Sigma \Omega$. Oùkov̂v ä $\rho \tau \iota \delta \iota \grave{a} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \epsilon ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \mu \dot{~} \nu$ خ̂ ó є่ $\rho \omega \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$;

алк. Naí.

AAK. $\Pi$ ávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tí oûv; $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \in \chi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i ̈ p \eta \kappa \in \nu$;
 $\lambda о \gamma \eta \mu$ ย́v $\omega \nu$ є̇ $\gamma \omega \dot{ }$
 'А $\lambda \kappa \iota \beta \iota a ́ \delta \eta s$ ó ка入òs ó K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu i o v ~ о и ̉ к ~ є ̇ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota т о, ~$

 $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu$
ank. Фaívetal.






 ovs $\beta$ ov $\lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s, ~ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha ~$





 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ o u ̉ ~ \sigma v \nu \eta ́ v \in \gamma \kappa \in \nu$.


[^12]
## ALCIBIADES I

soc. And throughout the argument so far, I was the questioner?
alc. Yes.
soc. And you the answerer?
alc. Quite so.
soc. Well then, which of us has spoken what has been said?
alc. Apparently, Socrates, from what we have admitted, it was I.
soc. And it was said that Alcibiades, the fair son of Cleinias, did not know about just and unjust, but thought he did, and intended to go to the Assembly as adviser to the Athenians on what he knows nothing about; is not that so ?
alc. Apparently.
soc. Then, to quote Euripides, ${ }^{1}$ the result is, Alcibiades, that you may be said to have " heard it from yourself, not me," and it is not I who say it, but you, and you tax me with it in vain. And indeed what you say is quite true. For it is a mad scheme this, that you meditate, my excellent friend-of teaching things that you do not know, since you have taken no care to learn them.
alc. I think, Socrates, that the Athenians and the rest of the Greeks rarely deliberate as to which is the more just or unjust course : for they regard questions of this sort as obvious; and so they pass them over and consider which course will prove more expedient in the result. For the just and the expedient, I take it, are not the same, but many people have profited by great wrongs that they have committed, whilst others, I imagine, have had no advantage from doing what was right.
soc. What then? Granting that the just and the

## PLATO


 каi $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota$;

Алк. Tí $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota, \hat{\omega} \sum \omega ́ \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon s ; ~ \epsilon i \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \alpha \hat{v}$




















 кратєs, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \imath ิ \nu$.

 ท̉ үáp;

## ALCIBIADES I

expedient are in fact as different as they can be, you surely do not still suppose you know what is expedient for mankind, and why it is so ?
alc. Well, what is the obstacle, Socrates,-unless you are going to ask me again from whom I learnt it, or how I discovered it for myself?
soc. What a way of going on! If your answer is incorrect, and a previous argument can be used to prove it so, you claim to be told something new, and a different line of proof, as though the previous one were like a poor worn-out coat which you refuse to wear any longer; you must be provided instead with something clean and unsoiled in the way of evidence. But I shall ignore your sallies in debate, and shall none the less ask you once more, where you learnt your knowledge of what is expedient, and who is your teacher, asking in one question all the things I asked before; and now you will clearly find yourself in the same plight, and will be unable to prove that you know the expedient either through discovery or through learning. But as you are dainty, and would dislike a repeated taste of the same argument, I pass over this question of whether you know or do not know what is expedient for the Athenians: but why have you not made it clear whether the just and the expedient are the same or different? If you like, question me as I did you, or if you prefer, argue out the matter in your own way.
alc. But I am not sure I should be able, Socrates, to set it forth to you.
soc. Well, my good sir, imagine I am the people in Assembly; even there, you know, you will have to persuade each man singly, will you not?

## PLATO

ank．Naí．
 C $\mu$ óvas $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ кai $\sigma v \mu \pi$ ódlovs $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ civ äv $\epsilon i \delta \bar{\eta}$ ，
 үрацна́т $\omega \nu$ каi то入入оús；

Aлk．Naí．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇Ap＇oûv oủ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ àpı $\theta \mu \mathrm{u}$ र̂ ó aủтòs є́va $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\pi$ о入入loùs $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ；
ank．Nai．

Алк．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ є $\hat{i}, \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ каì ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \alpha$ ；

алк．Eiкós $\gamma \in$ ．

a＾k．Naí．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＂Adдo $\tau \iota$ oûv тooov̂тov $\mu$ óvov $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \in \iota \tau o \hat{v}$ Є̉v



алк．Kıvঠиvєúєı．


 $\phi \in ́ \rho \in \iota$ ．

$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Nv̂v रoûv ن̛ $\phi$＇$v$＂$\beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$


Алк．，$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \delta \eta$ ．

E Aлk．M $\eta$ ，à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ où av̉兀òs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$ ．

## ALCIBIADES I

alc. Yes.
soc. And the same man may well persuade one person singly, and many together, about things that he knows, just as the schoolmaster, I suppose, persuades either one or many about letters?
alc. Yes.
soc. And again, will not the same man persuade either one or many about number?
alc. Yes.
soc. And this will be the man who knows-the arithmetician?
alc. Quite so.
soc. And you too can persuade a single man about things of which you can persuade many ?
alc. Presumably.
soc. And these are clearly things that you know.
alc. Yes.
soc. And the only difference between the orator speaking before the people and one who speaks in a conversation like ours is that the former persuades men in a number together of the same things, and the latter persuades them one at a time?
alc. It looks like it.
soc. Come now, since we see that the same man may persuade either many or one, try your unpractised hand on me, and endeavour to show that the just is sometimes not expedient.
alc. You are insolent, Socrates!
soc. This time, at any rate, I am going to have the insolence to persuade you of the opposite of that which you decline to prove to me.
alc. Speak, then.
soc. Just answer my questions.
alc. No, you yourself must be the speaker.

## PLATO

 Алк．Пávтшs $\delta \eta$ ท́тоv．



Алк．＂Е $\mu \circ \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ठокєі．

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \iota \mu \eta ̀ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ์ \sigma \eta$ §．
 оїоцає $\beta \lambda а \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
$115 \Sigma \Omega$ ．Малтıко̀s $\gamma \dot{a} p \epsilon \hat{i}$ ．каí $\mu о \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \cdot \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$


Aлk．Naí．


 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ；

Алк．Ои̇к ${ }^{\prime} \mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇А入入̀̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ Sікаıа ка入а́；
Аак．Naí．
 $\eta \geqslant \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v, \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ o v ̉ ; ~$


$\Sigma \Omega$ ．${ }^{5} H$ каi $\alpha i \sigma \chi p \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} ;$
Aлk．Naí．


 ن̂ $\gamma \iota \in i ̂ s ~ \alpha ̉ \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta$ Ò ；

## ALCIBIADES I

soc. What? Do you not wish above all things to be persuaded ?
alc. By all means, to be sure.
soc. And you would best be persuaded if you should say " the case is so "?
alc. I agree.
soc. Then answer ; and if you do not hear your own self say that the just is expedient, put no trust in the words of anyone again.
alc. I will not: but I may as well answer ; for I do not think I shall come to any harm.
soc. You are quite a prophet! Now tell me, do you consider some just things to be expedient, and others not?
alc. Yes.
soc. And again, some noble, and some not ?
alc. What do you mean by that question ?
soc. I would ask whether anyone ever seemed to you to be doing what was base and yet just.
alc. Never.
soc. Well, are all just things noble ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And what of noble things, in their turn? Are they all good, or some only, while others are not?
alc. In my opinion, Socrates, some noble things are evil.
soc. And some base things are good ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Do you mean as in one of the many cases where men have gone to rescue a comrade or kinsman in battle, and have been either wounded or killed, while those who did not go to the rescue, as duty bade, have got off safe and sound ?

## PLATO

Aлк. Пávv $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ oûv.

 тоиิто $\delta^{\prime}$ є́वтiv ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha \cdot \hat{\eta}$ ov̉;

алк. Nai.
 є' $\lambda \kappa \eta \cdot$ ท̄ $\gamma^{\prime} \rho$;
алк. Nai.
 $\theta$ ávatos;

Алк. Пávv $\gamma \in$.
 какò̀ тò тоîs фídots $\beta$ роך $\theta$ єiv;
ask. Ov̉ фаivetal.





Алк. 'Aya日á.
D $\Sigma_{\Omega}$. Оủкоиิv $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, каi ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$


Алк. Пढ̂s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime}$ ov̉;



 $\delta \in \iota \lambda i ́ a$.

Алк. "Ецочяє.

Алк. $\Phi \eta \mu i$.

## ALCIBIADES I

alc. Precisely.
soc. And such a rescue you call noble, in respect of the endeavour to save those whom it was one's duty to save; and this is courage, is it not?
alc. Yes.
soc. But you call it evil, in respect of the deaths and wounds ?
nlc. Yes.
soc. And is not the courage one thing, and the death another?
alc. Certainly.
soc. Then it is not in the same respect that reseuing one's friends is noble and evil ?
alc. Apparently not.
soc. Then see if, inasmuch as it is noble, it is also good; for in the present case you were admitting that the rescue was noble in respect of its courage : now consider this very thing, courage, and say whether it is good or bad. Consider it in this way: which would you choose to have, good things or evil?
alc. Good.
soc. And most of all, the greatest goods, and of such things you would least allow yourself to be deprived ?
alc. To be sure.
soc. Then what do you say of courage? At what price would you allow yourself to be deprived of it?
alc. I would give up life itself if I had to be a coward.
soc. Then you regard cowardice as the uttermost evil.
alc. I do.
soc. On a par with death, it seems.
alc. Yes.

## PLATO

 Ђんض̀ каi $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in i a$ ；

алк．Naí．
E $\quad \Sigma \Omega$ ．Kai $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu, \grave{v} \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ àv єîval $\beta$ oú $\lambda o \iota o ́ ~ \sigma o t, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठє ぞккота；

Ank．Nai．

＜Алк．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
 кả้ тоîs какíттols 日ávaтov．$>^{1}$

Aлk．＂E $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．

 ка入òv av̉тò $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \pi \alpha s ;$

Ank．Фaivouai $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．K $\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठè какоv̂ $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ Oaváтov како́v；

Aak．Naí．




Алк．＂Ецоьүє бокєі．
 aioxpóv；

Алк．Naí．


 סє́．


 14.4

## ALCIBIADES I

soc. And life and courage are the extreme opposites of death and cowardice?
alc. Yes.
soc. And you would most desire to have the former, and least the latter?
alc. Yes.
soc. Is that because you think the former best, and the latter worst ?
alc. To be sure.
soc. So you reckon courage among the best things, and death among the worst.
alc. I do.
soc. Then the rescue of one's friends in battle, inasmuch as it is noble in respect of the working of good by courage, you have termed noble ?
alc. Apparently.
soc. But evil, in respect of the working of evil by death?
alc. Yes.
soc. So we may fairly describe each of these workings as follows : as you call either of them evil because of the evil it produces, so you must call it good because of the good it produces.
alc. I believe that is so.
soc. And again, are they noble inasmuch as they are good, and base inasmuch as they are evil ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then in saying that the rescue of one's friends in battle is noble and yet evil, you mean just the same as if you called the rescue good, but evil.
alc. I believe what you say is true, Socrates.
soc. So nothing noble, in so far as it is noble, is

## PLATO

 à ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Oóv．
B ank．Oủ фaivetal．
 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ ，oủxi каì $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ ；
ank．Naí．

Алк．Пढ̂s $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oủ；

Алк．Ма́入ıбта．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．K $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \grave{~} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \hat{u} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ； ank．Naí．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tò $\epsilon \hat{u}$ aै $\rho a \quad \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ảya日óv；
Алк．$\Pi \omega \bar{s} \delta^{p}$ ov̉；
ะ．$\Omega$ ．Оưкои̂ע ка入ò̀ $\dot{\eta}$ єủmpayía；
ank．Naí．
 каi ảja日óv．

Алк．Фаívєтаи．




Алк．$\Sigma \nu \mu \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \iota$.
入оүท́ба $\sigma \in \nu$ ；


$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Oủкои̂ע каi тоùs тà ка入̀̀ à $\gamma a \theta$ á；
ank．Naí．
D $\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tà $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ á $\gamma a \theta \dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota v$ ；

## ALCIBIADES I

evil, and nothing base, in so far as it is base, is good.
alc. Apparently.
soc. Now then, consider it again in this way: whoever does nobly, does well too, does he not?
alc. Yes.
soc. And are not those who do well happy ?
alc. Of course.
soc. And they are happy because of the acquisition of good things
atc. Certainly.
soc. And they acquire these by doing well and nobly ?
alc. Yes.
soc. So doing well is good?
alc. Of course.
soc. And welfare is noble ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Hence we have seen again that noble and good are the same thing.
alc. Apparently.
soc. Then whatever we find to be noble we shall find also to be good, by this argument at least.
alc. We must.
soc. Well then, are good things expedient or not?
alc. Expedient.
soc. And do you remember what our admissions were about just things ?
alc. I think we said that those who do just things must do noble things.
soc. And that those who do noble things must do good things ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And that good things are expedient?

## PLATO

Aлk. Naí.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

Алк. "Eоикєу.
 є́р $\omega \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$;

Aлк. Фaívouaı, ẁs є’оъка.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Ei oủv tis ảvíनтatai $\sigma v \mu \beta$ оv $\epsilon \in \tilde{v} \sigma \omega \nu$ єїтє 'AӨŋvaíoss єїтє ПєтарךӨioıs, oió $\mu \in \nu о s ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu$


 E ठі́каıа́ тє каі бขцфє́ $о \nu \tau \alpha$;




 Є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$;

А^к. Пávv $\gamma \in$.




 oîpą $\mu$ ย́vто८ тà av̇тá.

Алк. Ої $\mu a \iota \notin \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
 ӧт८ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоข́т $\omega \nu$ оข้k оîซ $\theta \alpha$.

А^к. Eiкós $\gamma \in$.
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alc. Yes.
soc. Hence just things, Alcibiades, are expedient.
alc. So it seems.
soc. Well now, are not you the speaker of all this, and I the questioner?
alc. I seem to be, apparently.
soc. So if anyone stands up to advise either the Athenians or the Peparethians, ${ }^{1}$ imagining that he understands what is just and unjust, and says that just things are sometimes evil, could you do other than laugh him to scorn, since you actually say yourself that just and expedient are the same?
alc. But by Heaven, Socrates, I do not even know what I am saying, I feel altogether in such a strange state! For from moment to moment I change my view under your questioning.
soc. And are you unaware, my friend, what this feeling is ?

ALC. I am, quite.
soc. Well, do you suppose that if someone should ask you whether you have two eyes or three, two hands or four, or anything else of that sort, you would answer differently from moment to moment, or always the same thing?
alc. I begin to have misgivings about myself, but still I think I should make the same answer.
soc. And the reason would be, because you know?
alc. I think so.
soc. Then if you involuntarily give contradictory answers, clearly it must be about things of which you are ignorant.
alc. Very likely.
soc. And you say you are bewildered in answering

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Peparethus is a small island off the coast of Thessaly. }
$$

## PLATO



 ठıà $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \nu a ̨ ;$
В Аак. "Е $\mu$ оь $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.
 $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\eta}$, ảvaүкаиิov $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov́тov $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \psi v \chi \eta ́ \nu ; ~$ Алк. П$\omega$ s $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ov̋;
 тòv oủpavóv;

$\Sigma \Omega$. ${ }^{\top} \mathrm{H}$ каi $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha ิ \tau \alpha i$ бov $\dot{\eta}$ סó $\alpha, \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$;
Алк. Oủ $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha$.

Алк. Фра́бov.
 モ̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs.
C Алк. Пิ̂s av̂ tov̂to $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \in s ;$


 oír $\theta$;

Алк. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
ェת. Пóтєроע oûv av̉тòs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau a \hat{\tau \alpha a ~ \delta o \xi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota s, ~}$
 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$;

Алк. Ойтшs.


${ }^{1}$ The "tiller" was the handle of an oar at the side of the stern, and was moved towards or away from the centre of the ship.
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about just and unjust, noble and base, evil and good, expedient and inexpedient? Now, is it not obvious that your bewilderment is caused by your ignorance of these things ?
alc. I agree.
soc. Then is it the case that when a man does not know a thing he must needs be bewildered in spirit regarding that thing ?
alc. Yes, of course.
soc. Well now, do you know in what way you can ascend to heaven?
alc. On my word, not I.
soc. Is that too a kind of question about which your judgement is bewildered ?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Do you know the reason, or shall I state it?
ALc. State it.
soc. It is, my friend, that while not knowing the matter you do not suppose that you know it.
alc. Here again, how do you mean?
soc. Do your share, in seeing for yourself. Are you bewildered about the kind of thing that you do not know and are aware of not knowing? For instance, you know, I suppose, that you do not know about the preparation of a tasty dish ?
alc. Quite so.
soc. Then do you think for yourself how you are to prepare it, and get bewildered, or do you entrust it to the person who knows?
alc. I do the latter.
soc. And what if you should be on a ship at sea? Would you think whether the tiller should be moved inwards or outwards, ${ }^{1}$ and in your ignorance bewilder
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 äv ทீбvðíav äүoıs；

Алк．$\Gamma \hat{\omega}$ кขßєрレท́тท．



Алк．Ои้к єैочка．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇EvvoєîS oûv，öт८ каi та̀ å $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \prime \mu a \tau \alpha$ є่ тท̂
 єiઠóтa oíєбӨal єiסévaı；

Ank．Пิิs aû $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ тоขิтo；
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．То́тє $\pi \circ v$ є́ $\pi \iota \chi \in \iota \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，ö $\tau a \nu$ oicú－ $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ єiठє́va८ ő ть тра́тто $\mu \in \nu$ ；
E ank．Naí．
 ä入入оเs тарабıठó $\alpha \sigma \iota \nu ;$

А＾к．Пడ̄s $\delta^{\prime}$ ov้；
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Оủkov̂v oi тotov̂tot т $\omega \hat{\nu} \mu \eta ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̉ \delta o ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \mu a ́ p-~$


A＾K．Naí．
ェת．Tíves oûv oi á $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v o \nu \tau \in S$ ；ov̉ үáp $\operatorname{\pi ov}$ oĭ $\gamma \epsilon$ єiסótєs．

A＾k． $\mathrm{O} \hat{v} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．

 oi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єiठóтєS，oió $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оו $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \delta \in ́ v a \iota ;$

А＾K．Oưk，ả入入à ov̂toъ．
 є่тоvєíסıотos á $\mu a \theta i ́ a ;$

Aлk．Naí．
さ̇ת．Оข่коขิv öтav ท่̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тà $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a$ ，то́тє какочруота́тŋ каі аібхібтך；

Алк．По入ú $\gamma \in$ ．
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yourself, or would you entrust it to the helmsman, and be quiet?
alc. I would leave it to him.
soc. So you are not bewildered about what you do not know, so long as you know that you do not know?
alc. It seems I am not.
soc. Then do you note that mistakes in action also are due to this ignorance of thinking one knows when one does not?
acc. Here again, how do you mean?
soc. We set about acting, I suppose, when we think we know what we are doing ?
alc. Yes.
soc. But when people think they do not know, I suppose they hand it over to others?
alc. To be sure.
soc. And so that kind of ignorant person makes no mistakes in life, because they entrust such matters to others ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Who then are those who make mistakes? For, I take it, they cannot be those who know.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. But since it is neither those who know, nor those of the ignorant who know that they do not know, the only people left, I think, are those who do not know, but think that they do ?
alc. Yes, only those.
soc. Then this ignorance is a cause of evils, and is the discreditable sort of stupidity ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And when it is about the greatest matters, it is most injurious and base ?
asc. By far.
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Aлk. Ov̉ $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau a$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Оv̉коиิv $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тav̂̃a où фض̀s $\pi \lambda a \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ;$
Алк. Nai.
 B öт८ ov̉ $\mu$ óvov ả $\gamma \nu$ о $\epsilon$ îs $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каi оủк

алк. Kıгঠиveúw.





 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i$ oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S$
 Пєриклє́ovs.


 аүо́ра• каi vv̂v ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о и ิ \tau о s ~ \omega ̈ \nu ~ \Delta a ́ \mu \omega \nu \iota ~ \sigma v ́ v \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~$






алк: Naí.
${ }^{1}$ A musician of Ceos (who was perhaps also a Pythagorean philosopher) who taught in Athens.
${ }^{2}$ An Ionian philosopher who lived in Athens c. 480 430 в.c.

3 An Athenian musician and sophist.
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soc. Well then, can you mention any greater things than the just, the noble, the good, and the expedient?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. And it is about these, you say, that you are bewildered?
alc. Yes.
soc. But if you are bewildered, is it not clear from what has gone before that you are not only ignorant of the greatest things, but while not knowing them you think that you do?
alc. I am afraid so.
soc. Alack then, Alcibiades, for the plight you are in! I shrink indeed from giving it a name, but still, as we are alone, let me speak out. You are wedded to stupidity, my fine friend, of the vilest kind ; you are impeached of this by your own words, wut of your own mouth ; and this, it seems, is why you dash into politics before you have been educated. And you are not alone in this plight, but you share it with most of those who manage our city's affairs, except just a few, and perhaps your guardian, Pericles.
alc. Yes, you know, Socrates, they say he did not get his wisdom independently, but consorted with many wise men, such as Pythocleides ${ }^{1}$ and Anaxagoras ${ }^{2}$; and now, old as he is, he still confers with Damon ${ }^{3}$ for that very purpose.
soc. Well, but did you ever find a man who was wise in anything and yet unable to make another man wise in the same things as himself? For instance, the man who taught you letters was wise himself, and also made you wise, and anyone else he wished to, did he not?
alc. Yes.
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soc. And you too, who learnt from lim, will be able to make another man wise ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And the same holds of the harper and the trainer?
alc. Certainly.
soc. For, I presume, it is a fine proof of one's knowing anything that one knows, when one is able to point to another man whom one has made to know it.
alc. I agree.
soc. Well then, can you tell me whom Pericles made wise? One of his sons, to begin with ?
alc. But what if the two sons of Pericles were simpletons, Socrates?
soc. Well, Cleinias, your brother.
alc. But why should you mention Cleinias, a madman?
soc. Well, if Cleinias is mad and the two sons of Pericles were simpletons, what reason are we to assign, in your case, for his allowing you to be in your present condition?
alc. I believe I am myself to blame for not attending to him.
soc. But tell me of any other Athenian or foreigner, slave or freeman; who is accounted to have become wiser through converse with Pericles; as I can tell you that Pythodorus ${ }^{1}$ son of Isolochus, and Callias, ${ }^{2}$ son of Calliades, became through that of Zeno ${ }^{3}$; each of them has paid Zeno a hundred minae, ${ }^{4}$ and has become both wise and distinguished.

4 About $£ 600-£ 800$, or the total expenses of two or three years at an English University.
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$\Sigma \Omega$. Eîlv $\tau i$ oûv $\delta \iota a \nu \circ \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ oavtov̂; $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$



 єival.

Ank. Ei $\mu \epsilon ́ v ~ \pi o v ~ \eta ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota, ~ \epsilon ้ \delta \epsilon \iota ~ a ٌ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$ є̇ $\pi \imath \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \hat{v} v \tau \alpha$ av̉тoîs $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \theta \alpha \iota \mu \alpha \theta$ óvта каi



 $\pi a ́ v v ~ \pi о \lambda u ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota . ~$

 архо́vт $\omega \nu$.

Алк. Tí $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ́ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \lambda ध ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S, ~ \hat{\omega}$ $\Sigma \omega ́ к р \alpha \tau \epsilon s ;$
 є' $\rho \omega \tau$ оs.

алк. Тí $\delta \eta^{\prime}$;



Алк. "A入入à $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ́ v a s ~ \mu \eta ́ \nu ; ~$
$\Sigma \Omega$. "A $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \phi \rho o v a$ єival.
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alc. Well, upon my word, I cannot.
soc. Very good: then what is your intention regarding yourself? Will you remain as you are, or take some trouble?
alc. We must put our heads together, Socrates. And indeed, as soon as you speak, I take the point and agree. For the men who manage the city's affairs, apart from a few, do strike me as uneducated.
soc. Then what does that mean?
alc. That if they were educated, I suppose anyone who undertook to contend against them would have to get some knowledge and practice first, as he would for a match with athletes : but now, seeing that these men have gone in for politics as amateurs, what need is there for me to practise and have the trouble of learning ? For I am sure that my natural powers alone will give me an easy victory over them.
soc. Ho, ho, my good sir, what a thing to say! How unworthy of your looks and your other advantages!
alc. What is your meaning now, Socrates? What is the connexion?
soc. I am grieved for you, and for my love.
alc. Why, pray ?
soc. That you should expect your contest to be with the men we have here.
alc. Well, but with whom is it to be?
soc. Is that a worthy question to be asked by a man who considers himself high-spirited ?
alc. How do you mean? Is not my contest with these men?
soc. Well, suppose you were intending to steer a warship into action, would you be content to be the best hand among the crew at steering or, while
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 $\dot{u} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ où $\chi$ ผंs $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ єis $\tau 0{ }^{\prime} s$ бvขaү由vıбтás; $\hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \eta ́ \pi o v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \delta \in i ̂ ~$



 то́dєшs.

Алк. 'А $A \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ Sıavoov̂ $\mu a i ́ \gamma \epsilon$.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \nu \in \hat{\imath}$, ảd $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~$






 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тódє $\omega$ s, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i \omega \nu \nu$



Алк. Kıvסvvєúєเs ád $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ Є่ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu, \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
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regarding this skill as a necessary qualification, would you keep your eye on your actual opponents in the fight, and not, as now, on your fellow-fighters? These, I conceive, you ought so far to surpass that they would not feel fit to be your opponents, but only to be your despised fellow-fighters against the enemy, if you mean really to make your mark with some noble action that will be worthy both of yourself and of the city.
alc. Why, I do mean to.
soc. So you think it quite fitting for you to be satisfied if you are better than the soldiers, but neglect to keep your eye on the enemy's leaders with a view to showing yourself better than they are, or to plan and practise against them!
alc. Of whom are you speaking now, Socrates?
soc. Do you not know that our city makes war occasionally on the Spartans and on the Great King ? alc. That is true.
soc. And if you are minded to be the head of our state, you would be right in thinking that your contest is with the kings of Sparta and of Persia ?
alc. That sounds like the truth.
soc. No, my good friend; you ought rather to keep your eye on Meidias the quail-filliper ${ }^{1}$ and others of his sort-who undertake to manage the city's affairs, while they still have the slavish hair ${ }^{2}$ (as the women would say) showing in their minds through their lack of culture, and have not yet got rid of it; who, moreover, have come with their out-
${ }^{1}$ Meidias is mentioned by Aristophanes ( $A v .1297$ ) for his skill in the game of filliping quails which were specially trained not to flinch.
${ }^{2}$ Slaves in Athens were largely natives of western Asia. and had thick, close hair, very different from the wavy locks of the Greeks.
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Алк. 'А $А \lambda \lambda$ ', $\widehat{\omega} \sum \alpha ́ к р а \tau \epsilon s, ~ \delta о к є i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v ~ \mu о \iota ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, oî $\mu a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \tau o v ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$
 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.
 oíav é $\chi$ єis.

Алк. Tov̂ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ;$

$\mathrm{D} \epsilon \pi \pi \iota \mu \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, фоßоч́ $\mu \epsilon \nu \frac{́ s}{} \tau \epsilon$ каi oió $\mu \in \nu$ vos $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o v ̀ s$ av̇тov̀s єival, $\eta^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}$;

 баvто仑,

 $\dot{\eta}$ oil $\quad \sigma$ us avit $\eta$.

Алк. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \tau s$.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єiкóт $\omega \nu$ бкє́ষ $\alpha \iota$.

Алк. $\Pi_{\omega} \delta \eta^{\prime} ;$
 E $\gamma \in \nu v a i o r s ~ \gamma \in ́ v \in \sigma \iota \nu ~ \ddot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta}$;
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landish speech to flatter the state, not to rule it--to these, I tell you, should your eyes be turned; and then you can disregard yourself, and need neither learn what is to be learnt for the great contest in which you are to be engaged, nor practise what requires practice, and so ensure that you are perfectly prepared before entering upon a political career.
alc. Why, Socrates, I believe you are right; though I think neither the Spartan generals nor the Persian king are at all different from other people.
soc. But, my excellent friend, consider what this notion of yours means.
alc. In regard to what?
soc. First of all, do you think you would take more pains over yourself if you feared them and thought them terrible, or if you did not?

Alc. Clearly, if I thought them terrible.
soc. And do you think you will come to any harm by taking pains over yourself ?
alc. By no means; rather that I shall get much benefit.
soc. And on this single count that notion ${ }^{1}$ of yours is so much to the bad.
alc. True.
soc. Then, in the second place, observe the probability that it is false.
alc. How so?
soc. Is it probable that noble races should produce better natures, or not?
atc. Clearly, noble races would.
soc. And will not the well-born, provided they are well brought up, probably be perfected in virtue?
${ }^{1}$ i.e. about the Spartan generals and the Persian king, 120 c .
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alc. That must be so.
soc. Then let us consider, by comparing our lot with theirs, whether the Spartan and Persian kings appear to be of inferior birth. Do we not know that the former are descendants of Hereules and the latter of Achaemenes, and that the line of Hercules and the line of Achaemenes go back to Perseus, son of Zeus?
alc. Yes, and mine, Socrates, to Eurysaces, and that of Eurysaces to Zeus !
soc. Yes, and mine, noble Alcibiades, to Daedalus, ${ }^{1}$ and Daedalus to Hephaestus, son of Zeus! But take the lines of those people, ${ }^{2}$ going back from them : you have a succession of kings reaching to Zeus-on the one hand, kings of Argos and Sparta ; on the other, of Persia, which they have always ruled, and frequently Asia also, as at present; whereas we are private persons ourselves, and so were our fathers. And then, suppose that you had to make what show you could of your ancestors, and of Salamis as the native land of Eurysaces, or of Aegina as the home of the yet earlier Aeacus, to impress Artaxerxes, son of Xerxes, how you must expect to be laughed at! Why, I am afraid we are quite outdone by those persons in pride of birth and upbringing altogether. Or have you not observed how great are the advantages of the Spartan kings, and how their wives are kept under statutory ward of the ephors, in order that every possible precaution may be taken against the king being born of any but the Heracleidae? And the Persian king so far surpasses us that no one has a suspicion that he could have been born of anybody but the king before him; and hence the king's wife has nothing to guard her except fear. When
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 of $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} s$, ova $\chi$ vito $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o ф o ̂ ̂ ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v ~ a ̉ \xi i a s, ~$
 a’pıттol rival oils $\tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon$ ar $\lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota-~$






 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̂S є́кєîvot $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \in i ́ o v S ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma o v ̀ s$,

 татоs каı o סıкаıо́татоs каi oi $\sigma \omega \phi$ роує́бтатоs



 on $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \circ s ~ \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ vi no $\mu \iota a ̂ s ~ a ้ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


${ }^{1} \gamma^{\epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu \nu \nu}$ Buttmann: $\gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \dot{t} \nu \omega \nu$ mss.
1 The saying, which became proverbial, is thought to have occurred in one of the (now lost) plays of Plato, the Athenian comic poet, who lived c. $460-389$ в.с.
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the eldest son, the heir to the throne, is born, first of all the king's subjects who are in his palace have a feast, and then for ever after on that date the whole of Asia celebrates the king's birthday with sacrifice and feasting: but when we are born, as the comic poet ${ }^{1}$ says, " even the neighbours barely notice it," Alcibiades. After that comes the nurture of the child, not at the hands of a woman-nurse of little worth, but of the most highly approved eunuchs in the king's service, who are charged with the whole tendance of the new-born child, and especially with the business of making him as handsome as possible by moulding his limbs into a correct shape ; and while doing this they are in high honour. When the boys are seven years old they are given horses and have riding lessons, and they begin to follow the chase. And when the boy reaches fourteen years he is taken over by the royal tutors, as they call them there: these are four men chosen as the most highly esteemed among the Persians of mature age, namely, the wisest one, the justest one, the most temperate one, and the bravest one. The first of these teaches him the magian lore of Zoroaster, ${ }^{2}$ son of Horomazes ; and that is the worship of the gods: he teaches him also what pertains to a king. The justest teaches him to be truthful all his life long ; the most temperate, not to be mastered by even a single pleasure, in order that he may be accustomed to be a free man and a veritable king, who is the master first of all that is in him, not the slave; while the bravest trains him
${ }^{2}$ Zoroaster was the reputed founder of the Persian religion, of which the ministers were the Magi or hereditary priests.

## PLATO





 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta \eta^{\nu} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu, \epsilon i \quad \mu \eta ̀$
 $\tau \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ö́ба тоúтoıs áкódov日a. тท̂S ठє̀ $\sigma \eta ̂ S ~ \gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́-$










 каi картєрíav каi фıлотоvíav каi фıдор七кíà каi









 168

## ALCIBIADES I

to be fearless and undaunted, telling him that to be daunted is to be enslaved. But you, Alcibiades, had a tutor set over you by Pericles from amongst his servants, who was old as to be the most useless of them, Zopyrus the Thracian. I might deseribe to you at length the nurture and education of your competitors, were it not too much of a task; and besides, what I have said suffices to show the rest that follows thereon. But about your birth, Alcibiades, or nurture or education, or about those of any other Athenian, one may say that nobody cares, unless it be some lover whom you chance to have. And again, if you chose to glance at the wealth, the luxury, the robes with sweeping trains, the anointings with myrrh, the attendant troops of menials, and all the other refinements of the Persians, you would be ashamed at your own case, on perceiving its inferiority to theirs.

Should you choose, again, to look at the temperance and orderliness, the facility and placidity, the magnanimity and discipline, the courage and endurance, and the toil-loving, success-loving, honourloving spirit of the Spartans, you would count yourself but a child in all these things. If again you regard wealth, and think yourself something in that way, I must not keep silence on this point either, if you are to realize where you stand. For in this respect you have only to look at the wealth of the Spartans, and you will perceive that our riches here are far inferior to theirs. Think of all the land that they have both in their own and in the Messenian country : not one of our estates could compete with theirs in extent and excellence, nor again in ownership of slaves, and especially of those of the helot class, nor yet of horses, nor of all the flocks and herds
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that graze in Messene. Mowever, I pass over all these things : but there is more gold and silver privately held in Lacedacmon than in the whole of Greece; for during many generations treasure has been passing in to them from every part of Greece, and often from the barbarians also, but not passing out to anyone ; and just as in the fable of Aesop, where the for remarked to the lion on the direction of the footmarks, the traces of the money going into Lacedaemon are clear enough, but nowhere are any to be seen of it coming out; so that one can be pretty sure that those people are the richest of the Greeks in gold and silver, and that among themselves the richest is the king ; for the largest and most numerous receipts of the kind are those of the kings, and besides there is the levy of the royal tribute in no slight amount, which the Spartans pay to their kings. Now, the Spartan fortunes, though great compared with the wealth of other Greeks, are nought beside that of the Persians and their king. For I myself was once told by a trustworthy person, who had been up to their court, that he traversed a very large tract of excellent land, nearly a day's journey, which the inhabitants called the girdle of the king's wife, and another which was similarly called her veil; and many other fine and fertile regions reserved for the adornment of the consort ; and each of these regions was named after some part of her apparel. So I imagine, if someone should say to the king's mother Amestris, who was wife of Xerxes, "The son of Deinomache ${ }^{1}$ intends to challenge your son; the mother's dresses are worth perhaps fifty minae at the outside, while the son has under three hundred
${ }^{1}$ The mother of Alcibiades.
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acres at Erchiae, ${ }^{1 "}$ she would wonder to what on earth this Alcibiades could be trusting, that he proposed to contend against Artaxerxes; and I expect she would remark-" The only possible things that the man can be trusting to for his enterprise are industry and wisdom; for these are the only things of any account among the Greeks." Whereas if she were informed that this Alcibiades who is actually making such an attempt is, in the first place, as yet barely twenty years old, and secondly, altogether uneducated; and further, that when his lover tells him that he must first learn, and take pains over himself, and practise, before he enters on a contest with the king, he refuses, and says he will do very well as he is; I expect she would ask in surprise, " On what, then, can the youngster rely?" And if we told her, " On beauty, stature, birth, wealth, and mental gifts," she would conclude we were mad, Alcibiades, when she compared the advantages of her own people in all these respects. And I imagine that even Lampido, daughter of Leotychides and wife of Archidamus and mother of Agis, who have all been kings, would wonder in the same way, when she compared her people's resources, at your intention of having a contest with her son despite your bad upbringing. And yet, does it not strike you as disgraceful that our enemies' wives should have a better idea of the qualities that we need for an attempt against them than we have ourselves? Ah, my remarkable friend, listen to me and the Delphic motto, Know thyself; for these people are our competitors, not those whom you think; and there is nothing that will give us ascendancy over them save

[^16]
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only pains and skill. If you are found wanting in these, you will be found wanting also in achievement of renown among Greeks and barbarians both; and of this I observe you to be more enamoured than anyone else ever was of anything.
alc. Well then, what are the pains that I must take, Socrates? Can you enlighten me? For I must say your words are remarkably like the truth.
soc. Yes, I can: but we must put our heads together, ${ }^{1}$ you know, as to the way in which we can improve ourselves to the utmost. For observe that when I speak of the need of being educated I am not referring only to you, apart from myself; since my case is identical with yours except in one point.
alc. What is that ?
soc. My guardian is better and wiser than your one, Pericles.
alc. Who is he, Socrates?
soc. God, Alcibiades, who until this day would not let me converse with you; and trusting in him I say that through no other man but me will you attain to eminence.
alc. You are jesting, Socrates.
soc. Perhaps; I am right, however, in saying that we need to take pains-all men rather badly, but we two very badly indeed.
alc. As to me, you are not wrong.
soc. Nor, I fear, as to myself either.
alc. Then what can we do?
soc. There must be no crying off or skulking, my good friend.
alc. No, for that would indeed be unseemly, Socrates.
soc. It would; so let us consider in common.
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Now tell me: we say, do we not, that we wish to be as good as possible?
alc. Yes.
soc. In what excellence ?
alc. Clearly that which is the aim of good men.
soc. Good in what?
alc. Clearly, good in the management of affairs.
soc. What sort of affairs ? Horsemanship ?
alc. No, no.
soc. Because we should apply to horsemen ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Well, seamanship, do you mean ?
alc. No.
soc. Because we should apply to seamen ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Well, what sort of thing ? The business of what men?
alc. Of Athenian gentlemen.
soc. Do you mean by " gentlemen " the intelligent or the unintelligent?
axc. The intelligent.
soc. And everyone is good in that wherein he is intelligent?
alc. Yes.
soc. And bad wherein he is unintelligent ?
alc. Of course.
soc. Then is the shoemaker intelligent in the making of foot-gear ?
alc. Certainly.
soc. So he is good in that article ?
alc. Good.
soc. Well now, is not the shoemaker unintelligent in the making of clothes?
alc. Yes.
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soc. So he is bad in that?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then, on this showing, the same man is both bad and good.
alc. Apparently.
soc. Well, can you say that good men are also bad?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. But whoever do you mean by the good ?
alc. I mean those who are able to rule in the city. soc. Not, I presume, over horses ?
alc. No, no.
soc. But over men?
alc. Yes.
soc. When they are sick ?
alc. No.
soc. Or at sea?
alc. I say, no.
soc. Or harvesting ?
alc. No.
soc. Doing nothing, or doing something ?
alc. Doing something, I say.
soc. Doing what? Try and let me know.
alc. Well, men who do business with each other and make use of one another, as is our way of life in our cities.
soc. Then you speak of ruling over men who make use of men?
alc. Yes.
soc. Over boatswains who make use of rowers ?
alc. No, no.
soc. Because that is the pilot's distinction?
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alc. Yes.
soc. Well, do you mean ruling over men who are flute-players, and who lead the singing and make use of dancers?
alc. No, no.
soc. Because, again, that is the chorus-teacher's function?
alc. To be sure.
soc. But whatever do you mean by being able to rule over men who make use of men?
alc. I mean ruling over men in the city who share in it as fellow-citizens, and do business with each other. soc. Well, what art is this? Suppose I should ask you over again, as I did just now, what art makes men know how to rule over fellow-sailors?
alc. The pilot's.
soc. And what knowledge-to repeat what was said a moment ago-makes them rule over their fellow-singers?
alc. That which you just mentioned, the chorusteacher's.
soc. Well now, what do you call the knowledge of one's fellow-citizens ?
alc. Good counsel, I should say, Socrates.
soc. Well, and is the pilot's knowledge evil counsel ?
alc. No, no.
soc. Rather good counsel?
alc. So I should think, for the preservation of his passengers.
soc. Quite right. And now, for what is the good counsel of which you speak?
alc. For the better management and preservation of the city.
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soc. And what is it that becomes present or absent when we get this better management and preservation? If, for example, you should ask me, "What is it that becomes present or absent when the body is better managed and preserved?"-I should reply, " Health becomes present, and disease absent." Do not you think so too ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And if, again, you asked me, "What becomes present in a better condition of the eyes?" -I should answer in just the same way, "Sight becomes present, and blindness absent." So, in the case of the ears, deafness is caused to be absent, and hearing to be present, when they are improved and getting better treatment.
alc. Correct.
soc. Well then, what is it that becomes present or absent when a state is improved and has better treatment and management?
alc. To my mind, Socrates, friendship with one another will be there, while hatred and faction will be absent.
soc. Now, by friendship do you mean agreement or disagreement?
alc. Agreement.
soc. And what art is it that causes states to agree about numbers?
alc. Arithmetic.
soc. And what of individuals? Is it not the same art?
alc. Yes.
soc. And it makes each single person agree with himself ?
alc. Yes.
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soc. And what art makes each of us agree with himself as to which is the longer, a span or a cubit? Is it not mensuration?
alc. Of course.
soc. And it makes both individuals and states agree with each other ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And what about the balance? Is it not the same here too?
alc. It is.
soc. Then what is that agreement of which you speak, and about what? And what art secures it? And is it the same in an individual as in a state, when one agrees with oneself and with another?
alc. Most likely.
soc. Well, what is it? Do not flag in your answers, but do your best to tell me.
alc. I suppose I mean the friendship and agreement that you find when a father and mother love their son, and between brother and brother, and husband and wife.
soc. Then do you suppose, Alcibiades, that a husband can possibly agree with his wife about woolwork, when he does not understand it, and she does?
alc. Oh, no.
soc. Nor has he any need, since that is a woman's pursuit.
alc. Yes.
soc. Or again, could a woman agree with a man about soldiering, when she has not learnt it ?
alc. Oh, no.
soc. Because, I expect you will say again, that is a man's affair.
alc. I would.
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soc. Then, by your account, there are some pursuits belonging to women, and some to men ?
alc. Of course.
soc. So in these, at any rate, there is no agreement between men and women.
alc. No.
soc. And hence no friendship either, if, as we said, friendship is agreement.
alc. Apparently not.
soc. So women are not loved by men, in so far as they do their own work.
alc. It seems not.
soc. Nor are men by women, in so far as they do theirs.
alc. No.
soc. And states, therefore, are not well ordered in so far as each person does his own business ? ${ }^{1}$
alc. I think they are, Socrates.
soc. How can you say that? Without the presence of friendship, which we say must be there if states are well ordered, as otherwise they are not?
alc. But it seems to me that friendship arises among them just on that account-that each of the two parties does its own business.
soc. It was not so a moment since : but now, what do you mean this time? Does friendship arise where there is no agreement? And is it possible that agreement should arise where some know about the business, but others do not?
aLC. Impossible.
soc. And are they doing what is just or unjust, when each man does his own business?
alc. What is just, of course.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Charm. 161 E, Rep. i. 332 ff.
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soc. And when the citizens do what is just in the city, does not friendship arise among them ?
alc. Again I think that must be so, Socrates.
soc. Then whatever do you mean by that friendship or agreement about which we must be wise and well-advised in order that we may be good men? For I am unable to learn either what it is, or in whom ; since it appears that the same persons sometimes have it, and sometimes not, by your account.
alc. Well, by Heaven, Socrates, I do not even know what I mean myself, and I fear that for some time past I have lived unawares in a disgraceful condition.
soc. But you must take heart. For had you perceived your plight at fifty, it would be hard for you to take pains with yourself; whereas here you are at the time of life when one ought to perceive it.
alc. Then what should one do on perceiving it, Socrates?
soc. Answer the questions asked, Alcibiades: only do that, and with Heaven's favour-if we are to put any trust in my divination-you and I shall both be in better case.
alc. That shall be, so far as my answering can avail.
soc. Come then, what is "taking pains over oneself"-for we may perchance be taking, unawares, no pains over ourselves, though we think we are-and when does a man actually do it? Does he take pains over himself at the same time as over his own things ?
alc. I at least believe so.
soc. Well now, when does a man take pains over his feet? Is it when he takes pains over what belongs to his feet?

## PLATO

AлK. $\mathrm{O} \dot{v} \mu a \nu \theta$ ávcu.
zл. Ka入єîs $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ s ; ~ o i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta а к т u ́ \lambda \iota o \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma т \iota \nu ~$


Алк. $\mathrm{O} \dot{v} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$.
 тоо́тоу;

Aлк. Naí.
 tos ó $\mu \mathrm{oi} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}$;
B ank. Nai. ${ }^{1}$
 то́тє $\pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є̇ $\pi \iota \mu \in \lambda о ข ้ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$;

Алк. Oủ тávv $\mu a \nu \theta a ́ v \omega, ~ \hat{\omega} \sum \omega ́ к р а \tau \epsilon ร$.
 ка入єîs $\tau \iota$ óтovov̂v трá $\mu \mu a \tau o s ;$

Алк. "Е ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ є̇ $\pi \iota \mu \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu ;$

Алк. Naí.

Алк. $\Sigma к и \tau \iota к \eta$.

C Aлk. Naí.
 $\beta \in \lambda \tau i o v s$ тоьov̂ $\mu \in \nu$;
Алк. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Екєіц $\eta$.
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$;
Алк. "Е $\mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ठокє

Алк. Máлıбта.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { кal i } \mu \text { átla . . . val Stobaeus: om. siss. }
$$

## ALCIBIADES I

alc. I do not understand.
soc. Is there anything you can name as belonging to the hand? For instance, does a ring belong to any other part of a man but the finger ?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. And so the shoe also belongs to the foot, in the same way?
alc. Yes.
soc. And likewise clothes and coverlets belong to the whole body?
alc. Yes.
soc. Now when we take pains over our shoes, we take pains over our feet?
alc. I do not quite understand, Socrates.
soc. Well, but, Alcibiades, you speak of taking proper pains over this or that matter, do you not?
alc. I do.
soc. And do you call it proper pains when someone makes a thing better ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then what art makes shoes better ?
alc. Shoe-making.
soc. So by shoe-making we take pains over our shoes?
alc. Yes.
soc. And over our foot too by shoe-making? Or by that art whereby we make feet better ?
alc. By that art.
soc. And is it not the same one for making our feet as for making the whole body better ?
alc. I think so.
soc. And is not that gymnastic ?
alc. Certainly.

## PLATO

$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Гv $\mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ à $\rho a$ тоסòs є̇ $\pi \iota \mu \in \lambda о v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ， бкขтルк̂̂ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi o \delta o ́ s ;$

ААк．Пávv $\gamma \in$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Kaì $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{n} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \chi \in \iota \rho \omega \hat{\nu}, \delta \alpha \kappa \tau v \lambda \iota o \gamma \lambda \nu \phi i ́ a$ $\delta$ ठ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S$ Х $\chi \iota \rho o ́ s ;$

алк．Naí．
ミת．Kai $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s, ~ ن ْ ф а \nu \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ D каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a i s ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ;$

 $\mu \in \lambda o u ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ，${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủrov．

алк．Фаívetal．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \in \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．

Алк．Ov̉ठа $\mu \omega \bar{s}$ ．



Алк．Ov̉ фаivevar．
 $\mu \in \lambda \eta \theta \in i \eta \mu \in \nu$ ；

Алк．Ойк ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

 र̂ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ aủzov́s；

ААк．＇A $A \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota S$ ．



Алк̆．＇Adúvaтоע．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Oủסє́ $\gamma \epsilon$ тis тє́ $\chi \nu \eta$ סaктv入íous $\beta \in \lambda \tau i ́ o v s$ тоเєi，ả a，
Алк．＇А $А \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}$ ．
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soc. So by gymmastic we take pains over our foot, but by shoe-making over what belongs to our foot?
alc. Quite so.
soc. And by gymnastic over our hands, but by ring-engraving over what belongs to the hand?
alc. Yes.
soc. And by gymnastic over the body, but by weaving and the rest over what belongs to the body?
alc. Absolutely so.
soc. Then for taking pains over a thing itself and over what belongs to it we use different arts.
alc. Apparently.
soc. So when you take pains over your belongings you are not taking pains over yourself.
alc. Not at all.
soc. For the arts, it seems, that one used for taking pains over oneself and over one's belongings would not be the same.
acc. Apparently not.
soc. Come then, whatever kind of art can we use for taking pains over ourselves ?
alc. I cannot say.
soc. Well, so much at least has been admitted, that it is not one which would help us to make a single one of our possessions better, but one which would help to make ourselves so ?
alc. That is true.
soc. Now, should we ever have known what art makes a shoe better, if we had not known a shoe?
alc. Impossible.
soc. Nor could we know what art makes rings better, if we had no cognizance of a ring.
alc. True.
voL. viII
o
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 ä้ $\pi о \tau \epsilon$ रण
129 Алк. 'Adúvatov.
 є́auтóv, kaí тıs ท̂v фav̂hos ó тov̂тo ảva日єis єis тòv








Алк. "Ебть таиิта.


 $\pi \%$.

Алк. 'Opө̂̂s $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.



Алк. Naí.

ank. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \eta s$ ä $\rho a$ є́वтiv ó $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu о s$;
Алк. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'А $А \kappa \iota \beta \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta s$ ठє̀ ó ảкоv́ $\omega \nu$;
ank: Naí.

C алк. Ті́ $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$;
${ }^{1}$ This seems to be a sudden adumbration of the Platonic "idea" or form which remains constant, and so "the same," 194
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soc. Well then, could we ever know what art makes the man himself better, if we were ignorant of what we are ourselves?
alc. Impossible.
soc. Well, and is it an easy thing to know oneself, and was it a mere scamp who inscribed these words on the temple at Delphi ; or is it a hard thing, and not a task for anybody?
alc. I have often thought, Socrates, that it was for anybody; but often, too, that it was very hard.
soc. But, Alcibiades, whether it is easy or not, here is the fact for us all the same: if we have that knowledge, we are like to know what pains to take over ourselves; but if we have it not, we never can.
alc. That is so.
soc. Come then, in what way can the same-initsclf ${ }^{1}$ be discovered? For thus we may discover what we are ourselves; whereas if we remain in ignorance of it we must surely fail.
alc. Rightly spoken.
soc. Steady, then, in Heaven's name! To whom are you talking now? To me, are you not?
alc. Yes.
soc. And I in turn to you ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then the talker is Socrates ?
alc. 'To be sure.
soc. And the hearer, Alcibiades ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And Socrates uses speech in talking ?
alc. Of course.
behind the shifting objects of sense related to it through its influence or impress. Cf. below, $130 \mathrm{\nu}$.
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z冗．Тò $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi ~ \chi \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$ таข̉тóv $\pi$ оv ка入єî̧．

ААк．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．

Алк．$\Pi \omega ิ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ו S ; ~$
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＂$\Omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ бкитото́ноs тє́ $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota$ тои тонє̂̂ каì $\sigma \mu i ̀ \lambda \eta$ каi ä入入оıs ópүávoıs．

Алк．Naí．



Алк．П $\omega$ S $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ ой；



Aлк．Naí．


ААК．Докє̂．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí oûv $\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ тòv бкvтотó $\mu о \nu ; \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ỏ $\gamma \gamma$ ávors $\mu$ óvov $\ddot{\eta}$ каi $\chi \in \rho \sigma i v ;$

алк．Kаі $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \nu$.

aлk．Naí．
 $\tau о \mu \in \hat{\imath}$ ；

алк．Naí．
 גоүо仑ิ $\mu \in \nu$ ；
ask．Naí．
 E $\chi \in \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̉ \partial \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oîs $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta$ ov $\tau \alpha \iota ;$
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soc. And you call talking and using speceh the same thing, I suppose.
alc. To be sure.
soc. But the user and the thing he uses are different, are they not?
alc. How do you mean?
soc. For instance, I suppose a shoemaker uses a round tool, and a square one, and others, when he cuts.
alc. Yes.
soc. And the cutter and user is quite different from what he uses in cutting ?
alc. Of course.
soc. And in the same way what the harper uses in harping will be different from the harper himself ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Well then, that is what I was asking just now - whether the user and what he uses are always, in your opinion, two different things.
alc. They are.
soc. Then what are we to say of the shoemaker? Does he cut with his tools only, or with his hands as well ?
alc. With his hands as well.
soc. So he uses these also ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Does he use his eyes, too, in his shoe-making ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And we admit that the user and what he uses are different things ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then the shoemaker and the harper are different from the hands and eyes that they use for their work?
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ААк．Фаívєтаи．
 äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ оs；

ААк．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
 Алк．Nai．
 т๐ทิ є์avт๐v̂；

алк．＂Еоткєу．


ェл．＂EХєוS $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ oưv，őтı $\gamma \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \chi \rho \omega ́$－ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ．

Aлк．Naí．
$130 \Sigma \Sigma \Omega .{ }^{\uparrow} \mathrm{H}$ oûv ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda o \tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \eta \ddot{\eta} \psi u \chi \eta$ ；
алк．Ойк ä̀入до．
ェュ．Оv่коиิข ăрхоvба；
Алк．Naí．
 $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.
Алк．То̀ $\pi$ ои̂оv；

Алк．Ті́v $\omega \nu$ ；
ェл．$\Omega v \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \ddot{\eta} \sigma v \nu a \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu, \tau o ̀ ~ o ̋ \lambda o \nu$ тоиิто．

алк．Tí $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu ;$


В Алк．＇$\Omega \mu$ о $\lambda_{0} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．${ }^{\top} A \rho$＇oûv $\sigma \omega \mu \mu$ aủтò $\alpha v i \tau o \hat{v}$ á $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota$ ；
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alc. Apparently.
soc. And man uses his whole body too?
alc. To be sure.
soc. And we said that the user and what he uses are different?
alc. Yes.
soc. So man is different from his own body?
alc. It seems so.
soc. Then whatever is man ?
alc. I cannot say.
soc. Oh, but you can-that he is the user of the body.
alc. Yes.
soc. And the user of it must be the soul ?
alc. It must.
soc. And ruler ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Now, here is a remark from which no one, I think, can dissent.
alc. What is it ?
soc. That man must be one of three things.
alc. What things ?
soc. Soul, body, or both together as one whole.
alc. Very well.
soc. But yet we have admitted that what actually rules the body is man?
al.c. We have.
soc. And does the body rule itself ?
alc. By no means.
soc. Because we have said that it is ruled.

## PLA＇TO

Аак．Naí．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Oưk ä้ $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau \sigma \hat{\tau} \tau o ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \not \subset \eta$ ô $\zeta \eta \tau o v ̂ \mu \in \nu$ ．
Алк．Oủk єैoıкеу．
ェл．＇A $\lambda \lambda$＇äpa тò бvvaцфótєpov тov̂ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~$

Алк．＂I $\sigma \omega s \quad \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．



алк．＇Opө̄̂s．
C $\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi \epsilon i \delta \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon ̀$ ovै $\tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ou゙ $\tau \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v v a \mu \phi o ́-$

 $\pi о \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a i v \in \iota \nu \eta \geqslant \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ ．






 єival $\sigma \kappa$ é $\psi \in \omega s$.
Aлк．Tí toûto；



 $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \mu \nu$ そ̈ т $̀ \nu \psi \psi \chi \eta \eta^{\nu} \nu$.

Алк．Oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．


[^17]
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alc. Yes.
soc. Then that cannot be what we are seeking.
alc. It seems not.
soc. Well then, does the combination of the two rule the body, so that we are to regard this as man?
alc. Perhaps it is.
soc. The unlikeliest thing in the world: for if one of the two does not share in the rule, it is quite inconceivable that the combination of the two can be ruling.
alc. You are right.
soc. But since neither the body nor the combination of the two is man, we are reduced, I suppose, to this : either man is nothing at all, or if something, he turns out to be nothing else than soul.
alc. Precisely so.
soc. Well, do you require some yet clearer proof that the soul is man?
alc. No, I assure you: I think it is amply proved.
soc. And if it is tolerably, though not exactly, we are content ; exact knowledge will be ours later, when we have discovered the thing that we passed over just now because it would involve much consideration.
alc. What is that?
soc. The point suggested in that remark a moment ago, ${ }^{1}$ that we should first consider the same-initself; but so far, instead of the same, we have been considering what each single thing is in itself. And perhaps we shall be satisfied with that: for surely we cannot say that anything has more absolute possession of ourselves than the soul.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. And it is proper to take the view that you

## PLATO

$\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \circ \mu \iota \lambda \epsilon і ̂ \nu$ ủ $\lambda \lambda \eta$ ク́doıs тoîs $\lambda$ ózoıs X $\rho \omega \mu \epsilon$＇́vovs $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \psi u \chi \eta ́ \nu ;$

Алк．Пávv $\mu \in ̀ v$ oûv．



 є́テтiv $\dot{\eta} \psi u \chi \eta$ ．

Алк．＂Ецотүє бокєî．
 $\tau а ́ т \tau \omega \nu$ үע $\omega$ vaı є́avтóv．
131 алк．＂Еокке⿱．
ż．＂Обтıs $\alpha \rho \alpha ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o ́ s ~ \tau \iota ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \in l$,


алк．Ойтт
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Оủסєis äpa т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ iaтp̂ิ̀ є́avтòv $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \in \iota$ ，
 ő́ov таıסотрiß $\quad$ s．

Алк．Оѝк є́очкєข．





Aлк．＇A $A \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Ei a̋pa $\sigma \omega \phi$ робv́vך є́aтi тò éavтòv $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega$－


Алк．Ой＇．$\mu$ ८८ бокє $\hat{\imath}$ ．

 ААк．Пávv $\mu$ ย̀v oûv．
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and I are conversing with each other, while we make use of words, by intercourse of soul with soul ?
alc. Quite so.
soc. Well, that is just what we suggested a little while ago-that Socrates, in using words to talk with Alcibiades, is holding speech, not with your face, it would seem, but with Alcibiades-that is, with his soul.
alc. I believe so.
soc. Then he who enjoins a knowledge of oneself bids us become acquainted with the soul.
alc. So it seems.
soc. And anyone who gets to know something belonging to the body knows the things that are his, but not himself.
alc. That is so.
soc. Then no physician, in so far as he is a physician, knows himself, nor does any trainer, in so far as he is a trainer.
alc. It seems not.
soc. And farmers, and craftsmen generally, are far from knowing themselves. For these people, it would seem, do not even know their own things, but only things still more remote than their own things, in respect of the arts which they follow; since they know but the things of the body, with which it is tended.
alc. That is true.
soc. So if knowing oneself is temperance, none of these people is temperate in respect of his art.
alc. None, I agree.
soc. And that is why these arts are held to be sordid, and no acquirements for a good man.
alc. Quite so.
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алк. Kıvঠvvєи́єı.



Алк. "Ецогүє бокєі̂.

алк. 'Opө̂̄s.

 тıขos т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ 'A $\lambda \kappa \iota \beta \iota \alpha ́ \delta o v . ~$

Алк. 'А ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S$.





Алk. Фаívetal.


aлк. Eiкós $\gamma \in$.

 є $\lambda \eta \lambda \nu$ Өó $\tau \omega \nu$.

Алк. Е $\hat{u} \gamma \epsilon \pi о \iota \hat{\omega} \nu, \hat{\omega}$ 朱кратєऽ. каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi-$ є́ $\lambda$ Ooıs.

Алк. 'АА入̀̀ $\pi \rho о \theta \nu \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$.
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soc. Then once again, whoever tends his body tends his own things, but not himself?
alc. It looks rather like it.
soc. But whoever tends his money tends neither himself nor his own things, but only things yet more remote than his own things ?
alc. I agree.
soc. So that the money-maker has ceased to do his own business.
alc. Correct.
soc. And if anyone is found to be a lover of Alcibiades' body, he has fallen in love, not with Aleibiades, but with something belonging to Alcibiades?
alc. That is true.
soc. Your lover is rather he who loves your soul ?
alc. He must be, apparently, by our argument.
soc. And he who loves your body quits you, and is gone, as soon as its bloom is over ?
alc. Apparently.
soc. Whereas he who loves your soul will not quit you so long as it makes for what is better ?
alc. So it seems.
soc. And I am he who does not quit you, but remains with you when your body's prime is over, and the rest have departed.
alc. Yes, and I am glad of it, Socrates, and hope you will not go.
soc. Then you must endeavour to be as handsome as you can.
alc. Well, I shall endeavour.
soc. You see how you stand: Alcibiades, the son of Cleinias, it seems, neither had nor has any lover
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Aлk. 'A入 $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \theta\end{aligned}$.




Алк. ${ }^{3} H \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ оüт $\omega$ s.











Aлk. Tíva;


 §єıvóv.

Aлk. E $\hat{u}$ 位
 रो $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ av่ $\omega \hat{\nu} \nu$.





Алк. "Eбтє таиิта.

${ }^{1}$ Quoted from Homer, Il. ii. 547.
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except one only, and that a cherished one, Socrates, the son of Sophroniscus and Phaenarete.
alc. True.
soc. And you said that I only just anticipated you in coming to you, for otherwise you would have come to me first for the purpose of inquiring why I am the only one who does not leave you?
alc. Yes, that was so.
soc. Then the reason was that I was the only lover of $y$ ou, whereas the rest were lovers of what is yours ; and that is losing its charm, while you are beginning to bloom. So now, if you are not blighted and deformed by the Athenian people, I shall never forsake you. For my chiefest fear is of your being blighted by becoming a lover of the people, since many a good Athenian has come to that ere now. For fair of face is "the people of great-hearted Erechtheus " ${ }^{1}$; but you should get a view of it stripped : so take the precaution that I recommend. alc. What is it ?
soc. Exercise yourself first, my wonderful friend, in learning what you ought to know before entering on politics ; you must wait till you have learnt, in order that you may be armed with an antidote and so come to no harm.
alc. Your advice seems to me good, Socrates; but try to explain in what way we can take pains over ourselves.
soc. Well, we have made one step in advance; for there is a pretty fair agreement now as to what we are, whereas we were afraid we might fail of this and take pains, without knowing it, over something other than ourselves.
alc. That is so.
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Алк. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. $\Sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ठè каì хр $\eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ ėтє́pors тapaסoтéov.

ААк. Тí $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu ;$

 $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ aن̉тov̀s $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. $\hat{\alpha} \rho a$ трòs $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \in \hat{v}$
 रрá $\mu \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ o v ̉ ~ \sigma v \nu i ́ \epsilon \mu \in \nu ;$
 кратеS;


 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ő $\nless \iota \nu$ uóvov.

Алк. $\Pi \omega \bar{s} \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о \quad \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota s ;$



 $i \delta \in \epsilon \bar{v}$;

Алк. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu$.



Алк. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, ढُ $\Sigma \omega ́ \kappa \rho а \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, öть єis ка́тоттрá $\tau \in \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тo九av̂тa.



Алк. Пávv $\gamma \in$.
${ }^{1}$ aủtò Schleiermacher: aútà mss.
208

## ALCIBIADES I

soc. And the next step, we see, is to take care of the soul, and look to that.
alc. Clearly.
soc. While handing over to others the care of our bodies and our coffers.
alc. Quite so.
soc. Then how shall we obtain the most certain knowledge of it? For if we know that, it seems we shall know ourselves also. In Heaven's name, do we fail to comprehend the wise words of the Delphic inscription, which we mentioned just now?
slc. With what intent do you say that, Socrates?
soc. I will tell you what I suspeet to be the real advice which the inscription gives us. I rather think there are not many illustrations of it to be found, but only in the case of sight.

ALC. What do you mean by that?
soc. Consider in your turn : suppose that, instead of speaking to a man, it said to the eye of one of us, as a piece of advice-" See thyself,"-how should we apprehend the meaning of the admonition? Would it not be, that the eye should look at that by looking at which it would see itself ?
alc. Clearly.
soc. Then let us think what object there is anywhere, by looking at which we can see both it and ourselves.
alc. Why, clearly, Socrates, mirrors and things of that sort.
soc. Quite right. And there is also something of that sort in the eye that we see with ?
alc. To be sure.

$$
{ }^{2} \tau \iota \text { add. F. A. Wolf. }
$$
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 тòv ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega т \pi o v ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \phi a i ́ v \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ \tau \eta ी ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$



Алк. 'А $А \lambda \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon t s$.

 ópâ, ov̋т $\omega$ s $\alpha \nu$ avivòv 'íSol.

ААк. Фаі̀єєаи.



B Алк. ' 'A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.




Алк. Ov̌тшs.






$$
\text { Алк. "Е } \mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon \text { ठокєі, } \hat{\omega} \Sigma \omega ́ к р а \tau \epsilon s .
$$


 є่ซтiv:

Алк. Oưk E’Хо $\mu \in \nu$.
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soc. And have you observed that the face of the person who looks into another's eye is shown in the optic confronting him, as in a mirror, and we call this the pupil, ${ }^{1}$ for in a sort it is an image of the person looking?
alc. That is true.
soc. Then an eye viewing another eye, and looking at the most perfect part of it, the thing wherewith it sees, will thus see itself.
alc. Apparently.
soc. But if it looks at any other thing in man or at anything in nature but what resembles this, ${ }^{2}$ it will not see itself.
alc. That is true.
soc. Then if an eye is to see itself, it must look at an cye, and at that region of the eye in which the virtue of an eye is found to occur ; and this, I presume, is sight.
alc. That is so.
soc. And if the soul too, my dear Alcibiades, is to know herself, she must surely look at a soul, and especially at that region of it in which occurs the virtue of a soul-wisdom, and at any other part of a soul which resembles this?
alc. I agree, Socrates.
soc. And can we find any part of the soul that we can call more divine than this, which is the seat of knowledge and thought?
alc. We cannot.
soc. Then this part of her resembles God, and
girl" or "doll," and were used to indicate the dark centre of the eye in which a tiny image can be seen reflected.
${ }^{2}$ i.e. it must look at the pupil of a man's eye, or at what is comparable to that "perfect part" in other things.
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Алк. Фаívєтаи.
 фpoovivnv єival;

Алк. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o v \epsilon s$ ővтєS $\delta v \nu a i \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ àv єỉסє́val $\tau \grave{\alpha}$



 'А入кıßıádov є̇ซтív.

 ท̊ $\mu a ̂ s$ av̉тoús;

Алк. Пढ̂s 人áp; $^{\prime}$
$\Sigma \Omega$. $\mathrm{E} i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho \alpha \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}^{2} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$, ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ŋֹ $\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$;
ank. Ov̉ фaivetal.
 रov̂vtєs äptı єîvaí тıvas, oî є́autoùs $\mu \in ̀ v ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma \iota \gamma-$







Алк. Tí $\mu \eta_{\nu}$;

${ }^{1}$ Above, 131 в.
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whoever looks at this, and comes to know all that is divine, will gain thereby the best knowledge of himself.
alc. Apparently:
soc. And self-knowledge we admitted to be temperance. ${ }^{1}$
alc. To be sure.
soc. So if we have no knowledge of ourselves and no temperance, shall we be able to know our own belongings, good or evil ?
alc. How can that be, Socrates ?
soc. For I expect it seems impossible to you that without knowing Alcibiades you should know that the belongings of Alcibiades are in fact his.
alc. Impossible indeed, upon my word.
soc. Nor could we know that our belongings are ours if we did not even know ourselves?
alc. How could we ?
soc. And so, if we did not so much as know our belongings, we could not know the belongings of our belongings either ?
atc. Apparently not.
soc. Then we were not quite correct in admitting just now that there are people who, without knowing themselves, know their belongings, while others know their belongings' belongings. For it seems to be the function of one man and one art to discern all three-himself, his belongings, and the belongings of his belongings.
alc. It looks like it.
soc. And anyone who is ignorant of his belongings will be similarly ignorant, I suppose, of the belongings of others.
alc. Quite so.
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$\Sigma \Omega$. О $\dot{v} \kappa \frac{v ิ \nu ~}{\epsilon i} \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ à $\gamma \nu 0 \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$.

Алк. 'Аvá $\nless \eta \eta$.
 tukós.

Алк. Ov̉ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Oن̉ $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$ оú $\delta^{\prime}$ оікоуонико́s $\gamma \epsilon$.
134 А^K. Ov̉ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$.

Aлk. Oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oûv.

Алк. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
 каi $\delta \eta \mu$ обía;

Алк. Пิ̂s $\delta^{\prime}$ ov́;

Алк. $\sum \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon$.
z $\Omega$. Tí $\delta$ ’ oîs ovitos $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$;
ank. Kai oûtǫ.
 áya0̀os $\hat{\eta}, ~ \epsilon v ̉ \delta \alpha i ́ \mu o v a ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota . ~$

Алк. Oủұ oîóv $\tau \epsilon$.

Алк. $\sum \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha \gamma є$.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ó $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$.

Алк. Фаívєтац.


 $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} S$.

алк. Ò̉ $\mu$ évtot.
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soc. And if ignorant of others' affairs, he will be ignorant also of the affairs of states.
alc. He must be.
soc. Then such a man can never be a statesman.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. No, nor an economist either.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Nor will he know what he is doing.
alc. No, I agree.
soc. And will not he who does not know make mistakes ?
alc. To be sure.
soc. And when he makes mistakes, will he not do ill both in private and in public?
alc. Of course.
soc. And doing ill he will be wretched ?
alc. Yes, very.
soc. And what of those for whom he is doing so ?
alc. They will be wretched also.
soc. Then it is impossible to be happy if one is not temperate and good.
alc. Impossible.
soc. So it is the bad men who are wretched.
alc. Yes, very.
soc. And hence it is not he who has made himself rich that is relicved of wretchedness, but he who has made himself temperate.
alc. Apparently.
soc. So it is not walls or warships or arsenals that cities need, Alcibiades, if they are to be happy, nor numbers, nor size, without virtue.
alc. No, indeed.
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Алк. Пิ̂s $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oű;
C $\Sigma \Omega$. पúvalto $\delta^{\prime}$ ăv $\tau \iota S \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l ~ o ̂ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \epsilon ้ \chi O \iota ; ~$ Алк. Kai $\pi \hat{\omega}$;
 $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \omega$ ôs $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ iठía $\mu$ óvov avizov $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ т $\bar{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \hat{\jmath} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$.

ААк. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.




Алк. Фаíveтаи.



Aлк. Eiкós $\gamma \epsilon$.
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$ кає $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ v ~ o ́ \rho \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi є \tau \epsilon . ~$

алк. Фаíveтаı.

 $\gamma \dot{\nu} \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

алк. Naí.

ank. Naí.




 $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ả $\gamma \nu \sim o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon S$ vipâs av̀тov́s.
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soc. And if you are to manage the city's affairs properly and honourably, you must impart virtue to the citizens.
alc. Of course.
soc. But could one possibly impart a thing that one had not?
alc. How, indeed?
soc. Then you or anyone else who is to be governor and curator, not merely of himself and his belongings in private, but of the state and its affairs, must first acquire virtue himself.
alc. That is true.
soc. Hence it is not licence or authority for doing what one pleases that you have to secure to yourself or the state, but justice and temperance.
alc. Apparently.
soc. For you and the state, if you act justly and temperately, will act so as to please God.
alc. Naturally.
soc. And, as we were saying in what went before, you will act with your eyes turned on what is divine and bright.
alc. Apparently.
soc. Well, and looking thereon you will behold and know both yourselves and your good.
alc. Yes.
soc. And so you will act aright and well ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Well now, if you act in this way, I am ready to warrant that you must be happy.
alc. And I can rely on your warranty.
soc. But if you act unjustly, with your eyes on the godless and dark, the probability is that your acts will resemble these through your ignorance of yourselves.
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 $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a i v \in \iota \nu, ~ i \delta \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \dddot{~} \kappa \alpha i$ тó入є८；oîov voбоข̂vтє









ААк．＂Е $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ，ӧть $\gamma \epsilon$ ảmódоьvто $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ä $\nu$ ．

 В тò как $\hat{s}$ s $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ；

Алк．＇Аขá $\begin{aligned} \\ \\ \eta\end{aligned}$ ．



Алк．＇А $А \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.

 بóvov $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i$ ．

Алк．Фаі̀уєтаи．

ank．Nai．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tò $\delta$ є̀ кád入lov $\pi \rho \in \pi \omega \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ；
алк．Пิ̂s $\delta^{\prime}$ oú；
 үáp．
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alc. That is probable.
soc. For if a man, my dear Alcibiades, is at liberty to do what he pleases, but is lacking in mind, what is the probable result to him personally, or to the state as well? For instance, if he is sick and at liberty to do what he pleases-without a medical mind, but with a despot's power which prevents anyone from even reproving him-what will be the result? Will not his health, in all likelihood, be shattered?
alc. That is true.
soc. Again, in a ship, if a man were at liberty to do what he chose, but were devoid of mind and excellence in navigation, do you perceive what must happen to hin and his fellow-sailors?
alc. I do : they must all perish.
soc. And in just the same way, if a state, or any office or authority, is lacking in excellence or virtue, it will be overtaken by failure?
alc. It must.
soc. Then it is not despotic power, my admirable Alcibiades, that you ought to secure either to yourself or to the state, if you would be happy, but virtue.
axc. That is true.
soc. And before getting virtue, to be governed by a superior is better than to govern, for a man as well as a child.
alc. Apparently.
soc. And the better is also nobler ?
alc. Yes.
soc. And the nobler more becoming ?
alc. Of course.
soc. Then it becomes a bad man to be a slave, since it is better.
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aлk．Naí．

AлK．Фаívєтаı．
г $\Omega$ ．＇Е ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ่ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \grave{\eta}$ ả $\rho \in \tau \eta$ ．
Aлк．Naí．
 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota a \nu ;$

 $\pi \rho \in \pi \omega ̂ s$ ぞ ou゙；



D алк．＂E $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ е．
ェू．Пิ̂s；

$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Óv ка入فิs $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s, \hat{\omega}^{\prime} A \lambda \kappa \iota \beta \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta$ ．
Алк．＇А $А \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \hat{s} \chi \rho \eta ̀ ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu ;$

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ，öть $\kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \in v ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ тò $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ，
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö
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alc. Yes.
soc. So vice is a thing that becomes a slave.
alc. Apparently.
soc. And virtue becomes a free man.
alc. Yes.
soc. And we should shun, my good friend, all slavishness?
alc. Most certainly, Socrates.
soc. And do you now perceive how you stand? Are you on the side of the free, or not?
alc. I think I perceive only too clearly.
soc. Then do you know how you may escape from the condition in which you now find yourself? Let us not give it a name, where a handsome person is concerned !
alc. I do.
soc. How?
alc. If it be your wish, Socrates.
soc. That is not well said, Alcibiades.
alc. Well, what should I say?
soc. If it be God's will.
alc. Then I say it. And yet I say this besides, that we are like to make a change in our parts, Socrates, so that I shall have yours and you mine. For from this day onward it must be the case that I am your attendant, and you have me always in attendance on you. ${ }^{1}$
soc. Ah, generous friend! So my love will be just like a stork; for after hatching a winged love in you it is to be cherished in return by its nestling. ${ }^{2}$
younger storks which they had previously hatched and reared.
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 $\delta \epsilon ́$, ova $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S \pi o ́-$
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alc. Well, that is the position, and I shall begin here and now to take pains over justice. soc. I should like to think you will continue to do so; yet I am apprehensive, not from any distrust of your nature, but in view of the might of the state, lest it overcome both me and you.

## ALCIBIADES II

## INTRODUCTION TO ALCIBIADES II

Tiris dialogue was included among the genuine works of Plato, about the beginning of our era, by Thrasyllus, the scholar and friend of Augustus; but there can be no doubt that it is one of the many imitations of Plato's writings which were composed in the third and second centuries b.c. Its subjectthe importance of knowing what one ought to pray for-is Socratic enough; yet the reader who comes to it from an authentic work of Plato, though it be merely an immature study like the First Alcibiades, is soon aware of grievous defects in argumentative force and connexion, and must especially remark an utter absence of the play of humour with which Plato habitually and artfully relieves the onset of his master's questioning. The language also, while it shows that the author had a considerable knowledge of Plato, is in many points unplatonic. Its numerous lapses in structure and diction are well exhibited in Stallbaum's introduction and notes : as a few examples we may notice here the Greek phrases which correspond to " manifestation "" (140 в), " and so, on the same lines, with the rest" (145 D), and " I shall be only too happy to accept" (151 B). Yet it is worth while to keep this work, provided that its secondary character is recognized, alongside the writings of Plato ; for although its fitful light is 226
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merely borrowed from Plato's and Xenophon's lively memorials of Socrates, it helps us to fix by contrast our conception of the matter and manner of those genuine representations.

The dialogue opens with the question whether Alcibiades, who is on his way to a temple, realizes the danger of prayer, when one may be unwittingly praying for quite the wrong thing, like a madman. But madness is only one of the several kinds of imprudence or unwisdom, which is the general cause of such mistakes, and of all misguided ambitions. In particular, and above all, "ignorance of the best " is the cause of human error. We find that all arts and accomplishments are useless or worse, unless they are accompanied by knowledge of their right and beneficial use ; and, so far, only the few possess such helpful knowledge. Aleibiades begins to understand the perplexity of prayer, and Socrates illustrates with a story the reverent caution of the Spartans in the matter. Alcibiades then asks him to clear away the mist from his soul, and crowns him with a garland.
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# ALCIBIADES II <br> [or ON PRAYER: "obstetric "] 

## CHARACTERS

## Socrates, Alcibiades

soc. Alcibiades, are you on your way to offer a prayer to the god?
alc. I am, certainly, Socrates.
soc. You seem, let me say, to have a gloomy look, and to keep your eyes on the ground, as though you were pondering something.
alc. And what might one ponder, Socrates?
soc. The greatest of questions, Alcibiades, as I believe. For tell me, in Heaven's name, do you not think that the gods sometimes grant in part, but in part refuse, what we ask of them in our private and public prayers, and gratify some people, but not others?
alc. I do, certainly.
soc. Then you would agree that one should take great precautions against falling unawares into the error of praying for great evils in the belief that they are good, while the gods happen to be disposed to grant freely what one is praying for ? Just as Oedipus, they say, suddenly prayed that his sons might divide their patrimony with the sword: it

## PLATO




 є̈ккабта $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \gamma^{\prime} \in \tau \nu$;



 фроуєiv:

ААк. Пávv $\mu \in ̀ v$ oûv.
 тоь єivai тıvés $\sigma$;

Ask. Eîval $\mu$ évtot.




Алк. ' $\Omega \mu$ одó $\gamma \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma a ́ \rho$.

Aлk. Eítiv.

139 алк. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.

Алк. Oủ $\gamma$ á $\rho$.
 тои́т $\omega \nu \pi \epsilon \pi$ óv $\theta \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ;$

ААк. Oủ $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha$.
 そै $\mu \eta$ vootiv.

Алк. "Е $\mu о г \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ठокє̂̂.
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was open to him to pray that his present cvils might by some means be averted, but he invoked others in addition to those which he had already. Wherefore not only were those words of his accomplished, but many other dread results therefrom, which I think there is no need to recount in detail.
alc. But you have instanced a madman, Socrates : why, do you suppose that anyone could bring himself, while he was in a sound state, to utter such a prayer?
soc. Do you regard madness as the opposite of wisdom?
alc. Certainly I do.
soc. And there are some men whom you regard as unwise, and others as wise ?
alc. Why, yes.
soc. Come then, let us consider who these people are. We have admitted that some are unwise, some wise, and others mad.
alc. Yes, we have.
soc. And again, there are some in sound health ?
alc. There are.
soc. And others also who are in ill-health ?
ALC. Quite so.
soc. And they are not the same?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. And are there any others besides, who are found to be in neither state?
alc. No, to be sure.
soc. For a human being must needs be either sick or not sick.
alc. I agree.
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ААк． $\mathrm{O} v \dot{v} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．
 $\theta$ évar．
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 äфроva єival；

Алк．＇$\Omega \mu о \lambda о ́ \gamma \eta \sigma a \quad \gamma a ́ \rho$.
zir．Kai $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ dúo $\gamma \in$ vi $\pi \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau i a$ єivi $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \pi \omega ิ s$ äv єi̋

A＾k．Ov̇ठaرĉs．
C $\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇Aфробv́vך äpa каi $\mu \alpha \nu i ́ a ~ к \iota \nu \delta v \nu \in v ́ \in \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ̇ \tau o ̀ v ~$ єîvar．

Aлk．Фaívetal．
 äфpovas $\mu \alpha i v \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ o ̋ p \theta \hat{\omega} s$ äv фаí $\mu \in \nu$ v av̉тika $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 єîval тoùs фpovípous，äфpovas $\delta \epsilon$ è $\delta \eta$ тoùs mo入入oús，


Aлk．＂E $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．
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soc. Well then, do you hold the same view about wisdom and unwisdom?
alc. How do you mean?
soc. Tell me, do you think it is only possible to be either wise or unwise, or is there some third condition between these, which makes a man neither wise nor unwise?
alc. No, there is not.
soc. So he must needs be in one or the other of these two conditions.
alc. I agree.
soc. And you remember that you admitted that madness is the opposite of wisdom?
alc. I do.
soc. And further, that there is no third condition between these, which makes a man neither wise nor unwise?
alc. Yes, I admitted that.
soc. Well now, can there possibly be two opposites of one thing ?
alc. By no means.
sot. Then it looks as though unwisdom and madness were the same.
alc. Yes, apparently.
soc. So we shall be right, Alcibiades, in saying that all unwise persons are mad ; for example, such of your contemporaries as happen to be unwisesome such there are-and of your elders, even : for tell me, in Heaven's name, do you not think that in our city the wise people are but few, whereas the majority are unwise, and these you call mad ?
alc. I do.
soc. Well, do you suppose we could safely live
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with so many madmen as our fellow-citizens, and should not long ago have paid the penalty for it in knocks and blows at their hands, and all the usual proceedings of madmen ? Consider now, my wonderful friend, whether the case is not quite different?
alc. Well, it must be, Socrates. For it looks as though it were not as I thought.
soc. And I think so too. But there is another way of regarding it.
alc. I wonder what way you mean.
soc. Well, I will tell you. We conceive there are some who are sick, do we not?
alc. We do, to be sure.
soc. And do you believe that a sick man must necessarily have the gout, or a fever, or ophthalmia? Do you not think that, although he may be afflicted in none of these ways, he may be suffering from some other disease ? For surely there are many of them : these are not the only ones.
alc. I agree.
soc. And is every ophthalmia, in your opinion, a disease?
alc. Yes.
soc. And is every disease also ophthalmia?
alc. No, I should think not : still, I am in doubt as to my meaning.
soc. Well, if you will attend to me, "two together" ${ }^{1}$ will be searching, and so mayhap we shall find what we seek.
alc. Nay, but I am attending, Socrates, to the best of my power.
soc. Then we have admitted that while every ophthalmia is a disease, every disease, on the other hand, is not ophthalmia?
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alc. We have.
soc. And our admission seems to me quite right. For everyone in a fever is sick, but yet not everyone who is sick has a fever or the gout or ophthalmia, I take it; though everything of the sort is a disease, but differs-to quote those whom we call doctorsin its manifestation. ${ }^{1}$ For they are not all alike, nor of like effect, but each works according to its own faculty, and yet all are diseases. In the same way, we conceive of some men as artisans, do we not?

Alc. Certainly.
soc. That is, cobblers and carpenters and statuaries and a host of others, whom we need not mention in particular ; but any way, they have their several departments of craft, and all of them are craftsmen; yet they are not all carpenters or cobblers or statuaries, though these taken together are craftsmen.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. In the same way, then, have men divided unwisdom also among them, and those who have the largest share of it we call " mad," and those who have a little less, " dolts" and "idiots"; though people who prefer to use the mildest language term them sometimes "romantic," ${ }^{2}$ sometimes "simpleminded," ${ }^{3}$ or again "innocent," " inexperienced," or " obtuse"; and many another name will you find if you look for more. But all these things are unwisdom, though they differ, as we observed that one
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art or one disease differs from another. Or how does it strike you?
alc. That is my view.
soc. Then let us turn at this point and retrace our steps. For we said, you know, at the beginning that we must consider who the unwise can be, and who the wise: for we had admitted that there are such persons, had we not?
alc. Yes, we have admitted it.
soc. Then you conceive those to be wise who know what one ought to do and say?
alc. I do.
soc. And which are the unwise? Those who know neither of these things ?
alc. The same.
soc. And those who know neither of these things will say and do unawares what one ought not?
alc. Apparently.
soc. Well, just such a person, as I was saying, Alcibiades, was Oedipus ; and even in our time you will find many who do the same, not in a fit of anger, as he was: they think they pray not for something evil, but for something good. He neither prayed for that, nor thought he did, but there are others who are in the opposite case. For I imagine that if the god to whom you are now going should appear to you and first ask you, before you made any prayer, whether you would be content to become sovereign of the Athenian state and, on your accounting this as something poor and unimportant, should add " and of all the Greeks also "; and if he saw you were still unsatisfied unless he promised you besides the mastery of all Europe, and should not merely
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promise you that, but on the self-same day a recognition by all men, if you so desired, of Alcibiades, son of Cleinias, as their sovereign-I imagine you would actually depart in a transport of delight, as having secured the greatest of goods.
alc. So would anybody else, I imagine, Socrates, at such a stroke of luck!
soc. But still you would not wish to sacrifice your life even for the territory and sovereignty of all the Greeks and barbarians together.
alc. I should think not. How could I, without a prospect of making any use of them?
soc. And what if you had a prospect of making an evil and injurious use of them? Not in this case either?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. So you see it is not safe either to accept casually what one is given, or to pray for one's own advancement, if one is going to be injured in consequence, or deprived of one's life altogether. Yet we could tell of many ere now who, having desired sovereignty, and endeavoured to secure it, with the idea of working for their good, have lost their lives by plots which their sovereignty has provoked. And I expect you are not unacquainted with certain events " of a day or two ago," ${ }^{1}$ when Archelaus, the monarch of Macedonia, was slain ${ }^{2}$ by his favourite, who was as much in love with the monarchy as Archelaus was with him, and who killed his lover with the expectation of being not only the monarch, but also a happy man: but after holding the monarchy for three or four days he was plotted against by others
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in his turn, and perished. You have only to look at some of our own citizens-and these are examples that we know, not by hearsay, but by personal observation-who in their time have desired to hold military command and have obtained it, and see how some to this very day are exiles from our city, while others have lost their lives. And even those who are deemed to be faring best have not only gone through many dangers and terrors in holding their command, but on returning home have continued to be as sorely besieged by informers as they were by the enemy, so that some of them wished to heaven that they had been anything but commanders rather than have held such appointments. Of course, if these dangers and toils were conducive to our advantage, there would be some reason for them ; but the case is quite the contrary. And you will find it is just the same in regard to children : some people have been known to pray that they might have them, and when they have got them have fallen into the greatest disasters and pains. For some have had children that were utterly bad, and have spent their whole lives in repining ; while others, though they had good ones, were bereft of them by disasters that overtook them, and thus were cast into as great misfortune as the others, and wished that no children at all had been born to them. But nevertheless, with all this plain evidence, and a great deal more of a similar kind, before men's eyes, it is rare to find anyone who has either declined what was offered to him or, when he was likely to gain something by prayer, refrained from praying. Most men would not decline the offer of either a monarchy or a generalship or any of the various other things which bring with them harm
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rather than benefit, but would even pray to be granted them in cases where they were lacking: but after a little while they often change their tune, and retract all their former prayers. I question therefore if men are not really wrong in blaming the gods as the authors of their ills, when "they themselves by their own presumption "-or unwisdom, shall we say ? - " have gotten them more than destined sorrows." 1 It would seem, at any rate, Aleibiades, that one old poet had some wisdom ; for I conceive it was because he had some foolish friends, whom he saw working and praying for things that were not for their advantage, though supposed to be by them, that he made a common prayer on behalf of them all, in terms something like these:

King Zeus, give unto us what is good, whether we pray or pray not;
But what is grievous, even if we pray for it, do thou avert. ${ }^{2}$
So then, to my mind the poet spoke well and soundly ; but if you have thought of an answer to his words, do not be silent.
alc. It is difficult, Socrates, to gainsay what has been well spoken : one thing, however, I do observe -how many evils are caused to men by ignorance, when, as it seems, we are beguiled by her not only into doing, but-worst of all-into praying to be granted the greatest evils. Now that is a thing that no one would suppose of himself; each of us would rather suppose he was competent to pray for his own greatest good, not his greatest evil. Why, that would seem, in truth, more like some sort of curse than a prayer!

[^26]
## PLATO





 како́р.

 бкєしข;

алк. $\mathrm{O} \dot{v} \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota ~ \mu a ̀ ~ \Delta i ́ a . ~$

 ä $\pi \epsilon \rho$ 'Орє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ фабi каi тòv 'A $\lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu a$ каі єi
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota$.

 àv $\epsilon \in \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda o u s ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{a} \chi \theta \alpha_{\imath}, \epsilon \dot{u} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \quad \sigma \epsilon$
 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o l ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon i \delta \eta ̀ ~ o u ̛ \tau \omega ~ \sigma o t ~ \delta о к \epsilon i ̂ ~ o \phi o ́ \delta p a ~ \delta e t v o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̉ v a \iota ~$


 $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta ิ \sigma a \iota a ̈ \nu \tau \iota \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ठ $\iota a \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota ;$

Aлk. Ov̉ $\delta \eta \bar{\tau} \alpha$.
E $\quad \Sigma \Omega$. Ov̉ $\delta$ é $\gamma \epsilon$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ ov oîfaı ov̉ $\delta \in ́ v a$.
алк. Oủ $\mu \in ́ v \tau о \iota$.



Алк. "Е $\mu$ о九үє бокєі..

## ALCIBIADES II

soc. But perhaps, my excellent friend, some person who is wiser than either you or I may say we are wrong to be so free with our abuse of ignorance, unless we can add that it is ignorance of certain things, and is a good to certain persons in certain conditions, as to those others it is an evil.
alc. How do you mean? Can there be anything of which it is better for anybody, in any condition whatsoever, to be ignorant than cognisant?
soc. I believe so ; and do not you?
alc. No, indeed, upon my word.
soc. But surely I shall not have to tax you with an inclination to commit such an act against your own mother as Orestes and Alcmaeon, and any others who have followed their example, are said to have committed against theirs.
alc. No unlucky words, in Heaven's name, Socrates!
soc. Why, it is not the person who says, Alcibiades, that you would not like to be guilty of such an act, whom you should bid avoid unlucky words, but much rather him who might say the contrary; since the act seems to you so very dreadful as to be unfit even for such casual mention. But do you think that Orestes, if he had had all his wits about him and had known what was best for him to do, would have brought himself to commit any act of the sort?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Nor would anyone else, I imagine.
alc. No.
soc. Then it seems that ignorance of what is best, and to be ignorant of the best, is a bad thing.
alc. I agree.
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soc. And not only for the person himself, but for everyone else?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then let us consider this further case. Suppose it should quite suddenly occur to your mind that you had better take a dagger and go to the door of Pericles, your own guardian and friend, and ask if he were at home, with the design of killing just him and no one else, and his servants said he was at home : now, I do not say you would be inclined to do any such thing, but I suppose, if you are under the impression which at some moment may well be present, surely, to the mind of a man who is ignorant of the best-that what is really the worst is best at some moment-or do you not agree?
alc. Quite so.
soc. Well then, if you went indoors and saw Pericles himself, but did not know him, and thought he was somebody else, would you still venture to kill him ?
alc. No, upon my word, I should think not.
soc. For your man was, I presume, not anyone you met, but that particular person whom you wished to kill?
alc. Yes.
soc. And although you might make a number of attempts, if you always failed to know Pericles when you were about to commit the act, you would never attack him.
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Well now, do you suppose that Orestes would ever have attacked his mother if he had similarly failed to know her ?
alc. I do not think he would.
soc. For presumably he, too, had no intention
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of killing the first woman he met, or anybody else's mother, but only his own.
alc. That is so.
soc. Then to be ignorant in such matters is better for those who are so disposed and have formed such resolves.
alc. Apparently.
soc. So you see that ignorance of certain things is for certain persons in certain states a good, not an evil, as you supposed just now.
alc. It seems to be.
soc. Then if you care to consider the sequel of this, I daresay it will surprise you.
alc. What may that be, Socrates ?
soc. I mean that, generally speaking, it rather looks as though the possession of the sciences as a whole, if it does not include possession of the science of the best, will in a few instances help, but in most will harm, the owner. Consider it this way : must it not be the case, in your opinion, that when we are about to do or say anything, we first suppose that we know, or do really know, the thing we so confidently intend to say or do?
alc. I think so.
soc. Well, take the orators, for example : they either know, or think they know, how to advise us on various occasions-some about war and peace, and others about building walls or fitting up harbours; and in a word, whatever the city does to another city or within herself, all comes about by the advice of the orators.
alc. That is true.
soc. Then observe the consequence.
alc. If I am able.
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soc. Why, strely you call men either wise or unwise?
alc. I do.
soc. And the many unwise, and the few wise ?
alc. Precisely.
soc. And in either case you name them in reference to something ?
alc. Yes.
soc. Then do you call a man wise who knows how to give advice, without knowing whether and when it is better to act upon it?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Nor, I conceive, a man who knows what war is in itself, without knowing when or for how long a time it is better to make war ?
alc. Agreed.
soc. Nor, again, a man who knows how to kill another, or seize his property, or make him an exile from his native land, without knowing when or to whom it is better so to behave ?
alc. No, to be sure.
soc. Then it is a man who knows something of this sort, and is assisted by knowledge of what is best,-and this is surely the same as knowledge of the useful, is it not?
alc. Yes.
soc. And we shall call him wise, and a competent adviser both of the city and of his own self ; but a man not so qualified we shall call the opposite of these. How do you think?
alc. I agree.
soc. And what of a man who knows how to ride or shoot, or else to box or wrestle or contend in any
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other sport, or do anything that we know by rule of art? What do you call him who knows what is better done by rule of that particular art? Do you not say that he who goes by the rules of riding is a good rider?
alc. I do.
soc. And the rules of boxing, I suppose, make a good boxer, and those of flute-playing a good fluteplayer, and so, on the same lines, ${ }^{1}$ I presume, with the rest; or is there any difference?
alc. No, it is as you say.
soc. Then do you think it inevitable that he who has some knowledge about these things should also be a wise man, or shall we say he comes far short of it?
alc. Far short of it, I declare.
soc. Then what sort of state do you suppose it would be, where the people were good bowmen and flute-players, together with athletes and artists in general, and mingled with these the men whom we have just mentioned as knowing war in itself and slaughter in itself, and orator-windbags too with their political bluster, but all of them lacked this knowledge of the best, and none knew when or upon whom it was better to employ their respective arts?
alc. A paltry one, I should call it, Socrates.
soc. Yes, you would, I expect, when you saw each one of them vying with the other and assigning the largest part in the conduct of the state to that

$$
\text { Wherein himself is found most excellent, }{ }^{2}
$$

I mean, what is done best by rule of his particular
${ }^{1} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ 入ó $\gamma o \nu$ occurs, with the genitive, in Tim. 29 c ; the normal Platonic phrase for our passage is 由бaútcs.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Gorg. 484 E (Eurip. Antiope, fr.).
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art-while he is entirely off the track of what is best for the state and for himself, because, I conceive, he has put his trust in opinion apart from intelligence. In these circumstances, should we not be right in saying that such a state is one great mass of turmoil and lawlessness?
alc. We should, upon my word.
soc. And we took it to be necessary that we should first think we know, or really know, anything that we confidently intend either to do or to say?
alc. We did.
soc. And if a man does what he knows or thinks he knows, and is assisted by knowing how to make it beneficial, we shall find him profitable both to the city and to himself ?
alc. Certainly.
soc. But if, I suppose, he does the contrary, he will not be so either to the city or to himself?
alc. No, indeed.
soc. Well then, do you still take the same view now as before, or do you think differently ?
alc. No, I take the same view.
soc. And you said you called the many unwise, and the few wise ?
alc. I did.
soc. So now we repeat our statement that the many have missed getting the best because in most cases, I conceive, they have put their trust in opinion apart from intelligence.
alc. Yes.
soc. Then it is an advantage to the many neither to know nor to think they know anything, if they are going to be specially eager to do what they know or
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think they know, but are likely on the whole, in doing it, to be injured rather than benefited.
alc. That is very true.
soc. So you see that when I said it looked as though the possession of the sciences as a whole, where it did not include the science of the best, in a few cases helped, but in most harmed the owner, I was evidently right in very truth, was I not?
acc. Though I did not then, I think so now, Socrates.
soc. Hence the state or soul that is to live aright must hold fast to this knowledge, exactly as a sick man does to a doctor, or as he who would voyage safely does to a pilot. For without this, the more briskly it is wafted by fortune either to the acquisition of wealth or to bodily strength or aught else of the sort, the greater will be the mistakes in which these things, it would seem, must needs involve it. And he who has acquired the so-called mastery of learning and arts, but is destitute of this knowledge and impelled by this or that one among those others, is sure to meet with much rough weather, as he truly deserves ; since, I imagine, he must continue without a pilot on the high seas, and has only the brief span of his life in which to run his course. So that his case aptly fits the saying of the poet, in which he complains of somebody or other that

> Full many crafts he knew : but still
> He knew them all so very ill. ${ }^{1}$
alc. Why, how on earth is the poet's saying
${ }^{1}$ Quoted from the mock-epic Margites, of which only this and five other lines have survived. The hero, Margites, became the proverbial type of a blundering idiot, and the poem was generally attributed to Homer
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apposite, Socrates? For to my mind it has nothing to do with the point.
soc. It is very much to the point: but he, good sir, like almost every other poet, speaks in riddles. For poetry as a whole is by nature inclined to riddling, and it is not every man who can apprehend it. And furthermore, besides having this natural tendency, when it gets hold of a grudging person who wishes not to show forth to us his own wisdom but to conceal it as much as possible, we find it an extraordinarily difficult matter to make out whatever this or that one of them may mean. For surely you do not suppose that Homer, divinest and wisest of poets, did not know it was impossible to know ill ; for it is he who says of Margites that he knew many things, but knew them all ill: but it is a riddle, I think, in which he has made "ill" stand for " evil," and "knew" for "to know." So if we put it together, letting the metre go, indeed, but grasping his meaning, we get this: "Full many crafts he knew, but it was evil for him to know them all." 1 Then clearly, if it was evil for him to know many things, he was in fact a paltry fellow, assuming we are to believe what we have previously argued.
alc. But I think we may, Socrates : at least, if I cannot believe those arguments of ours, I shall find it hard to trust any others.
soc. And you are right in so thinking.
alc. I repeat that I think so.
soc. But come now, in Heaven's name-for I suppose you see how great and strange is our perplexity, in which you, as it seems to me, have your

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { unnatural meaning is quite characteristic of Socrates. } C f \text {. } \\
& \text { Protag. } 343-\% \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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share; for you change about from this side to that without settling down for a moment, but as soon as you are firmly convinced of a thing you seem to slip out of it again and cease to hold the same view-well, if the god to whom you are going should even now appear to you and ask, before you uttered any prayer, whether you would be content to obtain one of those things which were mentioned at the beginning, or whether he should leave you to pray as you were, how do you suppose you would make the best of your chance-by accepting his offer, or by praying for something on your own account?
alc. Well, by the gods, I could not answer your question, Socrates, offhand. Why, I take it to be a fatuous request, ${ }^{1}$ when it is really a case for great caution lest one pray unawares for what is evil while thinking it to be one's good, and then after a little while, as you were saying, ${ }^{2}$ one change one's tune and retract all one's former prayers.
soc. And did not the poet whom I quoted at the beginning of our discussion ${ }^{3}$ know more than we, when he bade us pray for the averting of what is grievous, even though we pray for it?
alc. I think so.
soc. Then it is their admiration of this poet, Alcibiades, or perhaps the result of their own study, that causes the Spartans to offer a similar prayer whether the occasion be private or public-that the gods will give them for their own benefit the beautiful as well as the good: more than this no one can ever hear them pray for. The consequence is that to the
${ }^{1}$ i.e., that I should answer offihand. The pun in $\mu$ dipyov, alluding to the "fatuous" Margites, cannot be rendered in English.
${ }^{2} 142 \mathrm{D}$.
${ }^{3} 143$ A.
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present time ${ }^{1}$ they have been just as fortunate as any other people ; and if it has befallen them to be not invariably fortunate, it was anyhow not owing to their prayer. It rests with the gods, I conceive, to give us either what we may pray for or the reverse.

And I would like to give you an account of something else, which I once heard from some of my seniors. A quarrel having arisen between the Athenians and the Spartans, it befell our city to be always unsuccessful in every battle by land and sea, and she could never win a victory. So the Athenians, in their annoyance at this result, and at a loss for some means of finding a deliverance from the trouble they were in, took counsel together and decided that the best thing they could do was to send and inquire of Ammon ${ }^{2}$; and moreover, to ask also for what reason the gods granted victory to the Spartans rather than to themselves: "for we "-such was the message-" offer up to them more and finer sacrifices than any of the Greeks, and have adorned their temples with votive emblems as no other people have done, and presented to the gods the costliest and stateliest processions year by year, and spent more money thus than all the rest of the Greeks together. But the Spartans have never taken any such pains, and indeed are so neglectful in their behaviour to the gods, that they make a practice of sacrificing defective victims, and generally are very much behind us in the honours that they pay, though the wealth they possess is quite equal to that of our city." When
${ }^{2}$ An Ethiopian god whose cult spread over Egypt, and through Cyrene to various parts of Greece: he had temples at Thebes and Sparta, but the famous one in the Libyan desert is probably meant here.
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they had so spoken, and added the question, what they should do in order to find a deliverance from the trouble they were in, the prophet's only answer ${ }^{1}$ evidently it was all that the god allowed-was to call them to him and say: "Thus saith Ammon to the Athenians: I would rather have the reverent reserve ${ }^{2}$ of the Spartans than all the ritual of the Greeks." So much he said, and not a word further. Now by "reverent reserve" I suppose the god could only mean their prayer, since in fact it differs greatly from those that are generally offered. For the Greeks in general either lead up bulls with gilded homs, or else present the gods with votive emblems, and pray for any odd thing, whether it be good or bad : so when the gods hear their irreverent speech they reject all these costly processions and sacrifices. Whereas I think we ought to be very cautious, and fully consider what is to be said and what is not.

And in Homer too you will find other tales of a similar sort. For he relates how the Trojans, in making their bivouac,

Sacrificed to the immortals perfect hecatombs, and how the winds bore the sweet savour from the plain into heaven :

But the blessed gods partook not of it, nor would have it, For deep was their hate against holy Ilium,
And Priam, and the folk of Priam of the good ashen spear. ${ }^{3}$ So it was nothing to their purpose to sacrifice and
usual ȧтокоlvaгөa is evidence for placing the writer a good deal later than Plato.
${ }^{2}$ єंфŋ $\quad$ ula means " avoidance of speech that may offend "the opposite being $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$.
${ }_{3}$ The four lines directly quoted are not in our manuscripts of Homer, but have been inserted in modern texts as Il. viii. 548, 550-2.
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Алк. "Е $\boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.



 ${ }^{1}$ ท̉ del. Winckelmann.
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pay tribute of gifts in vain, when they were hated by the gods. lor it is not, I imagine, the way of the gods to be seduced with gifts, like a base usurer. And indeed it is but silly talk of ours, if we claim to surpass the Spartans on this seore. For it would be a strange thing if the gods had regard to our gifts and sacrifices instead of our souls, and the piety and justice that may be found in any of us. Far rather at these, I believe, do they look than at those costly processions and sacrifices which are offered, it well may be, by individual and state, year in, year out, though they may have offended greatly against the gods, or as greatly against their neighbours. But the gods are not to be won by bribes, and so they despise all these things, as Ammon and the holy prophet say. Certainly it would seem that justice and wisdom are held in especial honour both by the gods and by men of intelligence ; and wise and just are they alone who know what acts and words to use towards gods and men. But I should like now to hear what may be your opinion on the subject.
alc. Why, Socrates, it in no wise differs from yours and the god's; for indeed it would not be fitting for me to record my vote against the god.
soc. And you remember you professed to be in great perplexity lest you should pray unawares for evil, while supposing it to be good?
alc. I do.
soc. You see, then, how unsafe it is for you to approach the god with your prayers, for it may chance that when he hears your irreverent speech he will reject your sacrifice altogether, and you may perhaps be accorded some other bad thing as well.
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In my opinion, therefore, it is best to hold your peace : for I expect you will not consent to use the Spartan's prayer, you have such a romantic spirit-to give it the fairest of folly's names. ${ }^{1}$ It is necessary, therefore, to bide one's time until one can learn how one should behave towards gods and men.
alc. Well, when will that time arrive, Socrates, and who is to be my instructor? For I feel I should very much like to see who the man is.
soc. It is he who is concerned about you. But I think, as Homer relates how Athena removed the mist from the eyes of Diomede,

That he might well discern both god and man, ${ }^{2}$
so you too must first have the mist removed which now enwraps your soul, and then you will be ready to receive the means whereby you will discern both evil and good. For at present I do not think you could do so.
alc. Let him remove the mist or whatever else he likes to call it : for I am prepared to obey every one of his commands, without shirking, whoever the man may be, so long as I am to be the better for them.
soc. I tell you, he on his part is prodigiously anxious to help you.
alc. Then I think it best to defer the sacrifice also till the time comes.
soc. And you are quite right: for that is safer than running so serious a risk.
alc. But how say you, Socrates? Look now, I
${ }^{1}$ Of. 140 c .
${ }^{2}$ Il. v. 127.
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will crown you with this garland, as I consider you have given me such good advice ; and to the gods we shall offer both garlands and all the other customary things when I see that day has come. And come it will ere long, if they are willing.
soc. Well, I accept this gift ; and anything else besides, that you may give me, I shall be only too happy to accept. ${ }^{1}$ And as Euripides has made Creon say when he sees Teiresias wearing his wreaths, and hears that he has obtained them, on account of his art, as first-fruits of the spoils of war :

As omen good I take thy victor's wreaths;
For in the waves we labour, as thou knowest,--2
so do I take this opinion of yours as a good omen. For I consider I am no less wave-tossed than Creon, and would like to come off victorious over your lovers.
${ }^{1}$ The Greek here is literally-"I should gladly see myself to have accepted "-which seems very unplatonic.
${ }_{2}$ Eurip. Phoen. 858-9. The blind prophet Teiresias has been crowned by the Athenians for the aid he has given them in a successful war. Eteocles, the young king of Thebes, has left the city in charge of his uncle Creon while he is fighting his brother Polynices for the possession of the throne.

## HIPPARCHUS

## INTRODUCTION TO THE HIPPARCHUS

The Ifipparchus is probably not a genuine work of Plato, who would surely have conducted the discussion with more grace and spirit and consecution. Nevertheless it is not without interest to the student of the Platonic dialogues. The subject-the meaning of the common phrase, " a lover of gain," and its general handling, are truly Socratic, and the language shows that the writer had a fairly close and accurate grasp of Platonic idiom. A series of definitions are suggested by Socrates' anonymous companion, and these are in turn exposed as conflicting with each other or the truth. After proving that gain is not made from worthless things, and that it is not the same as good, Socrates gives an account of the wise and beneficent rule of Hipparchus in Athens (527-514 b.c.), and of the cause of the conspiracy which brought about his death. This digression, although it gives its name to the whole dialogue, is connected with the conversation by but one flimsy thread-one of the maxims which Hipparehus inseribed by the roadside for the edification of the people : this maxim-" Deceive not a friend "-has a bearing, not on any subject of the debate, but only on a momentary difference between Socrates and his friend. Socrates then allows the friend to retract some of his previous statements, 276
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and gets the reply that some gain is good, some evil. But we want to know what gain itself is, whether it be good or evil ; it is not the same as an acquisition, for it is only when an acquisition is good that we call it gain. It seems, after all, that gain must be something good. The same result is reached, if we consider the relation of gain to value or worth; for the valuable is profitable, and the profitable is good. The conversation ends with a short recapitulation, showing how obscure the meaning of gain really is, and how unsafe it is to reproach anyone with being " a lover of gain."
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## HIPPARCHUS on LOVER OF GAIN

 [घthicax]
## CHARACTERS

Socrates, Friend
soc. And what is love of gain? What can it be, and who are the lovers of gain ?
fr. In my opinion, they are those who think it worth while to make gain out of things of no worth.
soc. Is it your opinion that they know those things to be of no worth, or do not know? For if they do not know, you mean that the lovers of gain are fools.
fr. No, I do not mean they are fools, but rascals who wickedly yield to gain, because they know that the things out of which they dare to make their gain are worthless, and yet they dare to be lovers of gain from mere shamelessness.
soc. Well now, do you mean by the lover of gain such a man, for instance, as a farmer who plants something which he knows is a worthless herb, and thinks fit to make gain out of it when he has reared it up? Is that the sort of man you mean?

FR. The lover of gain, as such, Socrates, thinks he ought to make gain from everything.
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1 The " artful phrase" here is the jingling $\omega p q$ каi $\chi$ ف́pq, 280
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soc. Please do not speak so recklessly, as though you had been wronged by someone, but give me your attention and answer just as you would if I were beginning my questions over again. Do you not admit that the lover of gain has knowledge of the worth of the thing from which he thinks it worth while to make gain?
fr. I do.
soc. Then who has knowledge of the worth of plants, and of the sort of season and soil in which they are worth planting-if we too may throw in one of those artful phrases ${ }^{1}$ which adroit pleaders use to trick out their speeches in the law courts?
fr. For my part, I should say a farmer.
soc. And by " think it worth while to make gain" do you mean aught but "thinking one ought to make gain"?
fr. I mean that.
soc. Then do not attempt to deceive me, who am now quite an elderly person, and you so young, by making, as you did just now, an answer that is not even your own thought; but tell me in all truth, do you suppose that any man who was taking up farming and who knew it was a worthless plant that he was planting, could think to make gain from it ?
fr. Upon my word, I do not.
soc. Or again, take a horseman who knows that he is providing worthless food for his horse ; do you suppose he is unaware that he is destroying his horse?

Fr. I do not.
soc. So he does not think to make gain from that worthless food.
characteristic of the rhetoric taught by Gorgias and his followers.
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 ойєтає $\kappa \in p \delta \alpha i \nu \in \iota \nu$;
D ет. Оथ゙коขv фаivєтаí $\gamma \epsilon$.
 $\gamma a ́ \rho$ тov тoúzous $\gamma \epsilon$, oús $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u ́ \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu,\left\langle\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle^{1}$




 бтías каi $\pi \alpha ́ v v ~ \sigma \mu \iota к р а ̀ ~ к а i ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma о v ~ a ̈ \xi \iota \alpha ~ к а і ~ о v ̉ \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~$

 ${ }^{1} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ add. Apelt.

## HIPPARCHUS

fr. No.
soc. Or again, take a navigator who has furnished his ship with worthless spars and ropes; do you think he is unaware that he will suffer for it, and will be in danger of being lost himself, and of losing the ship and all her cargo ?
fr. I do not.
soc. So he does not think to make gain from that worthless tackle?
fr. No, indeed.
soc. But does a general, who knows that his army has worthless arms, think to make gain, or think it worth while to make gain, from them?
fr. By no means.
soc. Or does a flute-player who has worthless flutes, or a harper with a lyre, a bowman with a bow, or anyone else at all, in short, among ordinary craftsmen or sensible men in general, with any implement or other equipment of any sort that is worthless, think to make gain from it?

Fr. To all appearance, no.
soc. Then whoever can they be, your lovers of gain? For I presume they are not the people whom we have successively mentioned, but people who know their worthless things, and yet think they are to make gain from them. But in that case, by what you say, remarkable sir, no man alive is a lover of gain !

FR. Well, Socrates, I should like to call those lovers of gain who from insatiable greed consumedly long for things that are even quite petty and of little or no worth, and so love gain, in each case.
soc. Not knowing, of course, my excellent friend,
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ет．＂Еро८үє бокєі．．

 á $\xi \iota a$ єivau．

Eт．Фаívetal．
 кє́ $\rho \delta о$ ；
et．Naí．

ет．＂Е $\bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ．

ет．Oủ $\delta \in \nu$ lí．
之̇』．＇А入入れ̀ како́v；
et．Naí．



et．Naí．

et．＇Evalution．

et．Naí．
זת．Toùs oûv qò ả $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s}$ ．

ет．＂Еоикєข．

 ảja日òv $\hat{\eta}, \ddot{\eta}$ ov̉ $\phi \iota \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$ ；

ет．＂Е $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．

## HIPPARCHUS

that the things are worthless ; for we have already convinced ourselves by our argument that this is impossible.

FR. I agree.
soc. And if not knowing this, clearly they are ignorant of it, but think that those worthless things are worth a great deal.

Fr. Apparently.
soc. Now, of course lovers of gain must love gain?
fr. Yes.
soc. And by gain you mean the opposite of loss ?
Fr. I do.
soc. And is it a good thing for anyone to suffer loss ?
fr. For no one.
soc. Rather an evil ?
fr. Yes.
soc. So mankind are harmed by loss.
fr. They are harmed.
soc. Then loss is an evil.
fr. Yes.
soc. And gain is the opposite of loss.
Fr. The opposite.
soc. So that gain is a good.
fr. Yes.
soc. Hence it is those who love the good that you call lovers of gain.

Fr. So it seems.
soc. At least there is nothing mad, my friend, about lovers of gain, as you describe them. But tell me, do you yourself love, or not love, whatever is good?
fr. I love it.

## PLATO

 како́v;

ет. Mà $\Delta i^{\prime \prime}$ ои̉к $\neq \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.

et. Naí.




ет. "Epoıyє фаívєта兀.

et. Naí.







 ov̉ то入 $\mu \omega \bar{\omega} \iota ~ \kappa є \rho \delta \alpha i v \in \iota \nu$.
 ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ єival $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$.

ет. Tí oûv $\delta \dot{\eta}$ тоиิтo;


et. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Оủкои̂ע каi oi ả ảa0oi $\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon ́ \rho \delta \eta$

 $\beta \lambda a \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \in \rho \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$.

## HIPPARCHUS

soc. And is there anything good that you do not love, or must it then be evil?

FR. Upon my word, nothing.
soc. In fact, I expect you love all good things.
fr. Yes.
soc. Well now, ask me on my side whether I do not likewise : for I shall agree with you, for my part, that I love good things. But besides you and me, slo you not think that all the rest of mankind love good things, and hate evil things?

FR. It appears so to me.
soc. And we admitted that gain is good?
fr. Yes.
soc. On this new showing, everyone appears to be a lover of gain; whereas, by our former way of arguing, no one was a lover of gain. So on which of the two arguments are we to rely, in order to avoid error?
fr. What has to be done, I think, Socrates, is to conceive the lover of gain rightly. The right view of the lover of gain is that he is one who concerns himself with, and thinks fit to make gain from, things from which honest men do not dare to make gain.
soc. But you see, my sweet sir, we have just admitted that making gain is being benefited.
fr. Well, what of that?
soc. There is the further point we have admitted in addition to this--that all men wish for good things always.

FR. Yes.
soc. Then good men likewise wish to have all gains, if these are good things.

Fr. Not those gains from which they are bound, Socrates, to suffer harm.

## PLATO

 T८;
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 $\theta o ́ \mu \in \nu o s ~ a \dot{\alpha} \delta \delta i$ ả $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega}$ каì $\sigma о \phi \hat{v}$.
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## HIPPARCHUS

soc. By " suffer harm" do you mean " suffer loss," or something else?
fr. No, I mean just " suffer loss."
soc. Well, do men suffer loss from gain or from loss ?
rr. From both ; for they suffer loss from loss and from wicked gain.
soc. Pray now, do you consider that any useful and good thing is wicked?
fr. I do not.
soc. And we admitted a little while ago that gain is the opposite of loss, which is an evil.

Fr. I agree.
soc. And that, being the opposite of an evil, it is good?

FR. That was our admission.
soc. So you see, you are attempting to deceive me, for you deliberately contradict what we agreed to just now.

Fr. No, on my honour, Socrates ; on the contrary, it is you who are deceiving me, by twisting this way and that so perplexingly in your talk!
soc. Hush, hush! Why, surely it would be wrong of me not to obey a good and wise person.

FR. Who is that? And to what are you referring now?
soc. I mean my and your fellow-citizen, Pisistratus's son Hipparchus, of Philaïdae, who was the eldest and wisest of Pisistratus's sons, and who, among the many goodly proofs of wisdom that he showed, first brought the poems of Homer into this country of ours, and compelled the rhapsodes at the Panathenaea to recite them in relay, one man following on another, as they still do now. He dispatched a fifty-oared
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[^33]
## HIPPARCHUS

galley for Anacreon of Teos, and brought him into our city. Simonides of Ceos he always had about him, prevailing on him by plenteous fees and gifts. All this he did from a wish to educate the citizens, in order that he might have subjects of the highest excellence ; for he thought it not right to grudge wisdom to any, so noble and good was he. And when his people in the city had been cducated and were admiring him for his wisdom, he proceeded next, with the design of educating those of the countryside, to set up figures of Hermes for them along the roads in the midst of the city and every district town ; and then, after selecting from his own wise lore, both learnt from others and discovered for himself, the things that he considered the wisest, he threw these into elegiac form and inscribed them on the figures as verses of his own and testimonies of his wisdom, so that in the first place his people should not admire those wise Delphic legends of Know thyself and Nothing overmuch, and the other sayings of the sort, but should rather regard as wise the utterances of Hipparchus; and that in the second place, through passing up and down and reading his words and acquiring a taste for his wisdom, they might resort hither from the country for the completion of their education. There are two such inscriptions of his : on the left side of each Hermes there is one in which the god says that he stands in the midst of the city or the township, while on the right side he says :

The memorial of Hipparclus : walk with just intent.
There are many other fine inscriptions from his poems on other figures of Hermes, and this one in particular, on the Steiria ${ }^{1}$ road, in which he says :

## PLATO

B $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ тó ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $I \pi \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o v \cdot \mu \eta$ фìnov є’ $\xi a \pi a ́ \tau \alpha$.






 $\lambda \epsilon$ úovтos. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ u ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \chi а р \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́-~$


 'Apuósıov үєyovéval тaıठıкà тồ 'Apıaтoyєítovos










 $\tau o ̀ \nu " I \pi \pi \alpha \rho \chi o \nu$
${ }^{1}$ On this point the writer agrces with Thuc. vi. 59, who gives what is now the accepted story of Harmodius and Aristogeiton. 292

## HIPPARCHUS

## The memorial of Hipparchus: deceive not a friend.

I therefore should never dare, I am sure, to deceive you, who are my friend, or disobey the great Hipparchus, after whose death the Athenians were for three years under the despotic rule of his brother Hippias, and you might have heard anyone of the earlier period say that it was only in these years that there was despotism in Athens, ${ }^{1}$ and that at all other times the Athemians lived very much as in the reign of Cronos. And the subtler sort of people say that Hipparchus's death was due, not to the cause supposed by most-the disqualification of the assassin's sister from bearing the basket, ${ }^{2}$ for that is a silly motive-but because Harmodius had become the favourite of Aristogeiton and had been educated by him. Thus Aristogeiton also prided himself on educating people, and he regarded Hipparchus as a dangerous rival. And at that time, it is said, Harmodius happened to be himself in love with one of the handsome and well-born youths of the day; they do tell his name, but I cannot remember it. Well, for a while this youth admired both Harmodius and Aristogeiton as wise men, but afterwards, when he associated with Hipparchus, he despised them, and they were so overcome with the pain of this "disqualification" that they slew Hipparchus. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{2}$ In the Panathenaic procession.
${ }^{3}$ This curious version of the fall of the Pisistratid rulers (Ilippias and Hipparchus) seeks to explain the conspiracy as due to a rivalry in a sort of pre-Socratic influence over young men which arose between the citizen Aristogiton and the ruler Hipparchus.
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Eт. Kıvסvvєúєıs тoivvv, $\hat{\omega} \sum \omega ́ к \rho a \tau \epsilon s, ~ \ddot{\eta}$ oủ
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230 ET. Mŋঠ̇̀ єои̃тo.
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ет. Пิ̂s $\mu \epsilon \epsilon \in \notin \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} s ;$
 како́v;
B et. Naí.
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## HIPPARCHUS

Fr. It would seem, then, Socrates, either that you do not regard me as your friend, or if you do, that you do not obey Hipparchus. For that you are not deceiving me-though I cannot tell how you contrive it-in your talk, is more than I can believe.
soc. Well now, as though we were playing draughts, I am willing to let you revoke, as you please, anything you have said in carrying on the discussion, in order that you may not think you are being deceived. So tell me, shall I revoke for you the statement that all men desire good things ?
rr. No, thank you.
soc. Well, that suffering loss, or loss, is an evil ?
fr. No, thank you.
soc. Well, that gain, or making gain, is the opposite of loss, or suffering loss?
fr. Nor that either.
soc. Well, that making gain, as the opposite of evil, is a good?
fr. Nothing of all this do I bid you revoke for me.
soc. You think, then, it seems, that some gain is good, and some evil.
fr. I do.
soc. Well then, I revoke so much for you ; so let us assume that some gain is good, and some other gain evil. But the good sort is no more gain than the evil sort, is it?

FR. What do you mean by this question ?
soc. I will explain. Is there both good and evil food?
fr. Yes.
soc. And is the one sort more food than the other,

## PLATO



 av่т $̂$ ข ảyaӨóv, тò $\delta$ ถ̀ какóv;
et. Naí.
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or are they both similarly this same thing, food, and in this respect does the one differ no wise from the other, in being food, but only in the fact of the one being good and the other evil ?
fr. Yes.
soc. And so with drink and every other class of things that exist, when some things in any class come to be good, and others evil, one thing does not differ from another in that respect whereby they are the same? For instance, one man, I suppose, is virtuous, and another wicked.
fr. Yes.
soc. But neither of them, I conceive, is more or less man than the other-neither the virtuous than the wicked, nor the wicked than the virtuous.
fr. What you say is true.
soc. Then are we to take the same view of gain also, that both the wicked and the virtuous sort are similarly gain?
fr. Necessarily.
soc. So he who has virtuous gain is no whit the more a gainer than he who has wicked gain : neither sort is found to be more gain, as we agree.
fr. Yes.
soc. For neither of them has addition of either more or less.
fr. No, indeed.
soc. And how could one do or suffer anything more or less with a thing of this sort, that had neither of these additions?
fr. Impossible.
soc. Since, therefore, both of these are gains and gain-making affairs, we must now consider what it

## PLATO









ет. "Е $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.










入áß ${ }^{\prime}$;







ет. Kép $о$ os.
 $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ кт $\eta \quad \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
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## HIPPARCHUS

can be that leads you to call both of them gain : what is it that you see to be the same in both? Suppose you were to ask me, in those instances that I gave just now, what it is that leads me to call both good food and evil food alike food, I should tell youfor this reason, because both are a dry sustenance of the body. For that, I am sure you would agree, is what food is, would you not?
fr. I would.
soc. And so too about drink the answer would be on the same lines, that the wet sustenance of the body, whether it be wholesome or pernicious, has this name of drink; and likewise with the rest. Try therefore on your part to imitate my method of answering. When you say that virtuous gain and wicked gain are both gain, what is it that you see to be the same in them, judging it to be the actual element of gain? And if again you are yourself unable to answer, just let me put it for your consideration, whether you describe as gain every aequisition that one has aequired either with no expense, or as a profit over and above one's expense.

FR. I believe that is what I call gain.
soc. Do you include a case where, after enjoying a banquet at which one has had much good cheer without any expense, one acquires an illness ?
fr. Upon my word, not I.
soc. And if one acquired health from attending a banquet, would one acquire gain or loss ?
fr. Gain.
soc. Hence gain is not just acquiring any acquisition.
fr. No, indeed.

## PLATO
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et. Naí.

## HIPPARCHUS

soc. Do you mean, not if it is evil? Or will one acquire no gatin even if one acquires something good?

Fr. Apparently one will, if it is grood.
soc. And if it is evil, will not one acquire loss?
fr. I think so.
soc. You see, then, how you are running round again to the same old point? Gain is found to be good, and loss evil.
fr. For my part, I cannot tell what to say.
soc. And not without good reason, sir. Now answer this further question : you say that if one acquires more than the amount one has spent, it is gain?

Fr. I do not mean, when it is evil, but if one gets more gold or silver than one has spent.
soc. Now, I am just going to ask you about that. Tell me, if one spends half a pound of gold and gets double that weight in silver, has one got gain or loss?
rr. Loss, I presume, Socrates: for one's gold is reduced to twice, instead of twelve times, the value of silver.
soc. But you see, one has got more ; or is double not more than half ?
fr. Not in worth, the one being silver and the other gold.
soc. So gain, it seems, must have this addition of worth. At least, you now say that silver, though more than gold, is not worth as much, and that gold, though less, is of equal worth.
fr. Assuredly, for that is the case.
soc. Then the valuable is what produces gain, whether it be small or great, and the valueless produces no gain.
fr. Yes.
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 каi $\mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$.
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soc. And by the valuable you mean simply, valuable to possess ?
fr. Yes, to possess.
soc. And again, by what is valuable to possess, do you mean the unprofitable or the profitable?

Fr. The profitable, I presume.
soc. And the profitable is good?
fr. Yes.
soc. And so, most valiant of men, have we not here once more, for the third or fourth time, the admission that what produces gain is good ?
fr. So it seems.
soc. Then do you remember the point from which this discussion of ours arose?
fr. I think I do.
soc. In case you do not, I will remind you. You maintained against me that good men do not wish to make all sorts of gain, but only those gains that are good, and not those that are wicked.
fr. Yes.
soc. And now the argument has compelled us to acknowledge that all gains, both small and great, are good ?
fr. Yes, it has compelled me, at least, Socrates, rather than persuaded me.
soc. Well, later on, perhaps, it might also persuade you. Now, however, whether you are persuaded or whatever is your feeling, you at least agree with me that all gains are good, both small and great ones.
fr. Yes, I do admit it.
soc. And you admit that virtuous men all wish for all good things, do you not?

## PLATO

Eт. ${ }^{~} O \mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega ̂$.
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1 aủròs Bekker: aủroùs mss.

## HIPPARCHUS

Fr. I do.
soc. But, you know, you stated yourself that wicked men love both small and great gains.
fr. I did.
soc. And so, by your account, all men will be lovers of gain, whether they be virtuous or wicked.

Fr. Apparently.
soc. Hence it is not right to reproach anybody with being a lover of sain : for he who makes this reproach is actually such an one himself.

## THE LOVERS

## INTRODUCTION TO THE LOIRRS

The conversation here related by Socrates takes place in a school, where a number of boys and young men of good birth and looks have come to take the regular courses of reading, writing, recitation, and arithmetic, and to acquire the elements of geometry and astronomy. The scene in the school is swiftly and vividly described. Socrates soon finds himself talking with two young men of opposite character and training : like Amphion and Zethus in Euripides' Antiope (referred to in the Gorgias, 485-6), they have given their time, one to the humane studies of music, literature and rational debate (all of which were embraced by the Greeks under the general term " music ") ; and the other, who has turned his back on these refinements, to athletic exercise and prowess. Their antagonism is further sharpened by the fact that they are both ardent admirers of one of a pair of boys or striplings, who have been earnestly disputing over some astronomical theory, but who now turn their attention to the debate between Socrates and the two lovers.

Socrates raises the question of philosophy, which has been suggested by the sight of the two young astronomers. The athletic lover, of course, feels nothing but contempt for it ; but his literary rival cannot commend it too highly, and describes it as 308
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the lifelong acquisition of fresh knowledge ( 133 c ). But if " much learning " is the meaning of philosophy, we must consider how much learning is good for us: we find, for instance, that a moderate or measured amount of exercise and food is best in athleties ; and it would seem that a certain moderation in learning will be best for the soul ( $134 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{E}$ ). The trainer and the doctor will give us the right measure of exercise and food for a good condition of body, but who will fix it for the acquirements of the soul? This important question is left unanswered. But if we cannot tell exactly how much, let us see if we can say what the philosopher ought to leam. The youth suggests that he should learn just so much of each art or craft as will enable him, through his intelligent grasp of what is done in each, to impress people with his knowledge and cleverness ( 135 D ). Socrates objects that, on this view, the philosopher will be like an all-round athlete who may be able to beat ordinary athletes, but must be only second-best when matched with anyone who specializes in one sort of contest. As the youth adheres to his statement, Socrates points out that a second-rate person must be useless and mischievous in any emergency, so long as a specialist is available ( 136 c ). In fact, the philosopher must be something quite different from that ( 13 т в).

At this point Socrates, rather abruptly, turns the talk on to the subject of right and wrong, with especial reference to the judge or governor who has to decide between them ( 137 D ). The skill required for such decisions is the highest that we know of: it is the true state-craft, which should combine knowledge of human nature and of oneself,
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"temperance" or reasoned self-control, and justice Is the philosopher to be only second-rate, and so practically useless, in the all-important business of applying these arts or powers? $\mathrm{Or}^{r}$ is he, above all others, to undertake such work himself, as being his peculiar business? The youth agrees that he must ; and Socrates ends by saying that philosophy is something quite different from erudition and theoretical knowledge of the arts.

The little drama of the dialogue is well conceived and conducted. From the pleasant scene of life in the fashionable school we pass to the contrast between the arrogant young votary of letters and the simpleminded sportsman, who has the amusement of seeing his disdainful rival humbled by the elucidating questions of Socrates. The easy grace of the narrative reminds one of the Charmides and the Lysis; and the search for the true content and meaning of philosophy might well be a resumption of the remark on the various learning of the sophist Hippias in the Protagoras (318 e). Conformably with Plato's early manner, the important question of the due measure in learning, as in all else, is just stated clearly, and then left for future investigation. The interesting conception of the philosopher as something like our newspaper critic, and indeed as little more than a smatterer in the arts, arose naturally from the distaste felt by the best Greek society for manual labour, and from the high value set on frequent and acute discussion of everything under the sun. The Athenians especially, as Thucydides tells us through the mouth of Pericles, believed in the utility of rational debate for the life of an enterprising people ; and Socrates is hardly fair to the sophists, or to him-
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self, when he classes the man who cultivates this ability as useless. But his hasty condemnation of the ordinary critical observer is explained when we reach the concluding section. "Yes," we seem to be told, " philosophy is a kind of criticism or discrimination, but not the petty, meddling kind that you suppose. The philosopher must be supreme in a special sphere of his own, where he will be the one authority on good and evil, right and wrong."

The sudden, impatient manner in which this glinupse of the philosopher is given, and the guise in which he is shown, are not unplatonic : yet, apart from certain details of language, this last section has a clumsy abruptness which suggests that the whole piece may be the work of a skilful imitator, who is successful enough with the dramatic narrative, but cannot rise to the higher levels of Plato's thought and art ; and it is to be noted that here the important work of distinguishing the true from the false is not included in the philosopher's business. We should have expected Plato to have either concealed the gaps and loose ends with some more playfulness, or to have more ably connected and sustained his treat, ment of so high and intimate a theme.
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## THE LOVERS

## [or ON PHILOSOPHY : ETHICAL]

I entered the grammar school of the teacher Dionysius, and saw there the young men who are accounted the most comely in form and of distinguished family, and their lovers. Now it chanced that two of the young people were disputing, but about what, I did not clearly overhear : it appeared, however, that they were disputing either about Anaxagoras ${ }^{1}$ or about Oenopides ${ }^{2}$; at any rate, they appeared to be drawing circles, and they were imitating certain inclinations ${ }^{3}$ with their arms, bending to it and taking it most earnestly. Then Ifor I was sitting beside the lover of one of the pairnudged him with my elbow and asked him what on earth the two youngsters were so earnest about, and I said: Is it then something great and fine, in which they are so earnestly immersed?

Great and fine, indeed! he replied : why, these fellows are prating about the heavenly bodies, and babbling philosophy.

Then I, surprised at his answer, said : Young man,
${ }^{2}$ A philosopher of Chios, distinguished as a geometer and astronomer.
${ }^{3}$ i.e. the slopes of the contours of the earth, and of the apparent course of the sun (ecliptic).
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do you consider philosophizing to be shameful? Else, why do you speak so sharply ?

Then the other youth-for he chanced to be sitting near him, as his rival in love-when he heard my question and his rival's answer, said: You do yourself no good, Socrates, by pressing this fellow with a further question, as to whether he considers philosophizing to be shameful. Do you not know that he has spent the whole of his life in practising the neckhold, and stuffing himself, and sleeping? So why did you suppose he would make any other reply than that philosophy is shameful?

Now this one of the two lovers had spent his time on humane studies, ${ }^{1}$ whereas the other, whom he was abusing, had spent his on athletics. So I decided that I had best relinquish the other, whom I had been questioning, since he did not even himself set up to be experienced in words, but only in deeds; and that I should interrogate the one who set up to be wiser, in order that so far as I was able I might get some benefit from him. I said therefore: I addressed my question to both in common; but if you think you could answer more creditably than he, I put the same question to you as I did to him : do you consider philosophizing to be honourable or not?

Then the two striplings, overhearing us speak somewhat like this, were silent, and ceasing from their own contention they became listeners to ours. What their lovers' sensations were, I do not know, but I myself, at any rate, was staggered ; for every time I am staggered by handsome young people. It seemed to me, however, that my young friend too
${ }^{1}$ Literally, " on music," which with the Greeks included poetry and general literature as well as music.
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was in as great a flutter as myself; but nevertheless he answered me in a most anbitious spirit: Why, of course, Socrates, he said, if I should ever consider philosophizing to be shameful, I should not account myself so much as at man, nor anyone else either who was disposed to think so. Here he pointed to his rival lover, and spoke with a loud voice, in order that his favourite might hear every word.

Then I remarked: So philosophizing seems to you to be honourable?

Quite so, he said.
Well now, I said; does it seem to you possible to know whether anything is honourable or shameful without knowing what it is fundamentally ?

No, he said.
Then do you know, I went on, what philosophizing is?

Certainly I do, said he.
Then what is it ? I asked.
Why, just what Solon called it ; you know it was Solon who said :
And ever, as I older grow, I learn yet more and more ;and I agree with him that a man who intends to philosophize should in this way be ever learning something or other, whether he be younger or older, in order that he may learn as many things as possible in his life. Now at first I felt there was something in his reply, but then, on second thoughts, I asked him whether he considered philosophy to be much learning.

To which he answered : Certainly.
And do you consider philosophy to be merely honourable, I asked, or good as well ?

Good as well, he said: very much so.
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Then do you observe this as peculiar to philosophy, or do you find it similarly in everything else? F'or example, do you consider the love of athletics to be not merely honourable, but good as well, or do you not?

Whereupon he, most slily, gave a double answer : To him my statement must be " neither "; but to you, Socrates, I acknowledge it to be both honourable and good: for I consider this the right view.

Then I asked him: Well now, in athletics, do you consider that much exercise is love of athletics?

To which he replied: Certainly, just as in philosophizing I consider much learning to be philosophy.

Then I said: And do you then consider that the lovers of athletics desire anything else than that which will cause them to be in good bodily condition?

Only that, he replied.
And does much exercise, I asked, cause them to be in good bodily condition ?

Yes, for how, he replied, could one be in good bodily condition through little exercise?

Here I felt it was time to stir up the lover of athletics, in order that he might give me the support of his athletic experience ; so I proceeded to ask him: And you then, pray, why are you silent, excellent sir, while your friend here is speaking thus? Do you agree that men are in good bodily condition through much exercise, or is it rather through moderate exercise?

For my part, Socrates, he said, I thought even a pig -as the saying is-would have known that moderate exercise causes them to be in good bodily condition, so why should not a fellow who is sleepless and
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unfed, with unchafed neck and slender, care-worn frame! And when he had said this the boys were delighted and laughed their approval, while the other lover blushed.

Then I said to him: Well, do you now concede that it is neither much, nor little, but moderate exereise that causes men to be in good bodily condition? Or do you bid defiance to the two of us on this point?

To which he answered : Against him I should be only too glad to fight it out, and I am certain I should prove able to support the theory I have put forward, even had I put forward a weaker one; for he is naught. But with you I do not aim at winning an unscrupulous success; and so I admit that not a great but a moderate amount of athletics causes good condition in men.

And what of food? Moderate or much? I asked.
The same applied to food, he admitted.
Then I went on and tried to compel him also to admit that everything else connected with the body when most beneficial, was the moderate thing, not the much or the little; and he admitted that it was the moderate thing.

And now, I said, as regards the soul ; are moderate or immoderate things beneficial, as adjuncts of it ?

Moderate things, he replied.
And are studies among the adjuncts of the soul ?
He admitted they were.
So among these also it is the moderate that are beneficial, and not the much ?

He agreed.

## PLATO



 таєотріß $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\nu .}$
E Tíva $\delta^{\prime}$ äv $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ t c \omega v ~ \sigma \pi т o p a ̂ s, ~ o ́ t o ́ \sigma o v ~$ $\mu$ ќтрıоу;

Kai тov́тov тòv $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ òv $\dot{\mu} \mu$ одоүо $\hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$.
Tiva $\delta$ è $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \mu a \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \epsilon i s ~ \psi v \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~ \phi v \tau \epsilon v i \sigma \epsilon \omega ́ s$
 о́то́ба каі о̊тоі̂а $\mu$ ย́т рıа;

Toủv $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \theta \in \nu \quad 习 ゙ \delta \eta$ ảmopias $\mu \in \sigma \tau o \grave{\imath} \hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu \quad a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.





 $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \eta ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho(\omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ бкотєîv, каi єîTov, Поîa סє̀ $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ äт $\tau \alpha$ тота́ढ̆ $\mu \in \nu$ єivaı $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$,
 $\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha$ oủס́̀ $\pi o \lambda l a ́ ;$
 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ єì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \not \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каì тробท่коvта, à $\phi^{\prime}$







[^35]
## 'THE LOVERS

Then whom should we be justified in asking what sort of exercise or food is moderate for the body?

The three of us agreed that it must be a doctor or a trainer.

And whom should we ask about the moderate measure in the sowing of seed ?

In that matter, we agreed, it must be a farmer.
And whom should we be justified in asking as to the moderate degree and kind, in regard to the sowing and planting of studies in the soul?

At this point we all began to be full of perplexity ; then I, mocking at them, asked : Do you mind, since we are in perplexity, if we ask these boys here? Or perhaps we are ashamed, as Homer ${ }^{1}$ said the suitors were, and do not think it fit there should be someone clse who will string the bow?

Then, as it seemed to me that they were losing their zeal for the argument, I tried to pursue the inquiry in another way, and said: But what, as nearly as we can guess, are the kinds of learning which the philosopher should learn, since he is not to learn all things or many things ?

At this the wiser one interjected: The finest and most suitable kinds of learning are those which will bring him the most reputation for philosophy; and he will get most reputation if he appears well versed in all the arts, or if not in all, in as many of them, and those the most considerable, as he can, by learning so much of them as befits a fiee man to learn, that is, what belongs to the understanding rather than the handiwork of each.
ashamed, after they have failed to string the great bow of Odysseus, to let its owner, disguised as a beggar, try his strength on it, and perhaps succeed.
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${ }^{1} \Lambda$ ina ( $=100$ drachmas) would be about 26 - $\mathcal{E} 8$ in our money today.
${ }^{2}$ Literally, athletes trained for the contest of the five exercises of leaping, running, disc-flinging, javelin-throwing. and wrestling.
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Well now, do you mean, I asked, in the same way as in carpentry? For there, you know, you can buy a carpenter for five or six minae, ${ }^{1}$ but a firstrate architect camnot be got for even ten thousand drachmae ; few sueh, indeed, could be found throughout the whole of Greece. Is it something of this sort that you mean? When he heard me say this, he admitted that something like this was what he himself meant.

I next asked him if it was not impossible for the same person to learn in this way merely two of the arts, not to speak of many or the principal ones ; to which he replied: Do not conceive me, Socrates, to be stating that the philosopher must have accurate knowledge of each of the arts, like the actual adept in any of them ; I mean only so far as may be expected of a free and educated man: that is, he should be able to follow the explanations of the craftsman more readily than the rest of the company, and to contribute an opinion of his own which will make him appear the cleverest and most accomplished of the company who may at any time be present at some verbal or practical exposition of the arts.

Then, as I was still unsettled in my mind as to the drift of his words, I asked him: Do I quite grasp the sort of man whom you mean by the philosopher? For you seem to me to mean someone like the all-round athletes ${ }^{2}$ in contest with the runners or the wrestlers: the former yield, you know, to the latter in their particular exercises, and are their inferiors in these, but are superior to the usual sort of athletes and beat them. I daresay it may be something of this sort that you would suggest as the effect produced by philosophy on those who
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make it their pursuit: they yield to those who are first-rate in an understanding of the arts, but in taking the second place they surpass the rest; and in this way the man who has studied philosophy comes just next to the top in everything. That is the kind of person whom you appear to me to indicate.

You are quite right, it seems to me, Socrates, he said, in your conception of the philosopher's position, with your comparison of him to the all-round athlete. For it is precisely his nature not to be enslaved to any business, or to work out anything exactly, so as to let his application to that one matter make him deficient in the rest, as the craftsmen do, but to have a moderate contact with all of them.

Well, after this answer I was eager to know clearly what he meant, so I inquired of him whether he conceived of good men as useful or useless.

Useful, I should say, Socrates, he replied.
Then if good men are useful, are wicked men useless ?

He agreed that they were.
Again, do you consider that philosophers are useful persons or not?

He agreed that they were useful; nay, more, that he considered they were most useful of all.

Come now, let us make out, if what you say is true, where these second-best men are also useful to us: for clearly the philosopher is inferior to any particular adept in the arts.

He agreed.
Well now, I went on, if you yourself, or one of your
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friends for whom you feel great eoneern, should have fallen sick, would you fetch that second-best man into the house with a view to obtaining health, or would you summon a doctor?

For my part, I should have both, he replied.
Please do not say " both," I said, but which of the two you would prefer and also summon first.

No one, he replied, would make any question but that the doctorshould be preferredandalsosummoned first.

And again, if you were in a ship that was making rough weather, to which would you rather entrust yourself and yours, the pilot or the philosopher ?

I should choose the pilot.
And so it will be in everything else : so long as there is some craftsman, the philosopher will not be useful?

Apparently, he replied.
So now we find that the philosopher is a useless person? For I suppose we always have craftsmen ; and we have agreed that grood men are useful, and bad ones useless.

He was obliged to agree to this.
Then what follows? Am I to ask you, or will it be too ill-mannered?

Ask whatever you please.
Well, my aim, I said, is merely to recall our agreements upon what has been stated. The matter stands somewhat like this. We agreed that philosophy is an honourable thing, and that philosophers are good ; and that good men are useful, and wicked men useless : but then again we agreed that philosophers, so long as we have craftsmen, are useless,
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Nail.
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'H ave ${ }^{\prime} \eta$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \eta$.
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and that we always do have craftsmen. Has not all this been agreed ?

Yes, to be sure, he replied.
Then we agreed, it seems, by your account-if philosophizing means having knowledge of the arts in the way you describe-that philosophers are wicked and useless so long as there are arts among mankind. But I expect they are not so really, my friend, and that philosophizing is not just having a concernment in the arts or spending one's life in meddlesome stooping and prying and accumulation of learning, but something else ; because I imagined that this life was actually a disgrace, and that people who concerned themselves with the arts were called sordid. ${ }^{1}$ But we shall know more definitely whether this statement of mine is true, if you will answer me this: What men know how to punish horses rightly? Is it those who make them into the best horses, or some other men?

Those who make them into the best horses.
Or again, is it not the men who know how to make dogs into the best dogs that know also how to punish them rightly?

Yes.
Then it is the same art that makes them into the best dogs and punishes them rightly?

It appears so to me, he replied.
Again, is the art that makes them into the best ones and punishes them rightly the same as that which knows the good and the bad ones, or is it some other?

The same, he said.
Then in the case of men also will you be prepared
labour was the business of slaves and persons who were unfit for military and political life.
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Naí，${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$ ．
O и゙т $\omega$ ठท̀ каi $\epsilon i ̉ \kappa v ́ \omega \nu$ ；
${ }^{1}$ Boûs add．Bekker．
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to agree that the art which makes them into the best men is that which punishes them rightly and distinguishes the good and the bad ones?

Certainly, he said.
And that which does this to one, does it also to many, and that which does it to many, does it also to one?

Yes.
And so it is also with horses and everything else ?
I agree.
Then what is the knowledge which rightly punishes the licentious and law-breaking people in our cities? Is it not judicature?

Yes.
And is it any other art than this that you call justice?

No, only this.
And that whereby they punish rightly is that whereby they know the good and bad people?

It is.
And whoever knows one will know many also ?
Yes.
And whoever does not know many will not know one?

I agree.
Then if one were a horse, and did not know the good and wicked horses, would one not know which sort one was oneself?

I think not.
And if one were an ox and did not know the wicked and good oxen, would one not know which sort one was oneself?

That is so, he said.
And so it would be, if one were a dog?
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## He agreed.

Well now, when one is a man, and does not know the good and bad men, one surely cannot know whether one is good or wicked oneself, since one is a man also oneself ?

He granted this.
And is " not knowing oneself" being temperate, ${ }^{1}$ or not being temperate?

Not being temperate.
So "knowing oneself" is being temperate?
I agree, he said.
So this is the message, it seems, of the Delphic inscription-that one is to practise temperance and justice.

It seems so.
And it is by this same art that we know also how to punish rightly?

Yes.
Then that whereby we know how to punish rightly is justice, and that whereby we know how to distinguish our own and others' quality is temperance?

It seems so, he said.
Then justice and temperance are the same thing ?
Apparently.
And further, it is thus, you know, that cities are well ordered-when the wrongdoers pay the penalty.

That is true, he said.
Hence this is also statecraft.
He concurred.
Again, when one man governs a city rightly, is he not called a despot and king ?
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Charmides (Introduction and 164) for the connexion in thought and language between temperance and selfknowledge.
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I agree.
And he governs by a kingly and despotic art?
That is so.
And these arts are the same as the former ?
Apparently.
Again, when a man singly governs a house aright, what is he called? Is he not a house-manager and master ?

Yes.
Then would he also govern his house well by justice, or by some other art?

By justice.
Hence they are all the same, it seems,-king, despot, statesman, house-manager, master, and the temperate man and the just man; and it is all one art,-the kingly, the despotic, the statesman's, the master's, the house-manager's, and justice and temperance.

It is so, apparently, he said.
Then, if it is disgraceful in the philosopher to be unable, when a doctor speaks about the sick, either to follow his remarks or to contribute anything of his own to what is being said or done, and to be in the same case when any other of the craftsmen speaks, is it not disgraceful that he should be unable, when it is a judge or a king or some other of the persons whom we have just instanced, either to follow their words or contribute anything to their business?

It must indeed be disgraceful, Socrates, to have nothing to contribute to subjects of such great importance!

Are we then to say, I asked, that in these matters also he is to be an all-round athlete, a second-rate man, taking the second place in all the subjects of
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this art-he, the philosopher-and is to be useless so long as there is one of these persons ; or that, first of all, he is to entrust his own house to nobody else and is not to take the second place in it, but is himself to judge and punish rightly, if his house is to be well managed?

He granted me that it must be so.
Secondly, I presume, whether his friends entrust him with an arbitration, or the state charges him to determine or judge any matter, it is disgraceful for him, my good friend, in such cases, to be found in the second or third place, and not to lead ?

I agree.
Hence we see, my excellent sir, that philosophizing is very far from being much learning and that affair of busying oneself with the arts.

On my saying this the cultivated youth was silent, feeling ashamed for what he had said before, while the unlearned one said it was as I stated; and the rest of the company praised the argument.

THEAGES

## Introduction to the theagies

TiIe purpose of this short dialogue is to set forth the nature of the potent influence which the society of Socrates was observed to have on his young companions. The pronouncement which he makes ( $128 \mathrm{D}-130 \mathrm{E}$ ) on the divine agency by which he is directed in his guidance of others is a confession intended, apparently, as a serious confirmation of Alcibiades' after-dinner sketch of him, in the Symposium, as the magically beguiling satyr, the great enchanter of young men. In the present scene he is approached by Demodocus, an elderly man who has held high offices in the state, and who has now come to Athens from his rural retreat in order to place his son Theages with some suitable professor of that higher knowledge, or "wisdom," which the young man is anxious to learn. Socrates puts some questions to Theages on the nature of the wisdom that he seeks to acquire, and obtains the statement in reply that what he desires is to govern free citizens with their consent, as Themistocles, Pericles, Cimon, and other Athenian statesmen have done before $(126 \mathrm{~A})$; but as soon as he is asked who is to teach him this sort of wisdom, he admits that statesmen themselves are useless as instructors in their art, - and asks Socrates to be his teacher (127 A). Demodocus warmly supports his son's request; he will 342

## introduction to the theages

sacrifice everything for so great a boon : but Socrates denies his fitness for the task. Theages, however, protests that several of his young friends have gained great advantage by the instruction they have had from Socrates. This draws from Socrates a remarkable account of the spiritual voice which, from his earliest years, has forbidden certain actions proposed either by himself or by those who have consulted him ( $128 \mathrm{D}-129 \mathrm{D}$ ); and he indieates, by the story of Aristeides (cf. Laches, 179 foll.), that his influence is not a matter of particular lessons or definite instruction, but the mysterious effect of close association, and especially of actual contact, with his person (130). The good or ill success of the pupil thus depends entirely on the decision of an inscrutable will which presides over both the master and his mission ; and Theages hopes that, if it should not be propitious in his case, he may be able to conciliate it by some religious rite (131).

By thus declining to give any rational basis or meaning to his daily occupation, and referring its entire governance to that obscure supernatural sphere which he was willing to assume but not to discuss (cf. Phaedrus, 229, etc.), Socrates may be deemed less than faithful here to his genexal pursuit of accurate definition in the principles of ordinary affairs; and the turn which he gives to the talk when it touches himself certainly shows him, for the nonce, an obscurantist. But apart from the exaggerations of his ignorance and incompetence which were habitual to his modesty, it should be observed that there is nothing in this account of his reliance on a spiritual sign that does not agree with what we find recorded of him elsewhere. In the Apology he gives

## PLATO

a prohibition of the divine voice as the reason of his abstention from polities ( $31 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{D}$ ), and again, its silence as an indication that his defence in court was rightly conceived and conducted: hence the result-his death-must be for his good ( $40 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{c}$ ). There is mere irrational mystery in two instances which Plato gives of this strange intervention : the sign forbade Socrates to start on a walk until he had purified himself (Phuedrus, 242 B ); and just as he was getting up from his seat in the dressing-room of a gymnasium it forbade him, and he had to sit down again (Euthyd. 272 E ). "He forewarned many of his associates to do this or not to do that," says Xenophon (Mem. I. i. 4), " on the prognostication of the spiritual sign." There is nothing new, therefore, in his reference of all responsibility for his teaching and its results to the divine warning; though nowhere else in the Platonic writings do we find him dwelling on the matter at such length, and it is only in Xenophon that the intervention extends, as it does here, outside his own conduct to that of his companions. There is, it is true, a passage of the Theaetetus ( 150 D ), , occurring shortly before a mention of the "voice" as his guide in his dealings with young men ( 151 A ), where he tells how those who associate with him, " if Heaven is kind to them, make amazing progress, as it seems to themselves and to others ": but if we read the whole passage, and note the fine strength of its reasoning and expression, the awkward inconsequence of the Theages suggests that an imitator has tried to enlarge the mystical element in the Platonic Socrates at the expense of the intellectual process of his "midwifery."

## INTRODUCTION TO THE TIIEAGES

Some part of the inferiority so apparent in the Theages might be explained by assuming that it is a work of Plato's impaturity: but it is hard to believe that he could at any time have made Socrates indulge in the relation of stories about his friends ( 128 foll.) which tend to prove, not his main point-that it depends on the spiritual sign whether they are to benefit or not from his society-but rather the great importance to them of associating with him and heeding his prophetic warnings. There seems also to be no connexion in his preceding remark (128 в)-that he knows nothing but the one little subject of love-matters (cf. Sympos. 177 E , etc.); and his account of the divine aid that he receives and gives is sadly lacking in the usual Socratic humour. On the whole it must be concluded that the Theages was composed, probably in the second century в.c., by a careful student of Plato's writings who wished to emphasize the mystical side of Socrates ; that it found a place at the Academy and in the Alexandrian Library among other such exercises; and that by the time of Thrasyllus, who made the first complete collection of Plato's writings early in the first century a.D., it was generally regarded as an early sketch by Plato, and so was included in the canon with his genuine dialogues.

Theages is mentioned in the Republic (496 в) as " our comrade," whose delicate health restrained him, like a bridle, from politics, and kept him in the path of philosophy: in the Apology ( 33 E ) we find that he has died before the trial of Socrates (399 в.с.).

## ЄЕАГНГ
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# THEAGES [on ON WISDOM : " obstetric "] 

## CHARACTERS

## Denodocus, Socrates, Theages

dem. Socrates, I was wanting to have some private talk with you, if you had time to spare ; even if there is some demand, which is not particularly important, on your time, do spare some, nevertheless, for me.
soc. Why, in any case I happen to have time to spare, and for you, moreover, I have plenty. Well, you are free to say whatever you wish.
dem. Then do you mind if we step aside here from the street into the portico of Zeus the Liberator ${ }^{1}$ ?
soc. As you think best.
dem. Let us go, then. Socrates, it would seem that all growths follow the same course, both those that grow from the earth, and the animals, including man. In regard to the plants, as you know, we who cultivate the earth find it the easiest part of our work to make all our preparations that are needed before planting, and to do the planting itself; but when the plant begins to grow, thenceforward we have a great deal of difficult and vexatious business
${ }^{1}$ This portico or colonnade was near that of the King Archon, close to the Agora.
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in tending the new growth. Such, it seems, is also the case in regard to men : I take my own concerns as evidence for judging of the rest. For indeed I have found the planting, or the procreation-whichever one ought to call it-of this son of mine the easiest thing in the world; but his upbringing has been vexatious and a constant source of alarm, so great are my fears for him. Among the many instances that I could mention, the desire which occupies him at the moment is a thing that especially alarms me: for it is not an ill-bred desire, but a dangerous one, since here we have him, Socrates, as he says, desiring to become wise. My opinion is that some of his fellow-townsmen, about his own age, who pay visits to the city, excite him with accounts of certain discussions they have heard there; and in his envy of these he has long been pestering me with the demand that I should take due thought for his needs, and pay fees to some sophist or other who will make him wise. Now I do not mind so much about the fees, but I believe he is running into no slight danger where he is hastening. I did for a time restrain him with good advice; but since I am no longer able to do so, I believe my best course is to comply with lis request, in order that he may not resort, perchance, behind my back to somebody who will corrupt him. So I have come now on this very business of placing this youth with one of these sophists, or purveyors of wisdom, as they are held to be. It is a happy chance, therefore, that has thrown you in our way, as I should be particularly glad, with this plan of action in my mind, to ask your advice. Come, if you have any advice to give on what you have heard from me, you not only may, but should, give it.
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soc. Well, you know, Demodocus, they do say that advice is a holy thing. ${ }^{1}$ And so, if ever it is to be accounted holy, it must be in this instance, in which you now seek it. For there is no more divine matter on which a mortal could take counsel than the education either of himself or of his relations. Now, first of all, let you and me come to an agreement as to what we suppose that this thing can be, on which we are taking counsel; for it may happen that I conceive it to be one thing, and you another, and then when we have proceeded some little way in our conference, we may perceive how ridiculous we are, I the adviser and you the advised, in having no common ground in our notions.
nem. Why, I think you are right there, Socrates, and we should do as you suggest.
soc. Yes, I am right, but yet not entirely, because I have a slight change to make. For it occurs to me that this youngster may not be desiring the thing that we suppose him to desire, but something else, and there again we may be still more absurdly taking counsel on some other thing. Hence our properest course, it seems to me, is to begin with the youth himself, and inquire of him what it actually is that he desires.

Dem. It does rather look, in fact, as though our best way would be thus, as you suggest.
soc. Then tell me, what is the young person's goodly name : how are we to address him?
dem. Theages is his name, Socrates.
soc. Goodly is the name, Demodocus, and holysounding, ${ }^{2}$ that you have bestowed on your son. Tell me, then, Theages, do you say you desire to become

$$
2 \text { "Theages " means " god-guided." }
$$
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wise, and do you require your father here to find out a school of some man who is qualified to make you wise ?
the. Yes.
soc. And which sort of man do you call wise, those who have knowledge of such and such a thing, whatever it may be, or those who have not?
the. Those who have knowledge, I say.
soc. Well now, has not your father taught and educated you in the subjects which form the education of everyonc else here-all the sons of noble and honourable fathers-in letters, I mean, and harping and wrestling and the other sorts of contest?
the. Yes, he has.
soc. And you think you are still lacking in some knowledge which it behoves your father to provide for you?
the. I do.
soc. What knowledge is it? Tell us on our side, that we may oblige you.
the. He knows it, as well as I, Socrates, since I have often told him ; only he says this to you of set purpose, making as if he did not know what I desire. For he assails me too with other statements of the same sort, and refuses to place me with any instructor.
soc. Well, what you said to him before was spoken, as it were, without witnesses ; but now you shall take me as a witness, and declare before me what is this wisdom that you desire. Come now ; suppose you desired the wisdom whereby men steer a ship, and I happened to put this further question to you: Theages, what wisdom is it that you lack, when you blame your father for refusing to place you with people who would enable you to become wise?

## PLATO

 $\hat{\alpha} \rho^{\prime}$ ov่ кข $\beta \in \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu^{\prime}$;
©e. Naí.




oe. Naí.



өЕ. Оî $\mu \alpha \iota{ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ "' $\chi \in \iota \nu$.
 то́ $\gamma \in$ ơvо $\mu a$, そे каі то̀ ơvо $\mu$;
©E. Kai тò oै $\nu о \mu \alpha$ є̈ $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.

 фaíך äv єivau à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ そ" $\sigma \circ \phi i \alpha \nu ;$
 бокє̂̂ бои єîval;

өе. Ои̉к є้ $\mu о \iota \not \epsilon$.
ะ $\Omega$. 'A $A \lambda$ à ooфía;
©e. Naí.
 $\zeta \epsilon u ́ \gamma o v s{ }^{\text {áp }} \chi \in \epsilon \nu$;
©e. Naí.

өе. "E $\mu \circ \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ठок $\hat{\imath}$.

©E. AưT $\eta \mu$ ย̇v oûv.



## THEAGES

What answer would you have given me? What wisdom would you name? The steersman's art, would you not?
the. Yes.
soc. And if a desire to be wise in the wisdom whereby they steer chariots led you to blame your father, and I asked what wisdom this was, what would you name in reply? The charioteer's art, would you not?
the. Yes.
soc. And is that which you happen to be desiring now a nameless one, or has it a name?
the. I should say it has a name.
soc. Now do you know it, though not its name, or do you know its name as well ?
the. I know its name as well.
soc. Then what is it? Tell me.
the. What other name, Socrates, can one give it but wisdom?
soc. And the driver's art too is wisdom? Or do you think it is ignorance ?
the. I do not.
soc. You call it wisdom?
the. Yes.
soc. What use do we make of it? Is it not the art whereby we know how to govern a team of horses?
the. Yes.
soc. And the steersman's art too is wisdom?
the. I think so.
soc. Is not this the art whereby we know how to govern ships?
the. Yes, it is.
soc. And the wisdom that you so desire, what is it? That whereby we know how to govern whom?

## PLATO



®Е. $\mathrm{O} u \mathfrak{j} \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha$.

©е. Naí.
 रороîs ä $\rho \chi \in \iota \nu$;

өе. Оü.

өe. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.
 ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$;

๑Е. Ov̋.

©e. Naí.




©e. Nai, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ ov̉ тоúт $\omega \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ нóvov, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \not{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}, \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$.

 ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\tau \rho v \gamma(\omega ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi v \tau \in v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ каi
 ท̂ $\tau \circ u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ aै $p \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu \cdot \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \rho ;$
©e. Naí.


 ov̉ $\tau \epsilon \kappa т о \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ ;$
©e. Naí.
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the. To govern men, I imagine.
soc. Sick men, do you mean?
the. Oh, no.
soc. For that is medicine, is it not?
the. Yes.
soc. Well, that whereby we know how to govern the singers in a chorus?
the. No.
soc. For that is music ?
the. 'To be sure.
soc. Well, that whereby we know how to govern men in gymnastic training ?
the. No.
soc. For that is gymnastics?
the. Yes.
soc. Well, to govern people who do what? Endeavour your best to speak, as I did to you at the beginning.
the. To govern the people in the city, I imagine.
soc. And are the sick people also in the city ?
the. Yes, but I mean not these only, but all the rest who are in the city besides.
soc. Do I understand what art it is that you mean? For you strike me as meaning, not that whereby we know how to govern reapers and harvesters and planters and sowers and threshers, for it is the farmer's art whereby we govern these, is it not?
the. Yes.
soc. Nor, I suppose, do you mean that whereby we know how to govern sawyers and borers and planers and turners, as a class together ; for is not that carpentry?
the. Yes.

## PLATO

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठ $\eta \mu \iota o v \rho-$ $\gamma \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu i \delta \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu$.
C $\Sigma \Omega$. "EXєוs oûv єimєîv, Aï

 $\kappa a i ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \ddot{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$;
®Е. Оvैк, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tí $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \eta^{\prime} ; ~ \Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ A i a k o ̂ ̂ ~ \epsilon ̀ v ~ \Phi \theta i ́ a ~ o u ̉ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\alpha v ่ \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau 0 v ์ \tau \omega \nu$ ท̂ $\rho \chi \in \nu$;
©e. Naí.



बЕ. "Е ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
 aví $\frac{v}{} \pi$ ó入є七;
D oe. Naí.
 $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i$ ä $\rho \chi о \nu \tau a$ ढ̉v Maкє $\delta o \nu i ́ a, ~ o u ̉ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ท̂ $\hat{\eta}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ๙ै $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$;

$\Sigma \Omega$. 'ITrtiav $\delta e ̀$ тòv $\Pi \epsilon \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau o v ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$


ФE. Пิ̂s $\gamma$ àp ov̋;



[^38]
## THEAGES

soc. But perhaps it is that whereby we govern, not only all these, but farmers themselves also, and carpenters, and all craftsmen and ordinary people, whether men or women: that, perhaps, is the wisdom you mean.
the. That, Socrates, is what I have been intending to mean all the time.
soc. Then can you tell me whether Aegisthus, who slew Agamemnon in Argos, governed all these people that you mean-craftsmen and ordinary people, both men and women, or some other persons?
the. No, just those.
soc. Well now, did not Peleus, son of Aeacus, govern these same people in Phthia?
the. Yes.
soc. And have you ever heard of Periander, son of Cypselus, and how he governed at Corinth ?

тне. I have.
soc. Did he not govern these same people in his city ?
the. Yes.
soc. Or again, do you not consider that Archelaus, son of Perdiccas, who governed recently in Macedonia, governed these same people?
the. I do.
soc. And who do you think were governed by Hippias, son of Peisistratus, who governed in this city? Were they not these people?
the. To be sure they were.
soc. Now, can you tell me what appellation is given to Bacis and Sibyl and our native Amphilytus? ${ }^{1}$
prophet), was applied to several oracular persons in different places. Amphilytus seems to have come from Acarnania to Athens in the time of Peisistratus.

## PLATO

बE. Tiva $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu, \widehat{\omega} \sum \omega ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon s, \pi \lambda \eta \prime \nu \gamma \in \chi \rho \eta-$ $\sigma \mu \omega \delta$ ó;





 тоv́тoıs є̇тıӨvرєє̂, тvpavvıкท̂s, каì тv́pavvos єîval;

өе. Фаívєтаи.


 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi о v ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́$, öть $\sigma \epsilon$ оن̉火 $\notin \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$ $\epsilon i s[\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda о v]^{2} \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu \circ \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda о v ~ \tau \iota \nu o ́ s ; ~ к \alpha i ~ \sigma v ́, ~$


 $\tau \epsilon \quad \alpha u ๋ \tau \hat{\omega}$ каi oủk $\grave{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu ; ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \nu v ิ \nu$,

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi о \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau i ́ v o s ~ o v v o v \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma o \phi o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu ~$ ү'́voוто тúpàvos;

 фaúdクs.
 тоข iкav $\omega$ s.
$\Delta \mathrm{H}$. Пuv ${ }^{\text {ávov } \delta \eta}$.

## THEAGES

the. Why, soothsayers, of course, Socrates.
soc. That is correct. But try to answer me in that way regarding those others-Hippias and Periander: what appellation is given them on account of their government?
the. Despots, I suppose ; it must be that.
soc. And when a man desires to govern the whole of the people in his city, he desires the same government as those did-despotism, and to be a despot?
the. Apparently.
soc. And it is this that you say you desire ?
the. It seems so, from what I have said.
soc. You scoundrel! So you were desiring to govern us, all the time that you were blaming your father for not sending you to some seminary of despots! And you, Demodocus, are you not ashamed of having known all the time what he is desiring, and though you could have sent him where you would have made him an expert in the wisdom which he desires, actually grudging it to him and refusing to send him? But now, look here, as he has declared against you in my presence, shall you and I consult together on the question of whose school we shall send him to, and whose classes will help him to become a wise despot?
dem. Yes, in faith, Socrates, let us certainly consult, as I feel this is a matter on which no slight counsel is needed.
soc. By and by, my good sir. Let us first crossexamine him thoroughly.
dem. Examine him then.

[^39]
## PLATO

$\Sigma \Omega$. Tí oûv äv, єi EùpıTiôn $\tau \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha i \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$,



C $\sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ovvovaía ф

ooфoi $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma 0 i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бoф $\bar{\omega} \nu$ ovvovaía,
 $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \stackrel{a}{\lambda} \lambda_{0} \tau \iota \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \alpha ́ ;$
@E. Ovैк, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ то̂̂то.
ェл. Tí $\delta \epsilon ́ ; ~ \epsilon i ́ ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$
бофоì $\mu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ боф $\omega \hat{\nu}$ ovvovaiá,
 oủ oั̀ $\iota \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu a \gamma \in \iota \rho \iota \kappa \alpha^{1}$;
©E. Naí.
$\Sigma_{\Omega} . \mathrm{Ti} \delta^{\prime}, \epsilon i$
бофоi $\pi a \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бoфผ̂v $\sigma v \nu 0 v \sigma i ́ a ~$
$\epsilon \hat{\pi} \pi \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i \quad \eta \quad \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau i \quad \sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu, \hat{\alpha} \rho ’$ ov̉k äv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ D $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \in \iota \nu$ еै ${ }^{\prime} \eta$;
©e. Naí.

бoфoi $\tau u ́ p a \nu v o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бoф $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ovvovoía,
 $\tau i \not a ้ \nu$ фаíך; тoîa äv єival тav̂ta;


 mss.
${ }^{1}$ This line, also quoted and attributed to Euripides in the 362

## THEAGES

soc. Well now, what if we called in Euripides to our aid, Theages? For you know Euripides says :

Despots are wise by converse with the wise. ${ }^{1}$
Now, if someone should ask Euripides : Euripides, in what are these men wise, by whose converse you say that despots are wise? I mean, suppose he had said :

Farmers are wise by converse with the wise, and we had asked him,-Wise in what ?-what answer would he have given us? Surely none other than,-In farming.
the. That, and none other.
soc. Or again, if he had said :
Piemen are wise by converse with the wise,
and we had asked him,-Wise in what?-what answer would he have given us? He would have said,-In the pie-making business,-would he not?
the. Yes.
soc. Or again, if he had said :
Wrestlers are wise by converse with the wise,
and we had asked him,-Wise in what ?-would he not reply,-In wrestling ?
the. Yes.
soc. But as he said:
Despots are wise by converse with the wise,
and we ask him,-In what do you mean that the latter are wise, Euripides?-what will he reply? What sort of subjects will he mention here?
the. Why, upon my word, I for my part do not know. soc. Well, do you mind if I tell you?
Republic (568 A), appears to belong really to Sophocles' lost tragedy The Locrian Ajax.

## PLATO

©E．Ei ov̀ ßoú入єla



өЕ．＂${ }^{\text {E }} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．
ミת．Tí oûv；tolaútクs tivòs kai où ovvovaias




 тоо́s $\mu \epsilon$ ．










 $\tau \omega \nu$ ，$\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каi oi äд ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in S$ ．

 $\gamma$ єоóva๘ı
©E．Nì $\Delta i ́ a ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v s ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega . ~$
B $\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí oûv $\epsilon i$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ im $\pi \tau \kappa \grave{\alpha} \epsilon ̇ \tau u ́ \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \epsilon S ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
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## THEAGES

the. If you do not mind.
soc. They are the same subjects that Anacreon said Callicrite understood; or do you not know the ode? ${ }^{1}$
the. I do.
soc. Well then, do you desire to partake in some instruction of that sort from any man who is a fellow-craftsman of Callicrite, daughter of Cyane, and knows all about despotism as she did, according to the poet, in order that you may become a despot over us and our city?
the. You are joking all this time, Socrates, and making fun of me.
soc. Why, do you not say that you desire that wisdom which will enable you to govern all the citizens? And in doing that, will you be anything else but a despot?
the. I should indeed pray, I imagine, that I might become a despot, if possible, over all men, and failing that, over as many as might be; so would you, I imagine, and everybody else besides : nay, even more, I daresay, that I might become a god; but I did not say I desired that.
soc. Well, what on earth then is it that you do desire? Do you not say you desire to govern the citizens?
the. Yes, but not by force, or as despots do, but with their consent, as is done by all the other men of importance in the state.
soc. Do you mean, as by Themistocles and Pericles and Cimon, and by all those who have shown themselves able statesmen?
the. Yes, in good earnest, I mean those people.
soc. Then what if you chanced to desire to become wise in horsemanship? To whom would you have

## PLATO

 тov̀s imtıкoús；
©E．Mà $\Delta i^{\prime \prime}$ ои้к ${ }^{\epsilon \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．

 каі оіккі́ors каі $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ дотрíoss то入入оîs；
©E．$\Delta \hat{\eta}$ गov öт $\iota$ ．
 $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a l ; ~ o u ́ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ к о \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota к o v ̀ s ~ w ُ o v, ~ a ̈ \nu ~$

C каi то入入оîs каi àdдотрious каi оiкєєiors є́ка́бтотє хрผ̂ขтає ảкоитіоьs；

Фе．＂Ецо८үє бокє $\hat{\imath}$ ．


 av̉тoús $\tau \epsilon \delta \in \iota \nu o u ̀ s ~ o ̋ v \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota к \alpha ̀ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~$
 каi＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma о \mu \iota \lambda о \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \alpha$ то́dєбє каi $\beta \alpha \rho-$



 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ oi vicîs oưס̀̀v $\beta \in \lambda$ tíovs єioiv $\ddot{\eta}$ oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\epsilon i$ oioí $\mu \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v i \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~$

 óvт七vaov̂v à $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$ ．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Cf. Alcib. I. } 118 \text { е : Protag. } 320 \text { а, в. }
$$
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had to resort before expecting to be a clever horseman? To whom else but the horse-masters?
the. To none else, I am sure.
soc. And moreover, you would go to the actual men who are clever at the business, and who have horses and constantly use them in great numbers, both their own and other people's?
the. Obviously I should.
soc. And what if you wished to become wise in javelin-throwing ? Would you not expect to get this wisdom by having resorted to those javelin-masters who have javelins and who constantly use javelins, both other people's and their own, in great numbers?
the. I think so.
soc. Then pray tell me, since it is your wish to become wise in state-matters, do you expect to get your wisdom by resorting to any other persons than those statesmen, who not only have their own ability in state-matters, but have constant dealings with other cities besides their own, by their intercourse alike with Greek cities and with foreign peoples? Or do you think to get wisdom in their business by resorting to any other persons than these particular men ?
the. Well, Socrates, I have heard of the argument that you are said to put forward- that the sons of those statesmen are no better men than the sons of shoemakers ${ }^{1}$; and in my opinion your words are very true, from what I am able to gather. Hence I should be an utter fool if I supposed that any of these men would impart his wisdom to me when he never was of any use to his own son, as he would have been, if he were able to be of use in this matter to anyone at all in the world.

## PLATO

$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí oûv $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu, ~ \grave{\omega} \beta \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\omega}, \chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \iota \circ$ баuт $\hat{\varphi}, ~ \epsilon i ̈ ̆ ~ \sigma о \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o l \tau o ~ v i o ̀ s ~ \tau o \iota a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma-~$
 $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \zeta \omega \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o s, \kappa \alpha i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu ф о \iota \tau о ~$ боi $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ татрí，


 $\pi \alpha \rho$＇av̀т⿳⺈v $\mu a \nu \theta \alpha ́ v \epsilon t v ; ~ \dddot{\eta}$ тov̀s aủ $\lambda \eta \tau a ́ s, \beta o v-$



iE．Ma $\Delta i^{\prime \prime}$ ои̉к ё $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．






 ぞ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \tau \omega \sigma$ $\sigma \nu \nu \omega ́ \nu$.



B $\Sigma \Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí тоиิто $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s, ~ \Theta e ́ a \gamma \in s ;$






$$
{ }^{1} \pi \hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \eta \text { Bekker : } \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi o t \text { mss. }
$$

${ }^{1} C f$ ．the passage in the Protagoras $(320 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$ which shows that young men of good family were often placed with older 368

## THEAGES

soc. Then which way, most excellent sir, would you turn if, when you came to have a son, he should trouble you in the same manner, and tell you he desired to become a good painter, and should blame you, his father, for refusing to spend money on him for that very purpose, but at the same time should disregard the practitioners of that very thing, the painters, and decline to learn from them? Or the flute-players, when he wished to become a fluteplayer, or the harp-players? Would you know what to do with him, and where else you should send him if he refused to learn from these ?
the. Upon my word, I should not.
soc. And do you now, when you are behaving in just the same way to your father, feel surprised and blame him for being at a loss what to do with you and where to send you? Why, we are ready to place you with any well-bred Athenian statesman you may choose, who will train you free of charge ${ }^{1}$; and so not only will you be at no expense of money, but will gain far greater commendation amongst the mass of men than if you studied with anyone else.
the. But then, Socrates, are not you too one of our well-bred gentlemen? Indeed, if you will agree to instruct me, I am content and scek no other.
soc. What do you mean by that, Theages?
dem. Nay, Socrates, there is nothing amiss in what he says, and you will oblige me at the same time; for I should count it the greatest possible stroke of luek if he should weleome your instruction and you also should consent to instruct him. Nay, indeed, I am quite ashamed to say how keenly I wish
friends of standing and experience in order to prepare for public life. Cf. also Meno 94 D.

## PLATO





 тои̂тоע $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \in i ̂ p a r$.

 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \in ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a t$.




 $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ô $\tau \iota$ äv oiós $\tau \epsilon$ ทु̉s.





 $\omega \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ трòs тò $\pi$ т $\lambda i ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ả $\gamma \alpha \theta$ òv $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \eta$ そे $\sigma \dot{v}$


 $\eta^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta ~ \alpha ̉ \rho \chi a ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau a s ~ ' A \theta \eta v a i o u s ~ \eta ̂ p \xi a s, ~$






$$
{ }^{1} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \eta \nu \text { Priscianus : } \tau \in \tau^{\prime} \eta \nu, \tau \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \text { ciss. }
$$

## THEAGES

it ; but I entreat you both-you, to consent to teach Theages, and you, to seek the teaching of no one else than Socrates; you will thus relieve me of a harassing load of anxiety. For just now I am sorely afraid of his falling in with some other person who is likely to corrupt him.
the. Have no more fears for me now, father, so long as you are able to persuade him to receive me as his pupil.
dem. Very rightly spoken. Socrates, from now onward we must address ourselves to you : for I am ready, in short, to place both myself and all that I hold dearest of what is mine in your hands-whatever you may require, absolutely-if you will open your arms to Theages here, and do him any service that you can.
soc. Demodocus, your zeal is no wonder to me, if you suppose that I especially could be of use to him ; for I know of nothing for which a sensible man could be more zealous than for his own son's utmost improvement. But how you came to form this opinion, that I would be better able to be of use to your son in his aim of becoming a good citizen than you would yourself, and how he came to suppose that I rather than yourself would be of use to him-this does fill me with wonder. For you, in the first place, are my elder, and further, you have held in your time many of the highest offices in Athens, and are respected by the people of Anagyrus ${ }^{1}$ above all your fellowtownsmen, and by the whole state as much as any man, whereas neither of you can notice anything like this about me. And moreover, if Theages here does despise the instruction of our statesmen, and is look-
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ing for some other persons who profess to be able to educate young people, we have here Prodicus of Ceos, Gorgias of Leontini, Polus of Acragas, and many more, who are so wise that they go to our cities and persuade the noblest and wealthiest of our young men-who have the choice of learning from any citizen they choose, free of charge-they persuade them to abandon that instruction and learn from them, with a deposit, besides, of a large sum of money as their fee, and to feel thankful in addition. Some of these persons might naturally have been chosen both by your son and by yourself, in preference to me ; for I have no knowledge of those fair and beatific subjects of study : I only wish that I had. But what I always say, you know, is that I am in the position of knowing practically nothing except one little subject, that of love-matters. In this subject, however, I claim to be skilled above anybody who has ever lived or is now living in the world.
the. Do you see, father ? Socrates does not seem to me to be at all willing now to spend his time on me; for there is readiness enough on my part, if he is willing. But he is only jesting in what he has just told us. For I know of some of my equals in age, and some a little older, who were of no account before they learnt from him, but after beginning to learn from him have in a very short time proved themselves superior to all whose inferiors they were before.
soc. And do you know what the meaning of it is, son of Demodocus?
the. Yes, on my soul, I do--that, if it be your
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pleasure, I too shall be able to become such as those others are.
soc. No, good sir, the meaning of it escapes you ; but I will tell it you. There is something spiritual which, by a divine dispensation, has accompanied me from my childhood up. It is a voice that, when it occurs, always indicates to me a prohibition of something I may be about to do, but never urges me on to anything; and if one of my friends consults me and the voice occurs, the same thing happens: it prohibits, and does not allow him to act. And I will produce witnésses to convince you of these facts. You know our Charmides here, who has grown so handsome, the son of Glaucon : he once happened to be consulting me on his intention of training for the Nemean races, and he had no sooner begun to say that he intended to train than the voice occurred, and I tried to prevent him, saying-"Just as you were speaking my spirit-voice has occurred: no, you must not train." "Perhaps," said he, " it indicates to you that I shall not win ; but even if I am not to win, at any rate the exercise I shall get in the meantime will do me good." So saying, he went and trained ; and so you may as well inquire of him as to the results he got from his training. Or if you like, ask Cleitomachus, brother of Timarchus, what Timarchus said to him when he was going straight to the prison to meet his death, he and Euathlus the racing runner, who had harboured Timarchus as a fugitive; for he will tell you that the words he spoke to him were these :
the. What?
soc. "Cleitomachus," he said, "I tell you I am going to my death now, because I would not take
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Socrates' advice." Now, why on earth did Timarchus say that? I will tell you. When Timarchus and Philemon, son of Philemonides, got up from the wine-party to kill Nicias, son of Heroscamandrus, those two alone had knowledge of the plot; and Timarchus, as he got up, said to me: "What say you, Socrates? Go on drinking, all of you; I have to get up and go somewhere, but I will join you a little later, if I get the chance." Then oceurred that voice of mine, and I said to him : "No, no, do not get up ; for my accustomed spiritual sign has occurred to me." So he stopped. Then after an interval of time he again started to go, and said: "Well, I am going, Socrates." Again the voice occurred, and so again I constrained him to stop. The third time, wishing to give me the slip, he got up without saying another word to me; he gave me the slip by watching until my attention was turned elsewhere. Thus it was that he went right off and committed the deed which was the cause of his going then to his death. And hence it was that he spoke those words to his brother which I quoted to you just now, that he was going to his death because he had not taken my advice. And moreover, in regard to the Sicilian business, ${ }^{1}$ many will tell you what I said about the destruction of the army. As to bygones, you may hear from those who know : but there is an opportunity now of testing the worth of what the sign says. For as the handsome Sannio was setting out on campaign, the sign occurred to me, and he has gone now with Thrasyllus on an expedition bound for Ephesus and Ionia. ${ }^{2}$ I accord-
to death by the Athenians after the battle of Arginusae, 406 в.с.

## PLATO



 то̂̂ $\delta a \mu \mu \nu i o v ~ \tau o u ́ t o v ~ к a i ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ o v v o v a i a s ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$


 тє́ $\mu \circ \iota$ тои́тoıs $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \tau \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~$
 oîs $\delta^{3}$ äv $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a ́ \beta \eta \tau a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i ́ a s ~ \dot{\eta} ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta a \iota \mu o v i ́ o v ~$

 $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ oi $\mu$ èv каi ßéßalov è $\chi$ оиб九 каi тара-



 $\mu a ́ \chi o v ~ v i o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ ' A p ı \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \delta o v . ~ \delta ı а т р i ́ \beta \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ " \mu \epsilon \tau ' ~$



 o $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Єоvкv $i ́ \delta \eta s, \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho a i ́ a ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta i ́ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i ́ a s$



 ${ }^{1} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \hat{\lambda} \nu$ Hermann : $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{a} \nu$, è $\lambda \hat{a} \nu$ mss.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Theaet. 151 a from which this passage is derived. The Aristeides and Thucydides mentioned here were the grandsons respectively of Aristeides, the Athenian statesman of the time of the Persian wars, and of Thucydides, the 378

## THEAGES

ingly expect him to be either killed or brought very near it, and I have great fears for our force as a whole.

Now I have told you all this, because this spiritual power that attends me also exerts itself to the full in my intercourse with those who spend their time with me. To many, indeed, it is adverse, and it is not possible for these to get any good by conversing with me, and I am therefore unable to spend my time in conversing with them. And there are many with whom it does not prohibit my intercourse, yet the intercourse does them no good. But those who are assisted in their intercourse by that spiritual power are the persons whom you have noticed ; for they make rapid progress there and then. And of these, again, who make progress some find the benefit both solid and enduring; while there are many who, for as long a time as they are with me, make wonderful progress, but when they are parted from me relapse, and are no different from anybody else. This once befell Aristeides, ${ }^{1}$ son of Lysimachus, son of Aristeides. For by conversing with me he had made immense progress in a little time ; and then he had to go on an expedition, and he went and sailed away. On his return he found that Thucydides, son of Melesias, son of Thucydides, had been conversing with me. Now Thucydides, the day before, had quarrelled with me over some arguments we had had. So when Aristeides saw me, after greeting me and talking of other affairs, he said: " But Thucydides, I hear, Socrates, is somewhat on his dignity with you, and is annoyed aristocratic opponent of Pericles. Their fathers Lysimachus and Melesias appear in the Laches.

## PLATO


















 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o v \nu \delta \epsilon ́$, о́ $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma o \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon i \eta \nu, \kappa a ̈ \nu \in i \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ av่ $\tau \hat{\eta}$


 ॐ้̈ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \tau o ́ s ~ \sigma o v ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ т о ч \mu \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́, ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v ~ \ddot{\eta}$

 $\mu \in \nu$ ós боv каi á $\pi \tau$ ó $\mu \in \nu$ оs. עv̂v $\delta \epsilon \in, \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ ös, $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$


 $\delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota S$ каì $\tau \alpha \chi v^{\prime}, \epsilon i$ ठ̀ $\mu \eta$, oư. őpa oûv $\mu \eta$ ' бoı 380

## THEAGES

as though he were somebody. "Yes, that is so," I replied. "Well, but does he not know," he said, what a sad slave he was, before he associated with you ?" " It seems not," I replied, " upon my soul." "But indeed I myself also," he said, " am in a ridiculous position, Socrates." " How exactly ?" I asked. "Because," he replied, " before I sailed away, I was able to discuss things with anybody, and show myself inferior to none in argument, so that I even sought out the debates of the most accomplished people: but now, on the contrary, I shun them, wherever I notice there is anyone of education, so ashamed I am of my own ineptitude." "Tell me," I said, " did this power forsake you of a sudden, or little by little?" "Little by little," he replied. " And when it was present with you," I asked, " was it present through your having learnt something from me, or in some other way?" "I will tell you, Socrates," he said, " what is incredible, upon my soul, yet true. For I never yet learnt anything from you, as you know yourself: but I made progress, whenever I was with you, if I was merely in the same house, without being in the same room, but more progress, when I was in the same room. And it seemed to me to be much more when I was in the same room and looked at you as you were speaking, than when I turned my eyes elsewhere: but my progress was far the greatest and most marked whenever I sat beside you and held and touched you. Now, however," he said, "that condition has all oozed away."

Such then, Theages, is the intercourse you would have with me: if God so wills, you will make very great and rapid progress, but otherwise, you will
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not. Consider, therefore, if it is not safer for you to be educated by one of those persons who have command themselves of the benefit which they bestow on mankind, rather than follow the course on which you may chanee with me.
the. Well then, I decide, Socrates, that our plan shall be to make trial of that spiritual sign by associating with each other. Thus, if it leaves us free, that will be best of all; if it does not, it will be time then for us to consider, at the moment, what we shall do-whether we shall associate with someone else, or try to conciliate the divine sign itself that occurs to you with prayers and sacrifices and anything else that the seers may indicate.
dem. In view of this, Socrates, say no more in opposition to the lad ; for Theages is right in what he says.
soc. Well, if you consider that this is what we ought to do, let us do it.

## MINOS

## INTRODUCTION TO THE MINOS

This Dialogue may be classed with the IIipparchus as a fairly able and plausible imitation of Plato's early work, but it is destitute of those graceful or lively touches of characterization which distinguish his first memorials of Socrates, while the sequence of thought is awkward and none too clear. Socrates asks his nameless companion for a definition of Law, and shows how the various answers he receives are unsound or inadequate. He then himself suggests (315) that it must be true opinion, or discovery of reality. ${ }^{1}$ His companion thereupon shows at some length how greatly laws differ among different communities. Socrates recalls him to the point that there must be something constant and the same in all that can be referred to as law, and cites medicine, agriculture, gardening, and cookery as giving instances of what he is seeking (316). His requirement of knowledge of what is right in every kind of artist or administrator leads him on to a consideration of lawgiving as a distributive skill ${ }^{2}$ which pervades all arts and functions (317-318), and he procecds, with a somewhat laboured solemnity, to set forth
${ }^{1}$ Contrast the discussion in Meno, 97-8, where right opinion is clearly distinguished from knowledge.
${ }^{2}$ See note on 317 d for this absurd forcing of the primitive notion of "distribution" or "apportionment " from the word עóuos.
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the merits of Minos, king of Cnossos in Crete, as a lawgiver (319-320) : but, just as we are hoping to gain from this long exposition a little more light for our inquiry about the meaning of law, we are abruptly told that our ignorance is shameful, and the discussion is thus clumsily broken off.
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## MINOS оr ON LAW

## [poulticas]

## CHARACTERS

## Socrates, Companion

soc. Tell me, what is law ?
com. To what kind of law does your question refer ?
soc. What! Is there any difference between law and law, in this particular point of being law? For just consider what is the actual question I am putting to you. It is as though I had asked, what is gold : if you had asked me in the same manner, to what kind of gold I refer, I think your question would have been incorrect. For I presume there is no difference between gold and gold, or between stone and stone, in point of being gold or stone ; and so neither does law differ at all from law, I suppose, but they are all the same thing. For each of them is law alike, not one more so, and another less. That is the particular point of my question-what is law as a whole? So if you are ready, tell me.
com. Well, what else should law be, Socrates, but things loyally accepted ? ${ }^{1}$
with vópos ("law" in this context, though sometimes "custom," as below, 315 n ).
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soc. And so speceh, you think, is the things that are spoken, or sight the things seen, or hearing the things heard? Or is speech something distinct from the things spoken, sight something distinct from the things seen, and hearing something distinet from the things heard; and so law is something distinct from things loyally accepted? Is this so, or what is your view?
com. I find it now to be something distinct. soc. Then law is not things loyally accepted. com. I think not.
soc. Now what can law be ? Let us consider it in this way. Suppose someone had asked us about what was stated just now : Since you say it is by sight that things seen are seen, what is this sight whereby they are seen? Our answer to him would have been: That sensation which shows objects by means of the eyes. And if he had asked us again: Well then, since it is by hearing that things heard are heard, what is hearing? Our answer to him would have been: That sensation which shows us sounds by means of the ears. In the same way then, suppose he should also ask us : Since it is by law that loyally accepted things are so accepted, what is this law whereby they are so accepted? Is it some sensation or showing, as when things learnt are learnt by knowledge showing them, or some discovery, as when things discovered are discovered-for instance, the causes of health and sickness by medicine, or the designs of the gods, as the prophets say, by prophecy ; for art is surely our discovery of things, is it not?
com. Certainly.
soc. Then what thing especially of this sort shall we surmise law to be?
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сом. Our resolutions and decrees, I imagine : for how else can one describe law? So that apparently the whole thing, law, as you put it in your question, is a city's resolution.
soc. State opinion, it seems, is what you call law.
сом. I do.
soc. And perhaps you are right: but I fancy we shall get a better knowledge in this way. You call some men wise ?

сом. I do.
soc. And the wise are wise by wisdom?
com. Yes.
soc. And again, the just are just by justice?
com. Certainly.
soc. And so the law-abiding are law-abiding by law?
com. Yes.
soc. And the lawless are lawless by lawlessness ?
сом. Yes.
soc. And the law-abiding are just ?
com. Yes.
soc. And the lawless are unjust?
сом. Unjust.
soc. And justice and law are most noble?
сом. That is so.
soc. And injustice and lawlessness most base ?
com. Yes.
soc. And the former preserve cities and everything else, while the latter destroy and overturn them? сом. Yes.
soc. Hence we must regard law as something noble, and seek after it as a good.

сом. Undeniably.

## PLATO

 vó $\mu$ о ;
E.ET. "E $\phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ रáp.
 $\tau \grave{a}$ Sè $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} ;$

ет. "Eatı $\mu$ èv oûv.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Kai $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \nu$ vópos $\gamma \epsilon$ oủk ท̂̀v $\pi$ тovŋрós.
ет. Oủ үáp.



ет. О水к ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon$ бокє $\hat{\imath}$.
 vópos єîval.

ет. $\mathrm{O} \dot{v} \delta \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha$.




et. Naí.

et. Naí.



ет. "Eatı үáp.
 $\rho \in \sigma \iota s$.


 é $\ddagger \eta$ ข́p $\eta \tau \alpha \iota ;$
 o้vтos є́ $\xi \in u ́ p \in \sigma เ \varsigma^{\circ}$ oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ápa $\mu \grave{\eta}$ тoîs aủzoîs ảєi 394

## Minos

soc. And we said that law is a city's resolution?
сом. So we did.
soc. Well now, are not some resolutions good, and others evil?
com. Yes, to be sure.
soc. And, you know, law was not evil.
сом. No, indeed.
soc. So it is not right to reply, in that simple fashion, that law is a city's resolution.
com. I agree that it is not.
soc. An evil resolution, you see, cannot properly be a law.
com. No, to be sure.
soc. But still, I am quite clear myself that law is some sort of opinion ; and since it is not evil opinion, is it not manifest by this time that it is good opinion, granting that law is opinion?

сом. Yes.
soc. But what is good opinion? Is it not true opinion?
com. Yes.
soc. And true opinion is discovery of reality ?
com. Yes, it is.
soc. So law tends to be discovery of reality.
com. Then how is it, Socrates, if law is discovery of reality, that we do not use always the same laws on the same matters, if we have thus got realities discovered?
soc. Law tends none the less to be discovery of reality : but men, who do not use always the same
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laws, as we observe, are not always able to discover what the law is intent on-reality. For come now, let us see if from this point onward we can get it: clear whether we use always the same laws or different ones at different times, and whether we all use the same, or some of us use some, and others others.
com. Why, that, Socrates, is no difficult matter to determine - that the same men do not use always the same laws, and also that different men use different ones. With us, for instance, human sacrifice is not legal, but unholy, whereas the Carthaginians perform it as a thing they account holy and legal, and that too when some of them sacrifice even their own sons to Cronos, as I daresay you yourself have heard. And not merely is it foreign peoples who use different laws from ours, but our neighbours in Lycaea ${ }^{1}$ and the descendants of Athamas ${ }^{2}$-you know their sacrifices, Greeks though they be. And as to ourselves too, you know, of course, from what you have heard yourself, the kind of laws we formerly used in regard to our dead, when we slaughtered sacred victims before the funeral procession, and engaged urnwomen to collect the bones from the ashes. Then again, a yet earlier generation used to bury the dead where they were, in the house : but we do none of these things. One might give thousands of other instances ; for there is ample means of proving that neither we copy ourselves nor mankind each other always in laws and customs.
soc. And it is no wonder, my excellent friend, if what you say is correct, and I have overlooked it. But if you continue to express your views after your own fashion in lengthy speeches, and I speak likewise, we
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shall never come to any agrecment, in my opinion: but if we study the matter jointly, we may perhaps concur. Well now, if you like, hold a joint inquiry with me by asking me questions ; or if you prefer, by answering them.
com. Why, I am willing, Socrates, to answer anything you like.
soc. Come then, do you consider ${ }^{1}$ just things to be unjust and unjust things just, or just things to be just and unjust things unjust?
com. I consider just things to be just, and unjust things unjust.
soc. And are they so considered among all men elsewhere as they are here?
com. Yes.
soc. And among the Persians also?
com. Among the Persians also.
soc. Always, I presume?
com. Always.
soc. Are things that weigh more considered heavier here, and things that weigh less lighter, or the contrary?
com. No, those that weigh more are considered heavier, and those that weigh less lighter.
soc. And is it so in Carthage also, and in Lycaea? com. Yes.
soc. Noble things, it would seem, are everywhere considered noble, and base things base; not base things noble or noble things base.
com. That is so.
soc. And thus, as a universal rule, realities, and
1 The word $\nu 0 \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \nu$ here and in what follows is intended to retain some of the sense of $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu o s$ as "accepted " law and custom which it had in what precedes; see note, 313 B .

## PLATO

 тара̀ тоîs ä入入oเs á ${ }^{2} \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$.

ет．＂Ецоъүє ठокєіि．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＂Os äv äpa тov̂ oैvтos å áaptávض，то仑̂ vонípov á $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \in \iota$.




 $\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ öт $\tau \alpha v ่ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota v . ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \mu \circ \hat{v} \alpha v ่ \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 т $\omega \nu$ кацขо́vт $\omega \nu$ ；

ET．＂Eү $\omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．
 रра $\mu \mu \alpha$ ；

Ет．Оîठa，ӧть íaтрєкท̂s．
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ；

Ет．Фŋ $\mu$ í．



$\Sigma \Omega$ ．По́тєроข oi＂E $\lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in s$ но́vo九 тоîs＂E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ そ̈



 каi $\beta$ ар $\beta$ ápovs．

## MINOS

not unrealities, are accepted as real, both among us and among all other men.
com. I agree.
soc. Then whoever fails to attain reality, fails to attain accepted law.
com. In your present way of putting it, Socrates, the same things appear to be accepted as lawful both by us and by the rest of the world, always: but when I reflect that we are continually changing our laws in all sorts of ways, I cannot bring myself to assent.
soc. Perhaps it is because you do not reflect that when we change our pieces at draughts they are the same pieces. But look at it, as I do, in this way. Have you in your time come across a treatise on healing the sick?
com. I have.
soc. Then do you know to what art such a treatise belongs ?
com. I do : medicine.
soc. And you give the name of doctors to those who have knowledge of these matters?

сом. Yes.
soc. Then do those who have knowledge accept the same views on the same things, or do they accept different views?
com. The same, in my opinion.
soc. Do Greeks only accept the same views as Greeks on what they know, or do foreigners also agree on these matters, both among themselves and with Greeks?
com. It is quite inevitable, I should say, that those who know should agree in accepting the same views, whether Greeks or foreigners.

## PLATO


ET. Nai kai ${ }^{\alpha} \in i ́$.
zл. Оv̉коиิข каi oi iatpoì бuүүрáфоva九 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$

et. Naí.



 үєшрүєкоі vó $\mu$ оє єiơiv;
et. Naí.
 оvүүра́ $\mu \mu а \tau \alpha$ каi vо́ $\mu с \mu$;

Ет. К $\eta \pi$ тороิิע.

ET. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \nu$ a’ $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$;
ет. $\Pi \omega \bar{s} \delta^{\prime}$ ovै;
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'Emiatavтai $\delta$ ' oi к $\eta \pi$ тироí.
et. Naí.
 $\mu а т а ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \nu о ́ \mu ч \mu а ; ~$

ет. Mayєíp $\omega \nu$.

et. Maүєıрıкoi.
 «ै $\rho \chi \in \iota \nu$;
317 ET. Nai.




## MINOS

soc. Well answered. And do they so always ?
com. Yes, it is so always.
soc. And do doctors on their part, in their treatises on health, write what they accept as real ?
com. Yes.
soc. Then these treatises of the doctors are medical, and medical laws.

сом. Medical, to be sure.
soc. And are agricultural treatises likewise agricultural laws?

сом. Yes.
soc. And whose are the treatises and accepted rules about garden-work ?

сом. Gardeners'.
soc. So these are our gardening laws.
сом. Yes.
soc. Of people who know how to control gardens?
com. Certainly.
soc. And it is the gardeners who know.
сом. Yes.
soc. And whose are the treatises and accepted rules about the confection of tasty dishes?
com. Cooks'.
soc. Then there are laws of cookery?
com. Of cookery.
soc. Of people who know, it would seem, how to control the confection of tasty dishes ?

сом. Yes.
soc. And it is the cooks, they say, who know ?
com. Yes, it is they who know.
soc. Very well ; and now, whose are the treatises

## PLATO




ет．＂Ецоьүє бокєі．．
 каi oi $\beta$ абил七коі＇；

ET．Oथ̂To九 $\mu$ èv oûv．

 $\mathrm{B} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ á ${ }^{2} \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$.

Ет．＇$A \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \in \iota s$.



ET．Ov̉．
 ＇゙т $\tau \rho \alpha$ каı ${ }^{\epsilon \prime \tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ ро́ $\mu \mu \alpha$ ；

ет． $\mathrm{O} u ̉ \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．
$\Sigma \Sigma \Omega$ ．＇Eàv oûv ópûpév tivas ótovoûv tov̂тo



ет．＇Avє

 рєко̀ ท̈ то̀ кŋтоиркко́р；
et．Naí．
 vó $\mu \iota \mu$ оу єỉval；

ет．Oủкє́́兀．

ет．＇Ара́үкך．



## MINOS

and accepted rules about the government of a state? Of the people who know how to control states, are they not?

сом. I agrec.
soc. And is it anyone else than statesmen and royal persons ${ }^{1}$ who know?
com. It is they, to be sure.
soc. Then what people call "laws" are treatises of state, writings of kings and good men.

сом. That is true.
soc. And must it not be that those who know will not write differently at different times on the same matters?
com. They will not.
soc. Nor will they ever change one set of accepted rules for another in respect of the same matters.

сом. No, indeed.
soc. So if we see some persons anywhere doing this, shall we say that those who do so have knowledge, or have none?
com. That they have no knowledge.
soc. And again, whatever is right, we shall say is lawful for each person, whether in medicine or in cookery or in gardening ?

сом. Yes.
soc. And whatever is not right we shall decline to call lawful?
com. We shall decline.
soc. Then it becomes unlawful.
com. It must.
soc. And again, in writings about what is just and unjust, and generally about the government of a
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Euthyd. 291 c, Politicus $266-7$, where Plato identifies the statesman's and the king's art.

## PLATO



 є̈б $\iota \iota$ रà $\rho$ ă $\nu о \mu о \nu$.
ET. Naí.



ет. Фaivetal.


et. Гєшp ós.
 סıаує́ $\mu \in \iota$;
et. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'O $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ òs ä $\rho \alpha$ vo $\mu \in \dot{v} s$ ả $\gamma a \theta$ òs $\tau о$ v́т $\omega \nu$, каı̀ oi тои́тоv ขó $\mu$ ки каi ठıаขонаi є́тi таиิта ỏp日aí ciocv:
et. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tís $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кроv $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ é $\pi i$ ì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ à $\gamma a \theta$ òs
 єiouv;

 áv̉̀ทтıки́татоs.
et. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tís $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$

et. Naí.
 тьттоь, каi öбтьs $\pi \in р i ~ \tau а и ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \nu о \mu \iota к \omega ́ т а т о s, ~ к а і ~$ vонєv̀s äpiotos.
${ }^{1} \delta \iota a \theta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Hermann : $\hat{\omega} \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a, \theta \epsilon a \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ мss.

## MINOS

state and the proper way of governing it, that which is right is the king's law, but not so that which is not right, though it seems to be law to those who do not know; for it is unlawful.
com. Yes.
soc. Then we rightly admitted that law is discovery of reality.
com. So it appears.
soc. Now let us observe this further point about it. Who has knowledge of distributing ${ }^{1}$ seed over land ?
com. A farmer.
soc. And does he distribute the suitable seed to each sort of land ?

сом. Yes.
soc. Then the farmer is a good apportioner of it, and his laws and distributions are right in this matter?

сом. Yes.
soc. And who is a good apportioner of notes struck for a tune, skilled in distributing suitable notes, and who is it whose laws are right here?

сом. The flute-player and the harp-player.
soc. Then he who is the best lawyer in these matters is the best flute-player.

сом. Yes.
soc. And who is most skilled in distributing food to human bodies? Is it not he who assigns suitable food ?

сом. Yes.
soc. Then his distributions and laws are best, and whoever is the best lawyer in this matter is also the best apportioner.
${ }^{1}$ The words $\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \nu$ and $\nu 0 \mu \epsilon u^{s}$ in this passage introduce the primitive meaning of $\nu$ ó $\mu$ os - " distribution" or "apportionment " of each person's status, property, rights, etc.

## PLATO

Eт. Máve $\gamma \epsilon$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tís oûtos;

 $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \in \iota \nu$ кра́тьттоs;

ET. Naí.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tís $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \beta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ á $\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau o s$ $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$; $\tau i$ oैvo $\mu \alpha$ av่т $ิ$;

ет. Поциŋ̀v.
 $\beta$ átovs.

ET. Naí.
z. Oí dè тov ßoukódou toîs ßovoìv.
et. Naí.





 є่ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ ßоú $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ $\sigma \epsilon$ ن์то $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma$;

ет. Пávv $\mu$ èv oûv.
z $\Omega$. 'Ap’ oûv ó Mapovias $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ каi $\tau a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota к a ̀ ~$

ет. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \in \iota$.
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com. Certainly.
soc. Who is he ?
com. A trainer.
soc. He is the best man to pasture ${ }^{1}$ the human herd of the body? ${ }^{2}$
com. Yes.
soc. And who is the best man to pasture a flock of sheep? What is his name?

сом. A shepherd.
soc. Then the shepherd's laws are best for sheep.
com. Yes.
soc. And the herdsman's for oxen.
com. Yes.
soc. And whose laws are best for the souls of men? The king's, are they not? Say if you agree.

сом. I do.
soc. Then you are quite right. Now can you tell me who, in former tines, has proved himself a good lawgiver in regard to the laws of flute-playing? Perhaps you cannot think of him: would you like me to remind you?

сом. Do by all means.
soc. Then is it Marsyas, by tradition, and his - beloved Olympus, the Phrygian?
com. That is true.
soc. And their flute-tunes also are most divine, and alone stir and make manifest those who are in need of the gods; ${ }^{3}$ and to this day they only remain, as being divine.
${ }^{2}$ The awkward imagery of this sentence obviously cannot have come from Plato's mind or hand.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Sympos. 215 c (from which this allusion to Marsyas
 where "in need of the gods" seems to be a mystic phrase for "ready for divine possession" (ė $\nu \theta$ ovaıa $\mu$ ós).

## PLATO

C ET．＂Eoтt тaûta．

 $\nu o ́ \mu \iota \mu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v \in \iota$ ल̀s $\theta \in \hat{\imath} \alpha$ őv $\tau \alpha$ ；
ET．Oùk évvô̂．
 $\tau \alpha \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu{ }^{\text {＇E }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$ ；
 रov тòv עо ооӨ́́т $\nu$ ；
 $\ddot{\eta}$ ỏ $\lambda i \gamma \omega$ тои́т $\omega \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ ．à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau о u^{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu о \mu i \mu \omega \nu$


 $\tau \omega ิ \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ；
et．Naí．
 ท̂ซav；Mivas $\tau \epsilon$ каi＇Paסáرav0vs，oi $\Delta$ ©o＇s каi


 аँठıкоу．
 үוко́ข．
E ет．Tí $\delta \epsilon ́ ;$ ov̉ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \epsilon р \grave{\imath}$ Mìv，
 $\tau<\iota \gamma \epsilon \pi \iota \theta a \nu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ i ́ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$ ク̈ $\sigma u ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ oi $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta o-$


ет．＇A入入̀̀ $\tau i ́ \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ oưtoı $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Miva $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ;$
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇Ey（i）$\delta \dot{\eta}$ боь є’ $\rho \hat{\omega}$ ，ïva $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ бv̀ $\omega ँ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi 410

## MINOS

com. That is so.
soc. And who by tradition has shown himself a good lawgiver among the ancient kings, so that to this day his ordinances remain, as being divinc?
com. I cannot think.
soc. Do you not know which of the Greeks use the most ancient laws ?

сом. Do you mean the Spartans, and Lycurgus the lawgiver?
soc. Why, that is a matter, I daresay, of less than three hundred years ago, or but a little more. But whence is it that the best of those ordinances come? Do you know?
com. From Crete, so they say.
soc. Then the people there use the most ancient laws in Greece?

сом. Yes.
soc. Then do you know who were their good kings ? Minos and Rhadamanthus, the sons of Zeus and Europa; those laws were theirs.
com. Rhadamanthus, they do say, Socrates, was a just man; but Minos was a savage sort of person, harsh and unjust.
soc. Your tale, my excellent friend, is a fiction of Attic tragedy.
com. What! Is not this the tradition about Minos?
soc. Not in Homer and Hesiod ; and yet they are more to be believed than all the tragedians together, from whom you heard your tale.
com. Well, and what, pray, is their tale about Minos?
soc. I will tell you, in order that you may not share the impiety of the multitude : for there cannot

## PLATO


 $\pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ єis $\theta \in о$ v̀s каi $\lambda о ́ \gamma \omega$ каi $\epsilon \rho \gamma \omega$ є́ $\xi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$,









 татоу ó торךро́s.
"Hסŋ oûv кai $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Mìv, wis aưтòv "O $\mu \eta$ рós $\tau \epsilon$





${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \iota$ K $\nu \omega \omega \sigma o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \pi o ́ \lambda c s, ~{ }_{\epsilon \prime} \nu \theta a \quad \tau \in$ Mivws èvvéwpos ßaбídeve $\Delta$ lòs $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ ádov ỏaptoтท's.
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## MINOS

conceivably be anything more impious or more to be guarded against than being mistaken in word and deed with regard to the gods, and after them, with regard to divine men; you must take very great preeaution, whenever you are about to blame or praise a man, so as not to speak incorrectly. For this reason you must learn to distinguish honest and dishonest men : for God feels resentment when one blames a man who is like himself, or praises a man who is the opposite ; and the former is the good man. For you must not suppose that while stocks and stones and birds and snakes are sacred, men are not; nay, the good man is the most sacred of all these things, and the wicked man is the most defiled.

So if I now proceed to relate how Minos is eulogized by Homer and Hesiod, my purpose is to prevent you, a man sprung from a man, from making a mistake in regard to a hero who was the son of Zeus. ${ }^{1}$ For Homer, ${ }^{2}$ in telling of Crete that there were in it many men and " ninety cities," says :

And amongst them is the mighty city of Cnossos, where Minos was king, having colloquy ${ }^{3}$ with mighty Zeus in the ninth year.
Now here in Homer we have a eulugy of Minos, briefly expressed, such as the poet never composed for a single one of the heroes. For that Zeus is a sophist, and that sophistry is a highly honourable art, he makes plain in many other places, and particularly here. For he says that Minos consorted and discoursed with Zeus in the ninth year, and went regularly to be educated by Zeus as though he were a sophist. And the fact that Homer assigned this privilege of having been educated by Zeus to no one ${ }^{2}$ Od. xix. 179.
${ }^{3}$ japıatグs means " one who has familiar converse" (ovapos).

## PLATO













## є̇vvéwpos $\beta$ aбídєve $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o v ~ o ̉ a p ı \sigma \tau \eta ́ s, ~$



 тò $\tau 0 \hat{1} \Delta i o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \tau \rho o \nu$ ó Mív $\omega s, \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \nu \mu \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$,








 $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ K \rho \eta \tau \omega ̂ \nu$. Є̇v K ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$, ov̋s Mív $\omega s$ єै $\theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$, $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \pi i \nu \in \iota \nu$
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## MINOS

among the heroes but Minos makes this a marvellous picce of praise. And in the Ghost-raising in the Odyssey ${ }^{1}$ he has described Minos as judging with a golden sceptre in his hand, but not Rhadamanthus: Rhadamanthus he has neither deseribed here as judging nor anywhere as consorting with Zeus; wherefore I say that Minos above all persons has been eulogized by Homer. For to have been the son of Zeus, and to have been the only one who was educated by Zeus, is praise unsurpassable.

For the meaning of the verse-" was king having colloquy with mighty Zeus in the ninth year "-is that Minos was a disciple of Zeus. For colloquies are discourses, and he who has colloquy is a disciple by means of discourse. So every ninth year Minos repaired to the cave of Zeus, to learn some things, and to show his knowledge of others that he had learnt from Zeus in the preceding nine years. Some there are who suppose that he who has colloquy is a cup-companion and fellow-jester of Zeus: but one may take the following as a proof that they who suppose so are babblers. For of all the many nations of men, both Greek and foreign, the only people who refrain from drinking-bouts and the jesting that occurs where there is wine, are the Cretans, and after them the Spartans, who learnt it from the Cretans. In Crete it is one of their laws which Minos ordained that they are not to drink with each other to intoxication. And yet it is evident that the things he thought honourable were what he ordained as lawful for his people as well. For surely Minos did not, like an inferior person, think one thing and do
${ }^{1}$ Od. xi. 569.

## PLATO





 äт $\tau \in$ Өíoıs ov̂̃ı.








 $\mu a \tau \epsilon i o r s$ er $\chi \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s, ~ o ̛ \theta \in \nu$
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another, different from what he thought: no, this intercourse, as I say, was held by means of discussion for education in virtue. Wherefore he ordained for his people these very laws, which have made Crete happy through the length of time, and Sparta happy also, since she began to use them; for they are divine.

Rhadamanthus was a good man indeed, for he had been educated by Minos; he had, however, been educated, not in the whole of the kingly art, but in one subsidiary to the kingly, enough for presiding in law courts ; so that he was spoken of as a good judge. For Minos used him as guardian of the law in the city, and Talos ${ }^{1}$ as the same for the rest of Crete. For Talos thrice a year made a round of the villages, guarding the laws in them, by holding their laws inscribed on brazen tablets, which gave him his name of "brazen." And what Hesiod ${ }^{2}$ also has said of Minos is akin to this. For after mentioning him by name he remarks-

Who was most kingly of mortal kings, and lorded it over more neighbouring folk than any, holding the sceptre of Zeus : therewith it was that he ruled the cities as king.

And by the sceptre of Zeus he means nothing else than the education that he had of Zeus, whereby he directed Crete.
com. Then how has it ever come about, Socrates, that this report is spread abroad of Minos, as an uneducated and harsh-tempered person?
soc. Because of something that will make both you,

[^49]
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 то́лє
 єірŋкє́vа兀.



${ }^{1}$ This is the meaning most probably intended, from an imperfect understanding of $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in(\nu$ ("put some story into verse, or accompany it with music ') in Plato, Phaedo 60 D; Protag. 326 в. Minos was represented as a harsh despot in Euripides' Cretans, and probably also in other lost plays.

2 The legend was that Minos defeated the Athenians in 418

## MINOS

if you are wise, my excellent friend, and everybody else who cares to have a good reputation, beware of ever quarrelling with any man of a poetic turn. For poets have great influence over opinion, according as they create it in the minds of men by either commending or vilifying. And this was the mistake that Minos made, in waging war on this city of ours, which besides all its various culture has poets of every kind, and especially those who write tragedy. Now tragedy is a thing of ancient standing here ; it did not begin, as people suppose, from Thespis or from Phrynichus, but if you will reflect, you will find it is a very ancient invention of our eity. Tragedy is the most popularly delightful and soul-enthralling branch of poetry: in it, accordingly, we get Minos on the rack of verse, ${ }^{1}$ and thus avenge ourselves for that tribute which he compelled us to pay. ${ }^{2}$ This, then, was the mistake that Minos made-his quarrel with us-and hence it is that, as you said in your question, he has fallen more and more into evil repute. For that he was a good and law-abiding person, as we stated in what went before-a good apportioner-is most convincingly shown by the fact that his laws are unshaken, since they were made by one who discovered aright the truth of reality in regard to the management of a state.
com. In my opinion, Socrates, your statement is a probable one.
soc. Then if what I say is true, do you consider that the Cretan people of Minos and Rhadamanthus use the most ancient laws?
war and compelled them to send a regular tribute of seven youths and seven maidens to be devoured by the Minotaur in the Cretan labyrinth.

## PLATO

ET. Фаívoyтau.


 oт $\alpha$ ат $\eta \gamma o ́ v$.

Ет. Пávv $\mu \in ่ \nu$ ov̂v.
 є́роьто, ó $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ б $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ̀ s ~ \nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \eta s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha \grave{~}$



 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a]^{1}$ aủró.
et. 'Op日̂̂s $\gamma \epsilon$.








 $\phi \lambda a \hat{v} \rho o \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठ̀̀ $\tau о \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ є́бкє́ $\phi \theta \alpha \iota$.
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сом. I do.
soc. So these have shown themselves the best lawgivers among men of ancient times-apportioners and shepherds of men; just as Homer called the good general a " shepherd of the folk."

сом. Quite so, indeed.
soc. Come then, in good friendship's name : if someone should ask us what it is that the good lawgiver and apportioner for the body distributes to it when he makes it better, we should say, if we were to make a correct and brief answer, that it was food and labour ; the former to strengthen, and the latter to exercise and brace it.
com. And we should be right.
soc. And if he then proceeded to ask us-And what might that be which the good lawgiver and apportioner distributes to the soul to make it better ? -what would be our answer if we would avoid being ashamed of ourselves and our years?
com. This time I am unable to say.
soc. But indeed it is shameful for the soul of either of us to be found ignorant of those things within it on which its good and abject states depend, while it has studied those that pertain to the body and the rest.

## EPINOMIS

## introduction to The epinomis

Tire name of this short dialogue denotes that it was intended to serve as an appendix to Plato's Laws. It is improbable, however, that Plato would have appended this scanty and unsatisfactory chapter to that comprehensive treatise, instead of correcting and expanding the latter in many places where it would apparently have benefited by the author's revision. And when we consider the Epinomis in detail, we very soon become aware of contact with an inferior mind, which feebly strays and stumbles among the last physical and metaphysical speculations of Plato. The Epinomis appears to have been grouped with the Lavs and the Minos, to form a set of three, by Aristophanes of Byzantium, the librarian at Alexandria, about 200 в.c. Already a number of dialogues had been attributed to Plato which by that time were commonly rejected as spurious: many forgeries were doubtless produced to meet the book-collecting zeal of the Ptolemies in the third century в.с., and the Epinomis, like the Minos, contrived to pass muster. Its inclusion here with the Platonic writings may be justified, partly as providing a curious illustration of such forgery, and of the superficial acquaintance with Plato's genius and manner which must account for the mistake made by the Alexandrian scholars in accepting it as genuine ; but it also has some undoubted merits of its ownin its treatment of astronomical and mathematical 424

## INTRODUCTION TO THE EPINOMIS

theories and its earnest, if rather vague, mamer of exposition-which deserve the attention of Platonic students.

The.primary object of the work is to supplement the passage at the end of the Lans (xii. 966-7), where the training of the Nocturnal Council is briefly sketched. The speakers are the same - the Athenian stranger, Megillus the Spartan, and Cleinias the Cretan-and they are continuing the conversation that they had the day before, as described at the beginning of the Laws (i. 625), on a walk from Cnossos to the temple of Zeus beneath Mount Ida in Crete. As before, the Athenian does most of the speaking. He expounds his scheme of education, consisting of arithmetic, geometry, and astronomy : the last of these is to be a kind of theology, involving a study of the cosmic soul or life-principle, and of the various degrees of divine beings (973-983) ; the writer here scems to have had his eye on the Timacus. We proceed to consider the special kind of mathematics recommended in Laws, vii. 818-820, under the heads of arithmetic and geometry as applied to astronomy (990-992).

Through the verbose and ill-connected exposition of the Athenian one impression emerges clearly enough-that the author is intent on urging the importance of astronomy as the means to true wisdom. We need only read with attention the concluding pages of the Laws to realize how much more profound and ample is the wisdom which Plato inculcates, and how far below even his last composition, betraying, as it does, some decline in his powers of reasoning and expression, is the hardy attempt of this zealous but small-minded imitator.
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## EPINOMIS

## [or NOCTURNAL COUNCIL ${ }^{1}$; or PHILOSOPHER]

## CHARACTERS

## Cleinias of Crete, Athenian Visitor, Megileus of Sparta

cl. True to our agreement, good sir, we have come all three-you and I and Megillus here-to consider in what terms we ought to describe that part of understanding which we say produces, when it so intends, the most excellent disposition of the human being for wisdom which is possible for man. For we claim that we have described all the other matters connected with law-giving ; but the most important thing for us to discover and state-what it is that mortal man should learn in order to be wise-this we have neither stated nor discovered. Let us, however, now try to make good this defect: else we shall practically leave incomplete the quest on which we all set out, with the purpose of making our subject clear from beginning to end.
ath. My dear Cleinias, you are quite right, yet I
Areopagus, was to consist of high magistrates and retired officials, and hold its meetings at night. The characters and the scene (Crete) are the same as those of the Laws.
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think you are about to hear a strange statement; and, in a sense, one that is not so strange either. For many on becoming acquainted with life have the same account to give-that the human race will not be blessed or happy. So follow me now and apprehend if you conceive me, as well as them, to be giving a proper account of this matter. I say it is impossible for men to be blessed and happy, except a few ; that is, so long as we are living : I limit it to that. But one may rightly hope to attain after death all the things for whose sake one may strive both in life to live as nobly as one can and in death to find a noble end. ${ }^{1}$ What I say is no subtle doctrine, but a thing that all of us, Greeks and foreigners alike, in some way perceive-that from the beginning existence is difficult for every live creature : first, partaking of the state of things conceived, then again, being born, and further, being reared and educated-all these processes involve a vast amount of toil, we all agree. And our time must be a short one, I do not say in the reckoning of the wretehed, but on any supposition of what is tolerable. It does seem to give just a breathing-space about the middle of human life : yet swiftly old age is uponus, and must make any of us loth ever to live our life again, when one reckons over the life one has lived-unless one happens to be a bundle of childish notions. And what, pray, is my evidence for this? It is that such is the nature of the matter now under inquiry in our discussion. We are inquiring, you know, in what way we shall become wise, presuming that each of us has this power in some sort or other: but it evades and escapes us as soon as we

[^52]
## PLATO

 $\phi \rho о \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \omega \nu$ ぞ $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$ äd $\lambda \omega \nu$ тоьoút $\omega \nu$ ผis oió $\mu \in \theta a$






 $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ є̇ $\lambda \pi i$ íos


 Є' $\chi \in \bullet \nu$;







 $\theta$ ávecv.

Прิ̂тov $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu ~ \omega ̂ ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega}$, $\gamma \in ́ v \in \iota$,







 430

## EPINOMIS

attempt any knowledge of reputed arts or knowledges or any of the ordinary sciences, as we suppose them to be; for none of them is worthy to be called by the title of the wisdom that pertains to thesehumanaffairs. Yet the soul firmly believes and divines that in some fashion she has it, but what it is that she has, or when, or how, she is quite unable to discover. Is not this a fair picture of our puzzle about wisdom and the inquiry that we have to make-a greater one than any of us could expect who are found able to examine ourselves and others intelligently and consistently by every kind and manner of argument? Is the case not so, or shall we agree that so it is?
cl. We shall probably agree with you on that, my good sir, in the hope which in time you will surcly give us of forming hereafter the truest opinion on these matters.
ath. Then first we must go through the other sciences, which are reputed as such, but do not render him wise who acquires and possesses them ; in order that, having put them out of the way, we may try to bring forward those that we require, and having brought them forward, to learn them.

First, therefore, let us observe that while the sciences which are first needs of the human race are about the most necessary and truly the first, yet he who acquires a knowledge of them, though in the beginning he may have been regarded as wise in some sort, is now not reputed wise at all, but rather incurs reproach by the knowledge he has got. Now we must mention what they are, and that almost everyone who makes it his aim to be thought likely to prove himself in the end as good a man as possible avoids them, in order to gain the acquirements of under-
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standing and study. So first let us take the practice among animate beings of eating each other, which, as the story goes, has made us refrain entirely from some, while it has settled us in the lawful eating of others. ${ }^{1}$ May the men of old time be gracious to us, as they are: for we must take our leave of whatever men were the first of those we were just mentioning ${ }^{2}$; but at any rate the making of barley-meal and flour, with the sustenance thereof, is noble and good indeed, yet it is never like to produce a perfectly wise man. For this very name of making must produce ${ }^{3}$ an irksomeness in the actual things that are made. Nor can it well be husbandry of land in general : for it is not by art but by a natural gift from Heaven, it seems, that we all have the earth put into our hands. Nor again is it the fabrication of dwellings and building in general, nor the production of all sorts of appliances-smiths' work, and the supply of carpenters', moulders', plaiters', and, in fine, all kinds of implements ; for this is of advantage to the public, but is not accounted for virtue. Nor again the whole practice of hunting, which although grown extensive and a matter of skilled art, gives no return of magnificence with its wisdom. Nor surely can it be divination or interpretation ${ }^{4}$ as a whole ; for these only know what is said, but have not learnt whether it be true.

And now that we see that the acquisition of necessaries is achieved by means of art, but that no such art makes any man wise, there may be some diversion remaining after this-imitative for the most part, but
${ }^{3}$ The word " produce" is repeated here in a strained sense of "declare," "indicate," or the like. The very idea of "making" implies a certain annoyance incompatible with perfect wisdom.
${ }^{4}$ i.e. of omens, heavenly signs, etc.
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in no way serious. For they imitate with many instruments, and with many imitative acts, not altogether seemly, of their very bodies, in performances both of speech and of every Muse, and in those whereof painting is mother, and whereby many and most various designs are elaborated in many sorts, moist and dry ; and though a man ply his craft in these with the greatest zeal, in nothing is he rendered wise by imitation.

And when all these have been performed, there may yet remain assistance, in countless forms and countless cases: the greatest and most useful is called warfare, the art of generalship ; most glorified in time of need, requiring most good fortune, and assigned rather to a natural valour than to wisdom. And that which they call medicine is likewise, of course, an assistance in almost every case towards things of which animal nature is deprived by seasons of untimely cold and heat and all such visitations. But none of these is of high repute for the truest wisdom: for they are borne along by opinion, as inaccurate matter of conjecture. We may, I suppose, speak of pilots and sailors alike as giving assistance : yet you shall not report, to appease us, a single wise man from amongst them all ; for a man cannot know the wrath or amity of the wind, a desirable thing for all piloting. Nor again all those who say they can give assistance in law suits by their powers of speech, men who by memory and exercise of opinion pay attention to human character, but are far astray from the truth of what is really just.

There still remains, as a claimant to the name of wisdom, a certain strange power, which most people would call a natural gift rather than wisdom, appear-
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ing when one perceives someone learning this or that lesson with ease, or remembering a great many things securely; or when one recalls what is suitable to each person, what should properly be done, and does it quickly. Some people will describe all this as nature, others as wisdom, and others as a natural readiness of mind: but no sensible person will ever call a man really wise for any of these gifts.

But surely there must be found some science, the possession of which will cause the wisdom of him who is really wise and not wise merely in men's opinion. Well, let us see : for in this laborious discussion we are trying our hardest to find some other science, apart from those we have mentioned, which can really and reasonably be termed wisdom ; such an acquirement as will not make one a mean and witless drudge, but will enable one to be a wise and good citizen, at once a just ruler and subject of his city, and decorous. ${ }^{1}$ So let us examine this one first, and see what single science it is of those that we now have which, by removing itself or being absent from human nature, must render mankind the most thoughtless and senseless of creatures. Well, there is no great difficulty in making that out. For if there is one more than another, so to speak, which will do this, it is the science which gave number to the whole race of mortals ; and I believe God rather than some chance gave it to us, and so preserves us. And I must explain who it is that I believe to be God, though he be a strange one, and somehow not strange either: for why should we not believe the cause of all the good things that are ours to have been the cause also of what is far the greatest, understanding? And who is it that I magnify with the name of God, Megillus
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and Cleinias? Merely Heaven, which it is most our duty to honour and pray to especially, as do all other spirits and gods. That it has been the cause of all the other good things we have, we shall all admit ; that it likewise gave us number we do really say, and that it will give us this hercafter, if we will but follow its lead. For if one enters on the right theory about it, whether one be pleased to call it World-order or Olympus or Heaven-let one call it this or that, but follow where, in bespangling itself and turning the stars that it contains, it produces all their courses and the seasons and food for all. And thence, accordingly, we have understanding in general, we may say, and therewith all number, and all other good things : but the greatest of these is when, after receiving its gift of numbers, one has covered the whole circuit. ${ }^{1}$

Moreover, let us turn back some little way in our discussion and recall how entirely right we were in conceiving that if we should deprive human nature of number we should never attain to any understanding. For then the soul of that creature which could not tell ${ }^{2}$ things would never any more be able, one may say, to attain virtue in general ; and the creature that did not know two and three, or odd or even, and was completely ignorant of number, could never clearly tell of things about which it had only acquired sensations and memories. From the attainment of ordinary virtue-courage and temperance-it is certainly not debarred: but if a man is deprived of true telling he can never become wise, and he who has not the acquirement of wisdom
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-the greatest part of virtue as a whole-can no more achicve the perfect goodness which may make him happy. Thus it is absolutely necessary to postulate number ; and why this is necessary can be shown by a still fuller argument than any that has been advanced. But here is one that will be particularly correct-that of the properties of the other arts, which we recounted just now in granting the existence of all the arts, not a single one can remain, but all of them are utterly defective, when once you remove numeration.

And one may judge, perhaps, for brevity's sake how the human race needs number, by glancing at the arts-and yet that too is a great matter-but if you note the divinity of birth, and its mortality, in which awe of the divine must be acknowledged, and real number, ${ }^{1}$ it is not anybody who can tell how great is the power which we owe to the accompaniment of number as a whole-for it is clear that everything in music needs a distinct numeration of movement and notes-and above all, how it is the cause of all good things ; and that it is the cause of no evil thing is a point that must be well understood, as it may be quickly enough. Nay, the motion that we may call unreasoned and unordered, lacking shape and rhythm and harmony, and everything that has a share of some evil, is deficient in number altogether ; and in this light must the matter be regarded by him who means to end his life in happiness. And no one who does not know the just, the good, the honourable and all the rest of such qualities, with a hold on
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true opinion, will number them off so as fully to persuade both himself and his neighbour.

Now let us go on to inquire into the actual question of how we learnt to count in numbers. Tell me, whence have we got the conception of one and two, a natural gift that we have from the All to enable us to conceive of their existence? Then again, many other living creatures are not endowed by nature even to the actual point of being able to learn from their father to count; whereas in us, in the first place, God implanted this very conception, so that we might be equal to comprehending it when shown to us, and in the second place, he showed it and shows it. Among such things, what one more singularly beautiful can a man behold than the world of day? Then he comes to the province of night, and views it; and there quite another sight lies before him. And so the heaven, revolving these very objects for many nights and many days, never ceases to teach men one and two, until even the most unintelligent have learnt sufficiently to number; for that there are also three and four and many, each of us must further conceive on seeing those objects. And God made one thing that he wrought from them, the moon, which shows herself at one time larger, at another smaller, and runs her course, showing ever a new shape, ${ }^{1}$ until fifteen days and nights are passed: this is her circuit, if one chooses to sum her orbit, as one and entire, in one ${ }^{2}$; so that, we may say, even the least intelligent creature must learn it, among those on whom God has bestowed the natural gift of being

2 This seems to mean that the fifteen days from the new moon to the full moon give the basis for summing her whole thirty days' course-fifteen to the full, and fifteen back.
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able to learn. Within certain limits, and in certain cases, every creature so cnabled has been made fully apt for numeration,-when it considers any unit in itself. But as to reckoning number generally in the relations of things to each other, I think that God, if not for a greater reason, to this end interposed, as we mentioned, the waxing and waning of the moon, and arranged the months to make up the year, and all things began to comprehend number in relation to number by a happy fortune. Hence it is that we have fruits and the teeming of the earth, so that there may be food for all creatures, with no inordinate or immoderate occurrences of winds and rains: but if in spite of this something does occur in an evil way, we ought not to charge it upon the divine but upon the human nature, for not disposing our own lives aright.

Now in our inquiry about laws, you know we decided that all other things that are best for men are easy to discover, and that everyone may become competent both to understand and to perform what he is told, if he discovers what is that which is likely to profit him, and what is not profitable : well, we decided, and we are still of the same mind, that all other studies are not very difficult, but that this of learning in what way we should become good men is one of the utmost difficulty. Everything else, again, that is good, as they say, is both possible and not difficult to acquire, and the amount of property that is wanted or not wanted, and the kind of body that is wanted or not: everyone agrees that a good soul is wanted, and agrees, moreover, as to the manner of its goodness, that for this again it must be just and temperate and brave ; but whereas everyone
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A＠．Naí，$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \grave{\omega} \tau \sigma i ́ \nu v \nu$ ákоv́ovтє．
 $\phi \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ ．

 $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ỏvó $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota$ ，тís $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ท̂v oió $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ бофíav єîval，
 єiбi тотє каì ómóбаи $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon ́ s, ~ a ̈ s ~ \tau \iota s ~ \lambda a \beta \omega ̀ \nu ~ \sigma o ф o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu ~$


кл．ムéyoıs äv．
 тò кá入入ıov т $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$
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says it must be wise, no one any longer agrees at all with anyone else, in most cases-we have just now explained-as to what its wisdom should be. So now we are discovering, besides all those former kinds, a wisdom of no mean worth for this very purpose of showing how he is wise who has learnt the things that we have explained. And if he is wise who has knowledge of these things and is good at them, we must now take account of him.
CL. Good sir, how properly you said that you are undertaling to express great thoughts on great subjects!
atir. Yes, for they are not small, Cleinias: but what is more difficult is to show that they are entirely and in every sense true.
cl. Very much so, good sir: but still, do not weary of the task of stating your views.
atir. I will not, and therefore you two must not weary either of listening to me.
cl. Agreed: I give you my word for us both.
ath. Thank you. To begin with, then, we must necessarily state first, it would seem-best of all, in a single word, if we are able so to put it-what is that which we suppose to be wisdom ; but if we are utterly unable to do this, we must say in the second place what and how many kinds of it there are that a man must have acquired, if he is to be wise according to our story.
cl. Pray speak on.
ath. And as to the next step, it will be no offence in the lawgiver that he speaks finer things than have been previously said about the gods, and uses higher terms of portrayal, making as it were a noble sport
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кл．${ }^{\checkmark}$ II ка入ิิs，$\hat{\omega}$ छ́є́vє，$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s . ~ \epsilon i ~ \gamma a ́ p ~ \sigma o \iota ~$

 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau \eta ̄ s$ ảpíatทs $\tau \epsilon$ каi ка入入íaт $\eta$ s $\tau v \chi \in \imath ̂ \nu$.
 тov̀s $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，єưXó $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ ；ойт $\omega$ S $\ddot{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega}_{S} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S$ ；
 $\mu o ́ v \iota \epsilon, \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̛ ̉ o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$
 каi тàs $\theta \epsilon \alpha$ ás．
 $\eta \gamma \eta ิ \tau \alpha \iota$ ．बvvєข́xоv $\mu$ óvov．



入óyov，ảva入aßóvта ôv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̉ \sigma \epsilon \beta \in i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota-~$








$$
{ }^{1} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \text { Euseb. : } \lambda \text { ó } \gamma \text { ous mss. }
$$
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and honouring the gods, with high tribute of his hymns and atfluence throughout the period of his own life.
cL. Well spoken, indeed, good sir. Yes, may you have this consummation of your laws, after making fine sport in praising the gods and having passed a purer life, to find thereby the best and fairest end !
atir. Then how, Cleinias, do we state it? Do we honour the gods, think you, to the utmost with our hymns, praying that we may be moved to speak the fairest and best things about them? Do you state it so, or how?
cl. Nay, absolutely so. Now, my excellent friend, pray to the gods with confidence, and utter the fine specimen of a speech that you are moved to make about the gods and goddesses.

ATh. It shall be done, if the god himself will be our guide. Do but join in my prayer.
cl. Speak what follows next.
ath. It is necessary, then, it seems, that I should first portray in better terms, according to our previous statement, the generation of gods and of living creatures, which has been ill portrayed by those before us; I must resume the statement which I have attempted in speaking against the impious, ${ }^{1}$ deelaring that there are gods who have a care for all things, small and greater, and who are well-nigh inexorable in what relates to the justice of things : that is, if you remember, Cleinias; for you did take memoranda ${ }^{2}$ besides, and indeed what then was spoken was very true. And the most important part of it was that every soul was senior to each body ${ }^{3}$ : do you
> ${ }_{2}$ There is no hint of this in the Laws.
> ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Lavos x. 893-6.
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кл. "Еот $\omega$ таиิта ais $\delta$ v́vauıv $\lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$.




$$
\text { кл. 'О } \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s .
$$

 $\tau a \tau a ;$

кл. Naí.
A@. $\Sigma \tau \in \rho \in \dot{\alpha}$ Sè $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \grave{~} \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тòv
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remember? Or in any case, surely, this must be so? For that which is better and more ancient and more godlike is credibly so in comparison with the young, the junior, and the less emancipated ; and altogether, a thing governing is senior to a thing governed, and the driver every way senior to the driven. So much, then, let us conclude-that soul is senior to body; and if this is the case, what came first in that which first was born will more credibly seem almost to have been original. So let us take it that the beginning of the beginning is more august in state, and that we are most correctly entering upon wisdom in the greatest matters relating to the generation of the gods.
cl. Let this be so, as far as we can state it.

ATh. Come then, shall we say that a living creature is most truly described by its nature, as a case of one combination of soul and body so uniting as to beget one shape?
cL. Correct.
ath. And such a thing is most justly called a living creature?
cl. Yes.
ath. On the most likely account there are to be reckoned five solid bodies, ${ }^{1}$ from which one might fashion things fairest and best; but all the rest of creation has a single shape, ${ }^{2}$ for there is nothing that could come to be without a body and never possessing any colour at all, except only that really most divine creature, the soul. And this alone, one may say, has the business of fashioning and manufacturing, whereas the body, as we call it, has that assumed a unity of shape resulting from the afore-mentioned combination of soul and body.
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 каі ӓттоба, каі ӧба торєи́бина каі ӧба но́vина,



 סєút $\epsilon \rho \frac{}{}$


 $\nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ хрク̀ фával каì ópú $\mu \in \nu a$, vopíaaı $\delta є ̀ ~ \delta \eta ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$







 à $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \epsilon i \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon$.

Noŋ́ $\sigma \omega \mu \in \nu$ oûv $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu$, ô $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \mu \in \nu$, ठv́o $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o l a u ̂ \tau \alpha ~$
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of being fashioned and produced and seen. But the other-let us repeat it, for not once only be it said-has to be invisible even to the inquiring, and merely thought, if he has got a share of memory and reckoning by both odd and even variations. The bodies, then, being five, we must name them as fire, water, and thirdly air, earth fourth, and ether fifth; and by predominance of these are each of the many varieties of creatures perfected. We should learn this by single instances in the following way. Let us take as earthy our first single element-all men, all things that have many feet or none, and those that move along and that stay still, held in place by roots; but we must conceive its unity thus, -though all these things are the outcome of all kinds, yet for the most part it is of earth and of solid nature. And another lind of creature we must regard as second in birth as well as one that can be seen: for its greatest part is of fire, though it has some earth and air, and has slight portions of all the others also, wherefore we must say that all sorts of creatures are born of them, and things seen, and here again we must conceive the heavenly kinds of creatures, which altogether, we must agree, have been born as the divine race of stars, endowed with the fairest body as also with the happiest and best soul. ${ }^{2}$ One or other of two lots we may very well, in our judgement, assign to them : for each of them is either imperishable and immortal, and by all necessity wholly divine, or has a certain longevity sufficient for the life of each, such that nothing could ever require a longer one.

Let us therefore first observe that, as we state it,
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 ä $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ кє каi $\sigma u ́ \mu \pi a \sigma a \nu ~ \tau а u ́ \tau \eta \eta ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \pi о р є i ́ a \nu, ~$ öт $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ av̉ $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ a ̉ \in i ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta \epsilon \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ v a ~$

D ov̉ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ оидєvó $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ aै $\nu \omega$ каì ка́т $\omega$, тотє̀ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$


 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota,{ }^{1} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ oủk ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot$ oví $\omega$ тoîs äфpooヶ


## ${ }^{1} \pi \rho \dot{a} \tau \tau \epsilon \mathrm{St}$ Stephanus: $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{d} \tau \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~L}$ mss.

${ }^{1}$ "Necessity" is used here in the old poetic sense of a compelling or overruling power; $c f$. the mention of the Fates below. 4.54.
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such creatures are of two sorts-for let us state it again -both visible, the one of fire, as would appear, entirely, and the other of earth; and the earthy is in disorder, whereas that of fire has its motion in perfect order. Now that whieh has motion in disorder we should regard as unintelligent, acting like the animal creatures about us for the most part; but that which has an orderly and heavenly progress must be taken as strongly evincing its intelligence. For in passing on and acting and being acted upon always in the same respects and manner it must provide sufficient evidence of its intelligent life. The necessity ${ }^{1}$ of a soul that has aequired mind will prove itself by far the greatest of all necessities ; for it makes laws as ruler, not as ruled : but this inalterable thing, when the soul has taken the best counsel in accord with the best mind, comes out as the perfect thing in truth and in accord with mind, and not even adamant could ever prove stronger than it or more inalterable ; but in fact the three Fates have it in hold, and keep watch that what has been decided by each of the gods with the best counsel shall be perfect. And men ought to have found proof of the stars and the whole of that travelling system being possessed of mind in the fact that they always do the same things because they do what has been decided long ago for an incalculable time, not deciding differently this way and that, and doing sometimes one thing, sometimes another, in wanderings and changes of circuit. Most of us have thought just the opposite-that because they do the same things in the same way they have no soul: the multitude followed the lead of the unintelligent so far as to suppose that, whereas humanity was intelligent and
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 Хорєiav $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \chi о р \omega ̂ \nu ~ к а \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu ~ к а i ~ \mu є \gamma а л ̀ о-~$


 ov̉ үáp, ćs $\sigma \mu \varkappa р а ̀ ~ ф а \nu \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \tau \eta \lambda \iota к а и ิ \tau а ~ o ̋ \nu \tau \omega s ~$



 $\phi \in \rho o ́ p \in \nu a$ ä $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ $\theta a u \mu a \sigma \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta o s ~ \epsilon ’ \chi \in \iota . ~$














${ }^{1}$ Of. Plato, Tim. 40 c.
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living because it moved about, divinity was unintelligent because it abode in the same courses. But if man had sided with the fairer and better and friendly part, he might have concluded that he ought to regard as intelligent-and for this very reasonthat which acts always in the same respects, in the same way, and for the same reasons; and that this is the nature of the stars, fairest to see, and passing along, dancing ${ }^{1}$ the fairest and most magnifieent of all dances in the world, they make good the needs of all living creatures. And now, to see how justly we speak of their living spirit, let us first consider their great size. For they are not actually those small things that they appear to be, but each of them is immense in its bulk; we should do well to believe this, because there are ample proofs of such a conelusion. For we can rightly consider the whole of the sun as larger than the whole of the earth, and all the travelling stars are of amazing size. Let us conclude then whether it can possibly be that any natural force revolves this great mass that is now being revolved, continually and at the same time. God, then, I say, will be the cause, and never in any other way is it possible. For never can a thing get living spirit by any other means than by the act of God, as we have explained ; and when God is able to do this, he has found it a perfectly easy matter, firstly that all body and all mass should be made a living creature, and secondly to move it in the course he considers best. So now I trust we may make one true statement about all these things : it cannot be that earth and heaven and all the stars and all the masses they comprise, without soul attached to each or resident in each, should pass along as they do, so
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 $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha$ бúp $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ar $\gamma a \theta \dot{\alpha}$ ai $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha i$.





D er $\chi \in \iota$ dó $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ o ̛ \nu \tau a ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l ~ \delta v ́ o, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \psi v \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, тò $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ि \mu a$, каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о v, ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \delta є ̀ ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$






 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̉ \lambda o \gamma i ́ \alpha . ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \delta ' ~ o u ̉ v ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu t \kappa \hat{\alpha} v ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a ́ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоьoúт $\omega \nu$ hóyous cai $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega ิ s$ $\theta \in i ̂ a ~ \phi a i ̀ v \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~$






${ }^{1}$ Soul and body, in their respective spheres, cover or account for the whole of existent things, of whatever kind, from the astral to the inanimate.
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exactly to every year and month and day, and that all the things that happen should happen for the good of us all.

And according as man is a meaner creature, he should show himself, not a babbler, but a speaker of clear sense. If, then, anyone shall speak of onrushes or natural forces or the like as in a sort the causes of bodies, he will say nothing clear : but we must firmly reeall what we have said, and see whether our statement is reasonable or is utterly at fault-namely, in the first place, that existence is of two kinds, the one soul, and the other body, and that many things are in either, though all are different from each other and those of the one kind from those of the other, ${ }^{1}$ and that there is no other third thing common to any of them; but soul differs from body. Intelligent, of course, we shall hold it to be, and the other unintelligent ; the one governs, the other is governed ; and the one is cause of all things, while the other is incapable of causing any of its experiences : so that to assert that the heavenly bodies have come into existence through anything else, and are not the offspring, as we have said, of soul and body, is great folly and unreason. However, if our statements on all such existences are to prevail, and the whole order of them is to be convincingly shown to be divine by their origin, we must certainly class them as one or the other of two things : either we must in all correctness glorify them as actual gods, or suppose them to be images produced as likenesses of the gods, creations of the gods themselves. For they are the work of no mindless or inconsiderable beings but, as we have said, we must class them as one or other of these things; and, if classed as the latter, we must
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$\tau \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\gamma a \lambda \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Sıaфєрóvт $\omega$ s．$^{\circ}$ ova रàp $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau о \tau \epsilon ~ ф а \nu \hat{\eta}$ ка入入ícu каі коьо́тєра бขц－ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho\left(\dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu\right.$ ar $\gamma a ́ \lambda \mu a \tau \alpha$ ，oủ ${ }^{\circ}$＇av $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota$ то́то七s íррvнє́va，каӨарьóтךть каі $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu о ́ т \eta \tau \iota ~ к а i ~$






 $\pi \hat{v} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi$ avi cove $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$

 $\sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu \circ v \quad \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$ ढ่к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu . \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ ．$\delta \grave{\epsilon}$










 $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ тov̀s ópaтov́s，$\mu \epsilon$ रíवтovs каì т兀цьш－
${ }^{1}$ ie．fire，ether，air，water，earth ；cf． 981 c．
${ }^{2}$ First come the stars，or＂manifest gods＂；then the creatures of ether，air and water（the second，third or fourth kinds）；and fifth and last，the creatures of earth or mankind．
${ }^{3}$ i．e．the law governing the order or scale of animate creatures which has been described．The writer，like Plato 460
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honour them far above all images: for never will fairer or more generally-known images be found among all mankind, none established in more various places, more pre-eminent in purity, majesty, and life altogether, than in the way in whieh their existence is altogether fashioned. Well then, for the present let us attempt so much in treating of the gods, as to try-after observing the two living creatures visible to us, of which we call one immortal, and the other, all earthy, a mortal creation-to tell of the three middle things of the five, ${ }^{1}$ which come most evidently, according to the probable opinion, between those two. For let us consider ether as coming next after fire, and let us hold that soul fashions from it live creatures with their faculties, as it does creatures from the other kinds of element, each being for the most part of that one nature, but in its lesser parts derived from the other elements for the sake of connexion. After ether, there is fashioned by soul another kind of creature from air, and the third kind from water; and by having produced all these it is likely that soul filled the whole heaven with creatures, having made use of all the elements so far as it could, and all the creatures having been made participators in life ; but the second, third, fourth, and fifth kinds, which took their first origin from what are manifest gods, end finally in us men. ${ }^{2}$

Now the gods-Zeus and Hera and all the resteach man must regard in what light he pleases, though according to the same law, ${ }^{3}$ and must take this account as reliable. But as our visible gods, greatest
(Tim. $40 \mathrm{D}-41 \mathrm{~A}$ ), avoids any definite statement about the traditional deities: like Plato again (Tim. $41 \mathrm{~A}-42 \mathrm{E}$ ), he is more concerned with the "visible gods," or stars.

## PLATO

тáтovs кai ỏ乡v́тaтov ópผ̂v mas $\pi a ́ v \tau \eta, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v s ~$






 rival $\pi \alpha \rho o ̀ v ~ \delta \eta ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ o u ̉ ~ к a \tau a ́ \delta \eta \lambda o \nu ~ i j \mu i v ~ \gamma i-~$

 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ av̉ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ Sเávotav $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \mu \in \nu$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \sigma ф o ́ \delta \rho а ~ к а к o ̀ v ~ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i v, ~ " ̈ \tau \epsilon ~$




B oủpavov̂ үєүovóтos, €́p $\rho \eta \nu \in \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda l \eta ́ \lambda o v s ~$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \delta \iota a ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \zeta \psi ́ \omega \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau \epsilon ~$



 ${ }^{1}$ oo vulg. : đֶy MSS.
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and most honourable and having keenest vision every way, we must count first the order of the stars and all else that we perceive existing with them ; and after these, and next below these, the divine spirits, ${ }^{1}$ and air-born race, holding the third and middle situation, cause of interpretation, which we must surely honour with prayers for the sake of an auspicious journey across. ${ }^{2}$ We nust say of either of these two creatures-that which is of ether and, next to it, of air-that it is not entirely plain to sight: when it is near by, it is not made manifest to us ; but partaking of extraordinary intelligence, as belonging to an order which is quick to learn and strong in memory, we may say that they understand the whole of our thoughts, and show extraordinary kindness to anyone of us who is a good man and true, and hate him who is utterly evil, as one who ahready partakes of suffering. For we know that God, who has the privilege of the divine portion, is remote from these affections of pain and pleasure, but has a share of intelligence and knowledge in every sphere ; and the heaven being filled full of live creatures, they interpret all men and all things both to one another and to the most exalted gods, because the middle creatures move both to earth and to the whole of heaven with a lightly rushing motion. The kind which is of water, ${ }^{3}$ the fifth, we shall be right in representing as a semi-divine product of that element, and it is
with the guidance and care of mankind (Plato, Laws 713 D ; Plutarch, De genio Socr. 588 c).
${ }^{2}$ The "journey across" seems to refer to one part of the "conveying" that daemons performed - conducting the souls of deceased human beings from earth to the abode of the gods.
${ }^{3}$ The nymphs.
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 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{v} \nu$ тò $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \pi a \nu \in i \delta \omega^{\prime} s, ~ \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ öv $\delta v \nu a \tau o ̀ v$









 ä $\mu \alpha$ каì $\mu \grave{\eta}$ троөvцоîтo тó $\gamma \epsilon$ aviтồ $\mu$ є́pos, єis ëvтนov xúpav катaфaveîs ä $\gamma \omega \nu$ aùrov́s,



${ }^{1}$ The astral gods.
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at one time seen, but at another is concealed through becoming obscure, presenting a marvel in the dimness of vision. So these five being really existent creatures, wherever any of us came upon them, either happening upon them in the dream-world of sleep, or by something spoken to persons listening in health, or equally in sickness, through ominous utterances and prophecies, or again when they have arrived at the end of life-opinions that occur to us both in private and in public, whence many sanctities of many beings have arisen, and others shall arisein regard to all these the lawgiver who possesses even the slightest degree of mind will never dare by innovations to turn his city to a divine worship which is lacking in certainty. Nor indeed will he put a stop to sacrifices on which the ancestral custom has pronounced, when he knows nothing at all of the matter, just as it is not possible for mortal nature to know about such things. And of the gods who are really manifest to us ${ }^{1}$ the same statement must surely hold-that those men are most evil who have not courage to tell and make manifest to us that these are likewise gods, but without any frenzied rites, or any tribute of the honours that are their due. But as things are, we have a strange conjunction of proceedings : for it is as though one of us should see the sun or moon being born and all of us looking on, and should utter no word through some impotence of speech, and should not also at the same time be zealous, so far as in him lay, when they lacked their share of honour, to bring them in all evidence to an honoured place, and cause festivals and sacrifices to be offered to them, and apportion to each a reserved space of time for the greater or lesser length of its
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Kt. Пढ̂s $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$;



 $\mu i ́ a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\nu} \eta s, \mu i a ~ \delta \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad[\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]^{1} \alpha{ }^{1} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu$,
 тav́tas סウ̀ тáoas ai тoútovs тoùs Ėv тaútatotv

 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, cis oi $\mu \hat{e} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o i ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ova, $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ wis oi $\mu \in ̀ ้ ~ \gamma \nu \eta i \sigma l o l, ~ o i ́ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o l o u ̂ t o i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \in S, ~$



 $\mu \eta ं \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \nu a ̀ \mu \circ i ̂ \rho a \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \mu \in \nu \mu \eta ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀$ र $\rho o ́ v o \nu, \epsilon \in \nu \hat{\psi}$




${ }^{1} \pi \lambda a \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ seel. Burnet.
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year, ${ }^{1}$ as may happen : would it not be agreed both by himself and by another who observed it that he would justly be described as an evil man ?
cl. To be sure he would, my good sir ; nay, most evil.
atir. Well then, this, my dear Cleinias, is what, you may take it, has evidently happened to me now.
cl. How do you mean?
ath. You know that there are eight powers of those contained in the whole heaven which are cognate to each other : these I have observed, and it is no great achievement; for it is easy enough for anybody. Three of them are that of the sun, for one, that of the moon for another, and a third that of the stars which we mentioned a little while ago ; and there are five others besides. ${ }^{2}$ Now in regard to all these and those beings who either have their own motion in these, or are borne in vehicles so as to make their progress thus, let none of us all ever idly suppose that some of them are gods, while others are not, or that some are genuine, while others are of a certain kind which it is not permissible to any of us even to express; but let us all declare and say that they are all cognate and have cognate lots, and let us render them due honour, not by giving to one a year, to another a month; but to none of them let us appoint either a certain lot or a certain time in which it travels through its particular orbit, completing the system which the divinest reason of all ${ }^{3}$ appointed to be visible. This first the man who is blest admires, and then he feels a passion for understanding so much as is possible for mortal nature, believing that thus he
> ${ }^{3}$ i.e. the supreme deity of Plato's Timaeus.

## PLATO




 тòv émíhouтov xpóvov $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu ~$










 $\phi \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon t \nu$ oủk $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \in \sigma \theta a t$, тоúтov $\delta^{\prime}$ altos ó $\pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o s ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau a ~ к а \tau i \delta \omega ̀ \nu ~ \beta a ́ p \beta a p o s ~ c ̈ \nu . ~$







 $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l$ тò $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau i ́ \mu \iota a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \epsilon i v a l, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau i \mu ı a, ~$

${ }^{1}$ ঠє̂̂ Burnet: aंєì mss.
${ }^{1}$ ie. sun, moon, and fixed stars.
${ }^{2}$ Venus (or Lucifer); cf. Plato, Tim. 38 D.
${ }_{3}$ Mercury.

## EPINOMIS

will best and most happily pass through life, and at the end of his diys will arrive at regions meet for virtue ; and having been truly and really initiated, and won his individual intelligence, and become for the rest of time a spectator of what is fairest, so far as sight can go, in this state he continues. And now after this it remains for us to say how many and who these beings are : for we shall never be found to have spoken falsely. Thus far, at least, I asseverate with certainty : I say, once more, that there are eight of them, and that while three ${ }^{1}$ of the eight have been told, five yet remain. The fourth ${ }^{2}$ in motion and transit together, and the fifth, ${ }^{3}$ are almost equal to the sun in speed, and on the whole are neither slower nor swifter. These being three, must be so regarded by him who has sufficient mind. So let us speak of them as powers of the sum and of Lueifer, and of a third, ${ }^{3}$ such that we cannot express it in a name because it is not known ; and he is to blame for this who first beheld these things, since he was a foreigner : for it was an ancient custom that nurtured those who first remarked these things owing to the fairness of the summer season which Egypt and Syria amply possess, so that they constantly beheld the whole mass, one may say, of stars revealed to their sight, since they had got them continually without obstruction of clouds and rains in the sky; whence they have emerged in every direction and in ours likewise, after having been examined for thousands of years, nay, for an infinite time. And therefore we should not hesitate to include them in the scope of our laws; for to have divine things lacking honour, while other things are honoured, is clearly a sign of witlessness ; and as to their having got no names, the cause of
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 ar $\gamma \omega \nu$ тov̀s ä $\lambda$ loves, ©̈s $\gamma \epsilon$ adv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi$ tots фaivout ${ }^{\alpha} \nu$

 oофía тav́т $\pi \eta$ фaiveтal тफ̣̂ каi oucкрà ovvvoias
 $\rho \in S$, $\hat{\omega} \nu \in \hat{i} \frac{\mu}{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ß




 $\hat{\eta} \gamma \in \hat{T} \sigma \theta a \iota \delta \in \hat{\imath}$.



${ }^{1} \not \approx \nu$ Burnet: $\not \approx \nu \omega$ mss.
2 ои̉к add. Burnet.
${ }^{1}$ Lucifer, or Hesperus, may for its beauty be connected with Aphrodite (and so got the further name of Venus).
${ }^{2}$ The cult of Aphrodite flourished among all the eastern peoples.
${ }^{3}$ Venus.
${ }^{4}$ Hermes being the god of escort or attendance (whence this "power" came to be known as Mercury).

## EPINOMIS

it should be stated as we have done. For indeed they have received titles of gods : thus, that Lucifer, or Hesperus (which is the same), should almost belong to Aphrodite, ${ }^{1}$ is reasonable, and quite befitting a Syrian lawgiver ${ }^{2}$; and that that which follows the same course as the sun and this ${ }^{3}$ together should almost belong to Hermes. ${ }^{4}$ Let us also note three motions of bodies ${ }^{5}$ travelling to the right with the moon and the sun. One must be mentioned, the eighth, ${ }^{6}$ which we may especially address as the world-order, and which travels inopposition to the whole company of the others, not impelling them, as might appear to mankind in the seant knowledge that they have of these matters. But we are bound to state, and do state, so much as adequate knowledge tells us. For real wisdom shows herself in some such way as this to him who has got even a little share of right and divine meditation. And now there remain three stars, of which one is distinguished from the others by its slowness, and some speak of it under the title of Saturn; the next after it in slowness is to be cited as Jupiter ; and the next after this, as Mars, which has the ruddiest hue of all. Nothing in all this is hard to understand when someone expresses it; but it is through learning, as we declare, that one must believe it.

But there is one point which every Greek should bear in mind-that of all Greeks we have a situation which is about the most favourable to human excellence. ${ }^{7}$ The praiseworthy thing in it that we have to
${ }^{5}$ Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn.
${ }^{6}$ Here, after the sun, moon, Venus, Mercury, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn, we return to the sphere of the fixed stars (mentioned as the "third power" in 986 в).
${ }^{7}$ Cf. Plato, Tim. 24 c.
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 $\epsilon і ̈ \pi \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$, v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ a $\hat{v}^{1} \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$ тò $\tau о \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

Eo" $\tau i \quad \pi \epsilon \rho$ àv "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in s$ ßapßáp $\omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \sigma \iota$,

 тoûto, ढ́s $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ v ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o t a v ̂ \tau a ~ a ̉ \nu a \mu \phi t-~$


 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu$, $\bar{\omega} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$
 хршرє́vovs каi $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ катà vó $\mu$ ous $\theta \in р a \pi \epsilon i ́ a$.















${ }^{1}$ av̂ Ast: aủvoîs ass.
${ }^{2} \delta \theta \in \nu$ Hermann: $\delta \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \nu$ ass.
${ }^{1}$ Syria and Egypt; cf. 987 A.

## EPINOMIS

mention is that it may be taken as midway between a wintry and a summery climate; and our climate, being inferior in its summer to that in the region over there, ${ }^{1}$ as we said, has been so much later in imparting the eognizance of these cosmic deities. And let us note that whatever Greeks acquire from foreigners is finally turned by them into something nobler; and moreover the same thing must be borne in mind regarding our present state-ments-that although it is hard to discover everything of this kind beyond dispute, there is hope, both strong and noble, that a really nobler and juster respect than is in the combined repute and worship which came from foreigners will be paid to all these gods by the Greeks, who have the benefit of their various education, their prophecies from Delphi, and the whole system of worship under their laws. And let none of the Greeks ever be apprehensive that being mortals we should never have dealings with divine affairs ${ }^{2}$; they should rather be of the quite opposite opinion, that the divine is never either unintelligent or in any ignorance of human nature, but knows that if it teaches us we shall follow its guidance and learn what is taught us. That it so teaches us, and that we learn number and numeration, it knows of course : for it would be most utterly unintelligent if it were ignorant of this ; since it, would truly, as the saying is, be ignorant of itself, vexed with that which was able to learn, instead of whole-heartedly rejoicing with one who became good by God's help. And indeed there is much good reason to suppose that formerly, when men had their first conceptions of how the gods came to exist and with what qualities,
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 кévaı $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \nu ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta \grave{\alpha} \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau o \iota a v ̂ \tau a . ~$








$$
{ }^{1} \delta \epsilon \text { ass. : } \delta \grave{̀} \text { Stallbaum. }
$$
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and whence, and to what kind of actions they proceeded, they were spoken of in a manner not approved or welcomed by the wise, nor were even the views of those who came later, among whom the greatest dignity was given to fire and water and the other elements, while the wonderful soul was accounted inferior ; and higher and more honoured with them was a motion assigned to the body for moving itself by heat and chills and everything of that kind, instead of that which the soul had for moving both the body and itself. ${ }^{1}$ But now that we account it no marvel that the soul, once it is in the body, should stir and move about this and itself, neither does our soul on any reckoning mistrust her power of moving about any weight. And therefore, since we now claim that, as the soul is cause of the whole, and all good things are causes of like things, while on the other hand evil things are causes of other things like them, it is no marvel that soul should be cause of all motion and stirring - that the motion and stirring towards the good are the function of the best soul, and those to the opposite are the opposite ${ }^{2}$ -it must be that good things have conquered and conquer things that are not their like.

All this we have stated in accordance with justice, which wreaks vengeance on the impious: but now, as regards the matter under examination, it is not possible for us to disbelieve that we must deem the good man to be wise; and let us see if we may perhaps be able, either by education or by art, to perceive this wisdom which we have all this while been seeking ; for if we fall behind the just in failing to know it, our condition will be that of ignorant
${ }_{2}$ The evil soul is just hinted at; cf. Plato, Laws, x. 896-7.
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 $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu$. «"pıбта८ $\delta^{\prime}$ єioiv ai $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$







 фи́єбӨal, $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha ́$ тє, каi трофท̂s каi таıסєías


 $\kappa \alpha i$ öт $\delta \epsilon \epsilon i, \pi \epsilon \rho i$ 日vaías $\tau \epsilon$ каi ка日ap $\mu$ о̀̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v$ Є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \tau \nu \nu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$. тoûтo

${ }^{1}$ Cf. Plato, Politicus, 307 в ff., where the danger of an extreme development of such qualities as temperance, calmness, slowness, and of their opposites in the citizens of a state is expounded.

## EPINOMIS

persons. Such, then, seems our case to me, and I must say so : for I have sought this wisdom high and low, and so far as it has been revealed to me I will try to render it plain to you. Now the fact that the greatest part of virtue is not properly practised is the cause of our condition, as is just now indicated-it seems clear to me-by what has been said. For let no one ever persuade us that there is a greater part of virtue, for the race of mortals, than piety ; and I must say it is owing to the greatest stupidity that this has not appeared in the best natures. And the best are they which can only become so with the greatest difficulty, and the benefit is greatest if they do become so : for a soul that admits of slowness and the opposite inclination moderately and gently will be good-tempered ${ }^{1}$; and if it admires courage, and is easily persuaded to temperance, and, most important of all, is enabled by these natural gifts to learn and has a good memory, it will be able to rejoice most fully in these very things, so as to be a lover of learning. For these things are not easily engendered, but when once they are begotten, and receive due nourishment and education, they will be able to restrain the greater number of men, even the worse among us, in the most correct way by our every thought, every action, and every word about the gods, in due manner and due season, as regards both sacrifices and purifications in matters concerning gods and men alike, so that we are contriving no life of pretence, but truly honouring virtue, which indeed is the most important of all business for the whole state. That section ${ }^{2}$ of us, then, we say is naturally the most
${ }^{2}$ i.e. those who possess the "natural gifts" mentioned in 989 в.
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 aंотроророиิขта каi тávтаs тoùs тoเov́тovs, oîov


 В ${ }^{\text {adv }}$ คंa

 $\chi \rho \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha^{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ทัน $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \omega$.





${ }^{1}$ ie. of the sun, the moon, and the five planets; cf. 987 в. With the astronomy and mathematics of the rest of the Epinomis cf. Plato, Laws, vii. 818-820.

## EPINOMIS

competent, and supremely able to learn the best and noblest lessons that it may be taught : but it cannot get this teaching either, unless God gives his guidance. If, however, it should be so taught, but should fail in some way to do accordingly, it were better for it not to learn. Nevertheless it follows of necessity from our present statements, that I agree that the nature which is of this kind, and the best, should learn certain things. Let us try, then, to set forth in our statement what things these are, and of what kind, and how one should learn-so far as our ability permits both me the speaker and those who are able to hearken-in what manner one will learn the proper reverence of the gods. It is, indeed, a rather strange thing to hear ; but the name that we, at any rate, give it-one that people would never approve, from inexperience in the matter-is astronomy; people are ignorant that he who is truly an astronomer must be wisest, not he who is an astronomer in the sense understood by Hesiod and all the rest of such writers, the sort of man who has studied settings and risings; but the man who has studied the seven ${ }^{1}$ out of the eight orbits, each travelling over its own circuit in such a manner as could not ever be easily observed by any ordinary nature, that did not partake of a marvellous nature. As to this, we have now told, and shall tell, as we profess, by what means and in what manner it ought to be learnt ; and first let us make the following statement.

The moon travels through its orbit very swiftly, bringing first the month and full-moon; and in the second place we must remark the sun, with his turning motion through the whole of his orbit, and with him his satellites. But to avoid repeating again and
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反uvaтòv єìval，хрєc̀̀v то入入̀̀ $\pi \rho о \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ к а i ~$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \frac{\prime}{\nu} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu$ каì ápı$\theta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\bar{\omega} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$









 $\phi$ v́бєє ópoíovs，тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ àvouoíovs aû $\gamma \in \gamma 0 \nu o ́ t a s$







${ }^{1}$ abel Burnet：$\delta \in i ̂$ mss．
${ }^{2}$ т pis Bekker：трeis mss．

[^66]
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again the same things on the same subjects in our discussion, the other courses of these bodies that we have previously described are not easily understood: we must rather prepare our faculties, such as they may possibly be, for these matters ; and so one must teach the pupil many things beforehand, and continually strive hard to habituate him in childhood and youth. And therefore there will be need of studies: the most important and first is of numbers in themselves; not of those which are corporeal, but of the whole origin of the odd and the even, and the greatness of their influence on the nature of reality. When he has learnt these things, there comes next after these what they call by the very ridiculous name of geometry, ${ }^{1}$ when it proves to be a manifest likening ${ }^{2}$ of numbers not like one another by nature in respect of the province of planes; and this will be clearly seen by him who is able to understand it to be a marvel not of human, but of divine origin. And then, after that, the numbers thrice increased and like to the solid nature, and those again which have been made unlike, he likens by another art, namely, that which its adepts called stercometry ; and a divine and marvellous thing it is to those who envisage it and reflect, how the whole of nature is impressed with species and class according to each analogy, as power and its opposite ${ }^{3}$ continually turn upon the double. Thus diagonal of a square whose side is 1 ; and similarly "cubic " roots were reckoned with the aid of stereometry.
${ }^{2}$ "Likening" here means "comparing in an exact manner," so as to obtain a ratio or proportion between numbers not directly commensurable; $c f$. Plato, Laws, viii. 820.
3 "Power" is multiplication, its "opposite" is extension: 1 point doubled gives the beginning of a line; multiplying 2 by 2 gives 4 as a square surface, and by 2 again, 8 as the cube. So (see below) we proceed "from 1 to 8 ."
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 atp $\mu o v i a s ~ \chi a ́ p ı v, ~ \epsilon v ̉ \delta a i ́ \mu o v e ~ \chi o p \epsilon i ́ a ~ M o v o w ̂ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \delta o-~$ $\mu \in ́ v \eta$.








 $\lambda_{i \sigma \tau \eta} \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \beta a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu o s ~ a ̀ \nu \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi o u s ~ o ́ p \theta \omega ि s ~$ ai $\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, on on
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the first analogy is of the double in point of number, passing from one to two in order of counting, and that which is according to power is double; that which passes to the solid and tangible is likewise again double, having proceeded from one to cight; but that of the double has a mean, as much more than the less as it is less than the greater, while its other mean ${ }^{1}$ exceeds and is exceeded by the same portion of the extremes themselves. Between six and twelve comes the whole-and-a-half $(9=6+3)$ and whole-and-a-third $(8=6+2)$ : turning between these very two, to one side or the other, this power (9) assigned to men an accordant and proportioned use for the purpose of rhythm and harmony in their pastimes, and has been assigned to the blessed dance of the Muses. ${ }^{2}$

In this way then let all these things come to pass, and so let them be. But as to their crowning point, we must go to divine generation and therewith the fairest and divinest nature of visible things, so far as God granted the vision of it to men; a vision that none of us may ever boast of having received at his leisure without the conditions here laid down. And besides these requirements, one must refer the particular thing to its generic form in our various discussions, questioning and disproving what has been wrongly stated; for it $^{3}$ is rightiy found to be altogether the finest and first of tests for the use of men, while any that pretend to be tests, without being so, are the vainest of all labours. And further, we must mark the exactness of time, how exactly it completes all the processes of the heavens, in order that he who is convinced of the truth of the statement
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 äע $\pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а і ~ і к а \nu \hat{\omega s} \epsilon i \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{o ̀ ~} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$








 $\lambda o \gamma i ́ a \nu ~ o v ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \mu i ́ a \nu ~ a ́ m a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \phi a \nu \eta ̂ \nu a t ~ \delta \in \hat{i} ~ \tau \hat{\iota}$












 oi каi $\delta \iota \sigma \chi v \rho i \zeta о \mu a \iota ~ \pi \alpha i \zeta \zeta \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma \pi о v \delta \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ ar $\mu \alpha$,


## ${ }^{1} \dot{\eta}$ Thea: om. mss.
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which has been made-that the soul is at once older. and more divine than the body-might believe it a most admirable and satisfactory saying that all things are full of gods, and that we have never been disregarded in the least through any forgetfulness or neglect in our superiors. And our view about all such matters must be that, if one conceives of each of them aright, it turns out a great boon to him who receives it in a proper way; but failing this, he had better always call it God. The way is this -for it is necessary to explain it thus far: every diagram, and system of number, and every combination of harmony, and the agreement of the revolution of the stars must be made manifest as one through all ${ }^{1}$ to him who learns in the proper way, and will be made manifest if, as we say, a man learns aright by keeping his gaze on unity ; for it will be manifest to us, as we reflect, that there is one bond naturally uniting all these things : but if one goes about it in some other way, one must call it Fortune, as we also put it. For never, without these lessons, will any nature be happy in our cities: no, this is the way, this the nurture, these the studies, whether difficult or easy, this the path to pursue : to neglect the gods is not permissible, when it has been made manifest that the fame of them, stated in proper terms, hits the mark. And the man who has acquired all these things in this manner is he whom I account the most truly wisest: of him I also assert, both in jest and in earnest, that when one of his like completes his allotted span at death, I would say if he
of number"), harmonic proportions ("combinations of harmony ")-corresponding to square, line and cube referred to in 991 a-and the rotations of the stars.
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 $\tau \epsilon$ ढ́ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, каi $\nu \bar{v} \nu$ aviтòs ${ }^{1} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \eta ̀ s$ o้ $\nu \tau \omega s$, ढ́s oủ $\delta v \nu a \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta p \omega ́ t o ı s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \mu а к а р i o r s ~$






 iठía $\lambda$ е́ үо $\mu \in \nu$ каì $\delta \eta \mu о \sigma i a ̨ ~ к а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ v o ́ \mu о \nu ~ \tau i \theta \leqslant \mu \epsilon \nu, ~ \epsilon i s ~$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta o \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \in i v$, тоv̀s $\delta^{3}$ ä入入lovs tov́тoıs ovv$\epsilon \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \phi \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi a ́ \sigma a s, ~$



${ }^{1}$ aútòs Bekker : aủtòs mss.

## EPINOMIS

still be dead, he will not partake any more of the various sensations then as he docs now, but having alone partaken of a single lot and having become one out of many, ${ }^{1}$ will be happy and at the same time most wise and blessed, whether one has a blessed life in continents or in islands; and that such a man will partake always of the like fortune, and whether his life is spent in a public or in a private practice of these studies he will get the same treatment, in just the same manner, from the gods. And what we said at the beginning, and stands now also unchanged as a really true statement, that it is not possible for men to be completely blessed and happy, except a few, has been correctly spoken. For as many as are divine and temperate also, and partakers of virtue as a whole in their nature, and have acquired besides all that pertains to blessed study-and this we have explained-are the only persons by whom all the spiritual gifts are fully obtained and held. Those then who have thus worked through all these tasks we speak of privately, and publicly establish by law, as the men to whom, when they have attained the fullness of seniority, the highest offices should be entrusted, while the rest should follow their lead, giving praise to all gods and goddesses; and we should most rightly invite the Nocturnal Council to this wisdom, when we have duly distinguished and approved all its members.
${ }^{1}$ i.e. having been singled out as fit to receive a rare blessing reserved for a chosen few. These few (see D, below) are to form the Nocturnal Council, which was briefly suggested at the end of Plato's Laws.

## INDEX OF NAMES

Abaris, legendary northern hero, 25
Achaeans, 131
Achaemenes, ancestor of Persian kings, 165
Aeacus, son of Zeus, 165, 359
Aegina, 165
Aegisthus, 359
Aesop, 171
Agamemnon, 359
Agis, king of Sparta, 173
Alcibiades (c. 450-404 B.c.), 95 ffo, 342
Alcmaeon, 247
Alus, in Achaea, 396
Amestris, wife of Xerxes, 171
Anmon, Ethiopian god, 265, 26\%, 269
Amphilytus, a prophet in Athens, 359
Amphion, son of Zeus, 308
Anacreon of Teos, lyric poet (c. $567-478$ в.с.), $23,291,365$
Anagyrus, district of Attica, 371
Anaxagoras, philosopher (c. 499427 в.c.), $x, 155,313$
Aphrodite, 471
Apollodorus, 416
Apollonius Rhodius, 416
Archelaus, king of Macedonia (413399 в.c.), 241, 359
Archidamus, king of Sparta, 173
Arginusae, near Lesbos, 377
Argos, 359
Aristeides, Athenian statesman (c. $530-408$ в.с.), 343,379
Aristogeiton, friend of Harmodius, 293
Aristophanes, Athenian comic poet (c. 444-380 в.с.), xi

Aristotle, xvii, 264, 417

Artaxerxes, son of Xerxes, 165, 173
Athamas, 397
Athena, 271
Augustus, 226
Bacis, Boeotian prophet, 359
Basile, shrine of, 9
Callaeschrus, father of Critias, 4 , 65
Calliades, father of Callias, 157
Callias, Athenian general, 157
Callicrite, 365
Carthaginians, 397, 399
Chaerephon, pupil of Socrates, 9 ff.
Charmides, son of Glancon and maternal uncle of Plato, 4 ff., 375
Cimon, Athenian statesman and admiral (c. 510-449 B.c.), 342, 365
Cleinias (1), father of Alcibiades, $99,205,241$; (2) brother of Alcibiades, 157 ; (3) the Cretan, 427 ff.
Cleitomachus, 375
Cuossos, in Crete, 413, 425
Colophon, in Iona, 376
Corinth, 359
Coronea, in Boeotia, battle of (447 B. C.), 131

Cratinus, comic poet (c. 519-422 B. C.), 49

Cratylus, ix
Creon, uncle of Eteocles, 273
Crete, 411 ff .
Critias (c. 455-404 B.c.), son of Callaeschrus and pupil of Socrates; afterwards oligarch, 4 ff.
Cronos, 293, 397
Cyane, Sicilian nymph and mother of Callicrite, 365
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Cydias, 7 th century poet, 17
Cypselus, father of Periander, 350 Cyrus, king of Persia, 105

Dredalus, legendary inventor of sculpture, 165
Damon, Athenian musician and sophist, 155
Deinomache, mother of Alcibiades, 105, 171
Delphi, inscription at, 47 ff., 96 , 173, 195, 209, 291, 335 ; prophecies from, 473
Demodocus, father of Theages, 342 ff .
Diomede, 271
Dion of Syracuse (c. 40S-353 в.c.), xiii
Dionysius, Athenian schoolmaster, 313
Dionysius, tyrant of Syracuse (431367 в. с.), xiii
Dionysus, 482
Dropides, kinsman of Solon, 4, 23
Egypt, 469, 472
Ephesus, 377
Erchiae, in Attica, 173
Erechtheus, Athenian hero, 207
Eteocles, king of Thebes, 273
Euathlus, 375
Eucleides of Megara, xiii
Euripides (c. 485-407 B.c.), 135, 255, $273,308,361,363,462$
Europa, mother of Minos; 411
Eurysaces, son of Ajax and Tecmessa, 165
Frazer, Sir J. G., 9, 416
Getae, Thracian race, 19
Glaucon, the elder, father of Plato's mother, 4, 375
Gorgias, of Leontini in Sicily, sophist (c. 490-395 B.c.), 281, 373

Harmodius, friend of Aristogiton, 293
Hephaestus, 165
Meracleidae, 165
Heracleitus, ix
Hercules, 165
Hermes, 291, 471
Herodotus (c. $484-408$ в.c.), 19, 24, 396

Heroscamandrus, father of Nicias, 377
Mesiod, 43, 411 ff .
Hesperus, 471
Hipparchus, despot of A thens (527-
514 B. С. ), 276, 289 ff.
Hippias, despot of Athens (527510 в. С. ), $203,359,361$
Homer, 35, 79, 131, 206, 234, 245, $259,261,267,271,289,323,411$ ff.
Horomazes, father of Zoroaster, 167
Ida, mountain in Crete, 425
Ionia, 377
Isolochus, father of Pythodorus, 157

Jupiter, 466, 471
Lacedaemon, 171
Lampido, wife of Archidamus, 173
Leotychides, father of Lampido, 173
Lucian, 462
Lucifer, 469, 471
Lycaea, in Arcadia, 397, 399
Lycurgus, Spartan lawgiver, 411
Lysimachus, son of Aristeides, 379
Maenalia, in Arcadia, 396
Margites, 259, 261, 263
Mars, 466, 471
Marsyas, a satyr, 409
Megillus, the Spartan, 425 ff.
Meidias, the quail-flliper, 161
Melesias, son of Thucydides, 379
Mercury, 466
Messene, 169-171
Minos, king of Cnossos in Crete, 387 fr .
Minotaur, 419
Muses, 117, 483
Nemea, between Argos and Corinth, 375
Nicias, son of Heroscamandrus, 377
Odysseus, 131, 323
Oedipus, 229, 239
Oenopides of Chios, philosopler, 313
Olympus, a Phrygian beloved of Marsyas, 409
Orestes, 247, 249
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Panathenaea, Athenian festiva], 289
Parmenides, of Elea, philosopher, 156
Peisistratus, despot of Athens and father of Hipparchus, 289, 359
Peleus, son of Aeacus, 359
Penelope, wife of Odysseus, 131, 322
Peparethus, island off Thessaly, 149
Perdiccas, father of Archelaus, 359
Periander, despot of Corinth, 625585 в.C., 359,361
Pericles (c. $400-429$ B. C.), 95, 101, $103,155,157,175,249,310,342$, 365
Perictione, sister of Cbarmides and mother of Plato, 4
Perseus, son of Zeus, 165
Phaenarete, mother of Socrates, 207
Philaïda $\theta$, district of Attica, 289
Philemon, 377
Phrixus, son of Athamas, 396
Phrynichus, early Attic tragedian, 419
Phthia, southern part of Thessaly, 359
Plato, comic poet (c. 460-389 B.c.), 166
Plutarch, 463
Polus, of Acragas, sophist, 373
Polynices, brother of Eteocles, 273
Potidaea, in Chalcidice, besieged by Athezians (432-430 B.c.), 8, 9
Priam, king of Troy, 267
Prodicus, of Ceos, sophist, 45, 373
Ptolemies, kings of Egypt, 424
Pyrilampes, uncle of Charmides, 4, 23
Pythagoras, 441
Pythocleides of Ceos, musician, 155
Pythodorus, friend of Zeno, 157
Rhadamanthus, 411 ff.
Salamis, 165
Sannio, 377
Saturn, 466, 471
Sibyl, 359
Sicilian Expedition (415-413 B.c.), 376

Simonides, of Ceos, lyric poet (c. 556-467 в.с.), 291
Solon, Athenian lawgiver and poot (c. 638-555 в. С.) , 4, 15, 23; 317

Sophocles (497-406 в.c.), 363
Sophron, x
Sophroniscus, Athenian sculptor, father of Socrates, 164, 207
Steiria, town in Attica, 291
Syria, 469, 472
Talos, 417
Tanagra, in Boeotia, battle of ( 457 B.C.), 131

Taureas, an athletic trainer, 9
Teiresias, 273
Theages, son of Demodocus, 342 ff .
Themistocles, Athenian statesman (c. 514-449 1. С. .), 342, 365

Theognis, of Megara, poet (c. 570 490 B.C.), 49
Thespis, reputed founder of tragedy, 419
Thrasyllus, (1) Athenian commander, 377; (2) scholar and friend of Augustus, 226, 345
Thucydides, (1) Athenian historian (c. 470-396 в.с.), 293, 310, 376 ; (2) son of Melesias, aristocratic leader in Athens (c. $490-430 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{c}$.) 379
Timarchus, 375
Trojans, 131, 267
Venus, 466, 470
Xanthippus, father of Pericles, 101
Xenophon, Athenian soldier and writer (c. 444-356 в.c.), 227, 344
Xerxes, king of Persia, 105, 171
Zalmoxis, legendary hero of the Getae, 19
Zeno of Elea, philosopher, 157
Zethus, son of Zeus, 308
Zeus, the Saviour, 57 ; the Liberator, 347
Zopyrus, the Thracian, tutor of Alcibiades, 169
Zoroaster, founder of the Persian religion, 167
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[^0]:     ${ }^{2}$ є̇由́ $\theta \epsilon \iota$ W. Dindorf: $\omega \theta \epsilon \iota$ mss.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Names " here includes any substantive words such as $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}$ द́cels.
    4.4

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ бтатькท secl. Heindorf.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ At this point Socrates adduces the relation of greater to smaller ( $\tau \iota \nu \dot{\jmath}$ viva $\mu \in \hat{i} \zeta 0 \nu$ ) to suggest a difficulty in conceiving a science to be a science of itself: in so doing he draws a 60

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ àv secl. Stallbaum. ${ }^{2}$ ă $\nu$ add. Heindorf.

[^6]:    
    

[^7]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ Burnet: $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ Stobaeus, $\partial \nu \nu$ mss. ${ }^{2} \eta$ च add. Heindorf.

[^8]:    §ढ̂vтa Schleiermacher：「 $\omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ mss． ${ }^{2}$ où Bekker：єv̂ Mss．

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Socrates refers to the＂spiritual sign＂which occasionally warned him against an intended action：cf．Apol． 31 c D， 40 А в．
    98

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ ôv . . . ảma入入átrŋท secl. Burnet.

[^11]:    ＂Socrates means by＂better＂or＂the better way＂the general method of attaining excellence in any art．
    ＂Socrates here repeats ка入⿳⺈⿴囗十一（which means＂handsomely＂ 114

[^12]:    

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ A friend of Zeno: cf. Parmen. 126.
    ${ }^{2}$ An Athenian general.
    ${ }^{3}$ Of Elea, in S. Italy ; a disciple of Parmenides who criticized the Pythagorean teaching.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1} \epsilon \ell \pi 0 \tau \epsilon$ Burnet: $\dot{\delta} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \in$ MSS.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Socrates' father, Sophroniscus, was a sculptor, and Daedalus was the legendary inventor of sculpture.
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e., the kings of Sparta and Persia.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Attica, about fifteen miles east of Athens.

[^17]:    1 Cf． 129 в．

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Greek $\kappa 6 \rho \eta$ and the Latin pupilla both meant "little 210

[^19]:    ${ }^{1} \pi a \iota o a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{L}$ is used here simply in the sense of＂following about as personal attendant．＂

    2 It was commonly believed that aged storks were fed by 220

[^20]:    

[^21]:    
     one marks before the other how advantage may be had．＂ 234

[^22]:    1 ajteprafia here seems to be used for "effect produced" instead of its usual meaning, "fully effecting," "completion." 236

[^23]:    ${ }^{2} \mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$ has here declined from "high-souled" or " magnanimous" to something like "Quixotic."
    ${ }_{3}{ }^{\operatorname{cusin} \theta} \boldsymbol{i n s}$, even in Plato's time, varied between "goodhearted " and "silly."

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quoted from Homer, Il. ii. 303.

[^25]:    ${ }^{2}$ This assassination occurred in 399 b.c., the year of Socrates' death.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of. Homer, Od. i. 32.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Anth. Pal. x. 108.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ toloûtov J. G. Schneider: тоєoû̀ta mss.

[^28]:    
    ${ }_{2} \lambda а \mu \pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu$ Lennep: $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu$ mss.
    ${ }^{3}$ тú $\neq \mathfrak{s}$ Stallbaum: $\psi v \chi \hat{\jmath}$ Mss.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ This trick of twisting the words of a quotation into an 260

[^30]:    ${ }^{1} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ Buttmann: $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \grave{a}, \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda a$ Mss.
    ${ }^{2} \pi \lambda \in i \omega$ Burnet: $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in{ }^{2} \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ arss.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ This seems to be the meaning of the Greek, which is certainly not Platonic. In Aristotle, Phys. iv. 13. 5 o $\pi a \rho \eta \prime \kappa \omega \nu$ хpóvos means "past time."

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ The use of ȧmokpıt $\hat{\eta}$ ral for "answered " instead of the 266

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ A town on the south－east coast of Attica．

[^34]:    1 The Ionian philosopher who lived in friendship with Pericles at Athens.
    312

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Od. xxi. 285 foll., where the suitors of Penelope are 322

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ ßávavoos expresses the peculiar contempt felt by Greek gentlemen for the work of artisans and even artists. Manual 330

[^37]:    1 i.e. something above and apart from the adviser's personal interests, and looking only to what is best. 350

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Aristophanes and Plato we find mention of only one "Sibyl": later the name, like Bacis (an old Boeotian 358

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ av̉т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ Baiter: aủvخ̀ $\nu$ mss. ${ }^{2} \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o u ~ s e c l . ~ S c h l e i e r m a c h e r . ~$
    

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nothing is known of this poem．

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ A deme or township of Attica.

[^42]:    

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ The disastrous Sicilian expedition of $415-413$ в.c. $\overline{C f}$. Thuc: vi. and vii.
    ${ }^{2} 409$ b.c., when Thrasyllus succeeded in recovering Colophon for Athens. He was one of the commanders put 376

[^44]:    ${ }^{1} \nu о \mu i \zeta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ in ordinary speech meant＂accepted by custom＂：＂loyally＂here attempts to preserve the connexion 388

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or Lycoa, a town in the Arcadian district Maenalia.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Herod. vii. 197. At Alms in Achaea Xerxes was told of human sacrifices offered to purge the guilt of Athamas in plotting the death of his son Phrixus.
    396

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here $\nu o ́ \mu o s$ is connected with a special use of $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu-$ " find appropriate pasture for "-derived from its original meaning of " apportion." 408

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Minos and Rhadamanthus were sons of Zeus by Europa.

[^48]:    1 Talos, the brazen man who was given to Minos by Zeus, is described by Apoll. Rood. iv. 1639 foll., and Apollodorus i. 9. 26 (where see Sir J. G. Frazer's note in this series).

[^49]:    2 The passage quoted does not occur in our text of Hesiod, nor is it quoted by any other writer. The metre of the first line would be improved if we could read $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \tau$ ótatos, from the $\beta$ arideurós used by Aristotle, Pol. iii, 17, 1.
    vol. VIII
    2 E
    417

[^50]:    ${ }^{1} \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \hat{\mu} \mu a$ seclusi.
    2 a om. Mss.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1} \tau \iota$ cod. Voss. : $\tau 0 \iota$ Mss.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ The translation does not attempt to reproduce the alliteration of the last four words of this sentence.

[^53]:    1 "Some" means "men," and "others" means "other animals."
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. the first men who practised the eating of flesh. 432

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, "in tune," and hence "fitting in gracefully," "behaving with good taste," etc.
    436

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apparently a metaphor from astronomy, meaning "the prescribed or proper course of study "; $c f$. Plato, Rep. 407 玉. 438

[^56]:    2 There is a curious play here on the two meanings of $\lambda$ boos - "reckoning," and "description." ( $C f$. the like English meanings of "tale" or "account.")

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ tows Theo: iкavês mss. 2 o Theo: om. Mss.

[^58]:    1 i.e. our birth and death are alike under divine influence, and this means that they are governed by number - a Pythagorean argument.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ i．e．the statement made in Lauos x ．，on the existence of the gods，and the reverence due to them．
    448

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. the elements fire, water, air, earth, and ether. Plato (Tim. 40 A, $81 \mathbf{~ E}$ ) does not allow ether as one of the elements : our author includes it, because he wishes to make it the source of $\delta$ alcoves, or spirits that come midway between gods and men in the scale of existence; cf. 984 в, е.
    ${ }^{2} i . e$. the generality of things that have come to be have 450

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e., apparently, if he has mathematical skill added to the power of reflection.
    452

[^62]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here the author agrees with Plato, Tim. 39 E ff. ; Laws х. 889 в.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ The daemons or divine spirits had their existence and activity "betwixt mortal and immortal," and they served as interpreters and conveyers of men's prayers and offerings to the gods, and of the god's behests and requitals to men (Plato, Sympos. 202 D). Good mortals might become daemons after death (Eurip. Ale. 1003 ; Plato, Cratyl. 398 в; Lucian, De mort Peregr. 36), and as such they were charged 462

[^64]:    1 "Year" is used here for "circuit."
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Cf}$. Plato, Tim. 38 ff , where God is said to have made, besides the fixed stars, the sun, the moon, and the five planets-Venus, Mercury, Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars-for the generation of time.

[^65]:    1 These later people, instead of attributing the highest power to the divine stars, attributed it to the ordinary physical forces; cf. Plato, Laws, x. 885 ff .
    474

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Which means literally＂measuring the earth＂；this developed into the arithmetical calculation of squares，cubes， roots，etc．Cf．the account Plato gives（Theaet． 147 D ff．）of ＂quadrangular＂and＂equilateral＂numbers，showing how the terms of geometry had to be used for arithmetic．As there was no number equal（or＂like＂）to the＂square＂root of 2 ，recourse was had to the geometrical symbol of the 480

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ As between 3 and 6,4 is greater than 3 by $\frac{1}{3}$ of 3 , and less than 6 by $\frac{1}{3}$ of 6 .
    ${ }_{2}$ There were nine Muses, and they were often conceived as dancing in company with Dionysus.
    482

[^68]:    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. Plato's method of dialectic; see General Introduction.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ ie. we must become aware of a single, unifying scheme of proportion running through geometrical figures and proportions ("diagrams"), arithmetical proportions ("systems 484

